

[illegible]

Oklahoma Water Resources Board

State of Oklahoma

HENRY L. BELLMON, GOVERNOR

Oklahoma Water Resources Board

Members

Dr. Lloyd E. Church, Wilburton,
Chairman

Glade R. Kirkpatrick, Tulsa,
Vice Chairman

Geo. R. Benz, Bartlesville,
Secretary

Guy H. James, Oklahoma City

L. L. Males, Cheyenne

Milton C. Craig, Chandler

Robert C. Lang, Ardmore

Frank Raab

Director

The report describes the geology of Harmon County and adjacent parts of Greer and Jackson Counties; it describes and interprets the geologic and hydrologic features that determine the source, movement, quantity and quality of ground water; and it assembles basic ground-water data that will be useful in planning and developing the ground-water resources of the area.

Oklahoma Water Resources Board

GROUND-WATER RESOURCES OF HARMON COUNTY AND ADJACENT PARTS
OF GREER AND JACKSON COUNTIES, OKLAHOMA

By

C. E. Steele and J. E. Barclay

U.S. Geological Survey

Prepared by

The United States Geological Survey
in cooperation with
The Oklahoma Water Resources Board
Bulletin 29
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma
1965

CONTENTS

	Page
Abstract.....	1
Introduction.....	3
Purpose and scope of this investigation.....	3
Location and extent of the area.....	3
Previous work in the area.....	5
Methods of this investigation.....	5
Well-numbering system.....	7
Acknowledgments.....	7
Geography.....	9
Topography and drainage.....	9
Climate.....	11
Agriculture.....	13
Industries.....	13
Population.....	13
General geology.....	14
Structural summary.....	14
Permian System.....	14
Hennessey Shale.....	16
El Reno Group.....	16
Duncan Sandstone and Flowerpot Shale.....	16
Blaine Formation.....	17
Dog Creek Shale.....	19
Whitehorse Group.....	21
Quaternary System.....	22
Terrace deposits.....	22
Alluvium.....	23
Water resources.....	23
Surface water.....	23
Ground water.....	23
Water-table and artesian conditions.....	24
Movement.....	26
Water-level fluctuations and effects of pumping.....	29
Discharge.....	33
Utilization.....	40
Domestic supplies.....	40
Stock supplies.....	40
Public supplies.....	40
Irrigation supplies.....	42
Yields of wells.....	44
Transmissibility and storage.....	44
Annual recharge.....	47
Chemical quality of the water.....	52
Suitability for drinking.....	52
Suitability for livestock.....	56
Suitability for irrigation.....	56
Conclusions.....	60
References.....	62
Appendix A: Measured stratigraphic sections.....	65
Appendix B: Records of wells and test holes.....	70
Appendix C: Logs of wells and test holes.....	80

GROUND-WATER RESOURCES OF HARMON COUNTY AND ADJACENT PARTS
OF GREER AND JACKSON COUNTIES, OKLAHOMA

By C. E. Steele and J. E. Barclay

ABSTRACT

The area described in this report is in the southwest corner of Oklahoma and in the drainage basin of Red River. It includes Harmon County and adjacent parts of Greer and Jackson Counties--an area of about 1,170 square miles. The principal aquifer is in the Dog Creek Shale and the Blaine Formation of Permian age, but in parts of the area other formations of Permian age and the unconsolidated deposits of Quaternary age also are sources of ground water.

The Dog Creek Shale and Blaine Formation consist of interbedded shale, gypsum, anhydrite, dolomite, and limestone, which in places are characterized by solution channels and zones of secondary porosity. Test drilling shows that the thickness of the rocks capable or potentially capable of transmitting water in suitable quantities for irrigation averages about 80 feet. The yield of an individual well is largely dependent on the number, size, and interconnection of the water-filled solution channels which are best developed within the drainage basins of the major creeks. Virtually all the water used for irrigation in the area is obtained from wells tapping solution channels.

Water levels in the aquifer respond rapidly to the infiltration of precipitation, the principal source of recharge, and to the effects of pumping, the principal form of discharge. Water levels declined significantly during 1954 and 1956, owing to subnormal precipitation and heavy irrigation pumpage. They rose several feet during 1955 and 1957 when precipitation was above normal. During the 5-year period 1958-1962, when precipitation averaged near normal, water levels declined more than 3 feet. The decline was even greater during the drought year of 1963.

Yields of wells in the Dog Creek Shale and Blaine Formation range from less than 10 gpm (gallons per minute) to more than 2,000 gpm. Sufficient water for stock needs can be obtained at most places.

The usual methods of conducting aquifer tests were not used in this investigation. Because the physical and hydrologic properties of the principal aquifer differ so widely from those that were assumed in developing the formulas for determining coefficients of transmissibility and storage, the

conventional method of making aquifer tests was not considered applicable. However, by utilizing data on quantities of water pumped and measurements of water-level decline due to pumping in selected parts of the irrigation areas, reasonable estimates of the coefficients were calculated. The coefficient of transmissibility thus determined ranged from 1.2×10^5 to 4.6×10^5 gpd/ft (gallons per day per foot) and averaged 2.6×10^5 gpd/ft; the coefficient of storage ranged from 4×10^{-4} to 3×10^{-2} and averaged 1.6×10^{-2} . The coefficient of storage of the aquifer outside the irrigation areas was assumed to be about one-third of that inside the irrigation areas, or about 5×10^{-3} , and the average coefficient of storage for the parts of the aquifer affected by pumping for irrigation is assumed to be about 10^{-2} .

In most places the water from the Dog Creek Shale and the Blaine Formation is so highly mineralized that it is not suitable for drinking or cooking; however, the municipal supply of Duke and Eldorado is obtained from wells tapping these formations. Much of the water for rural domestic supplies is hauled from the larger towns whose municipal-supply wells tap terrace deposits either inside or outside the report area. Although the water is highly mineralized, it is generally used for livestock and in most of the area for irrigation.

Recharge to the Dog Creek Shale and Blaine Formation in the 400,000 acres affected by pumping for irrigation is about 7 percent of the rainfall, or about 56,000 acre-feet per year. The "safe perennial yield" of the main aquifer is tentatively estimated to be about 50,000 acre-feet per year, and the amount of water in storage is estimated to be about 340,000 acre-feet. Water in storage was about 12,500 acre-feet less in 1962 than 5 years earlier. If a third of the water in storage can be recovered by pumping, withdrawals at the estimated rate of the "safe perennial yield" could be continued for only 2 years if no recharge occurred. Because there has been continued development of the aquifer since 1955, it is concluded that the aquifer is overdeveloped and that a drought of 2 or 3 years' duration would result in the curtailment of pumping in the area.

INTRODUCTION

Prior to 1942 the bedrock in Greer, Harmon, and Jackson Counties was believed to be incapable of yielding more than enough water for domestic and stock needs. Then, in 1942, a successful irrigation well was drilled just west of the town of Duke, and by 1955, 327 irrigation wells were known to be in use within the area described by this report. Most of these wells obtain water from solution cavities in the gypsum, dolomite, or limestone beds of the Permian bedrock.

In 1949 the Oklahoma State Legislature, recognizing the value of the ground-water resources of the State, passed the Oklahoma Ground Water Law (title 82, sec. 1001-1019 incl., Oklahoma Statutes, 1951). The Oklahoma Planning and Resources Board was assigned responsibility for making hydrographic surveys to establish the facts necessary for the adjudication of water rights. The Board was authorized to cooperate with Federal agencies in making such surveys and to accept and use the results of the work of agencies of the Federal Government. In 1957 the Oklahoma State Legislature created the Oklahoma Water Resources Board and transferred to it the functions and responsibilities previously assigned to the Water Resources Division of the Oklahoma Planning and Resources Board.

Purpose and Scope of this Investigation

Owing to the rapidly increasing use of ground water for irrigation in the area described in this report, an appraisal of the ground-water supply was needed. An investigation to determine the occurrence, quantity, and quality of the water in the Permian bedrock was made, and the results of that investigation are presented in this report.

The investigation was begun in July 1953 by the U.S. Geological Survey in cooperation with the Oklahoma Planning and Resources Board. Project work was under the general administration of A. N. Sayre and P. E. Lamoreaux, former chiefs of the Ground Water Branch, U.S. Geological Survey, and O. M. Hackett, present chief. Cooperating agencies were the Division of Water Resources, Oklahoma Planning and Resources Board (Ira C. Husky, director); and the Oklahoma Water Resources Board (Francis J. Borelli, former director, and Frank Raab, director). Stuart L. Schoff, district geologist, U.S. Geological Survey, directly supervised the field work and A. R. Leonard the completion of the report.

Location and Extent of the Area

The area investigated covers about 1,170 square miles in the extreme southwestern part of Oklahoma (fig. 1). It is bounded on the north by the north line of T. 6 N., Rs. 26 and 27 W., and by Elm Fork of North Fork Red River; on the east by the east line of Tps. 4 and 5 N., R. 22 W., and Salt




Figure 1.--Index map of Oklahoma showing area of this report.

Fork Red River; on the south by the Red River (the southern boundary of Oklahoma); and on the west by the State of Texas. The area includes all of Harmon County and adjacent parts of Greer and Jackson Counties.

Previous Work in the Area

Gould (1905) in his report on the geology and water resources of Oklahoma discussed the ground water and stratigraphy in the area of the present study. His report includes a geologic map, three measured sections of the Blaine Formation (then known as the Greer Formation), and detailed descriptions of the gypsum and limestone or dolomite beds. A table of well records includes data on 10 wells in the area and comments on the chemical quality of the water.

Reports by Clifton (1928, 1930) were concerned chiefly with the possibility of oil and gas production. He discussed briefly the drainage, topography, geologic history, and stratigraphy of the area. One of the reports also contains the logs of two wells in this area and a geologic map of Greer, Harmon, Jackson, and Tillman Counties.

Sears (1951) discussed the topography, drainage, and stratigraphy of Jackson County and most of Harmon and Greer Counties. His report includes three structure-contour maps and two figures showing subsurface correlation and structure, as determined by means of electric logs.

A report by Schoff (1948) contains brief discussions of the stratigraphy and hydrology of a small area near the town of Duke. Information on eight irrigation wells in Jackson and Greer Counties is given in a table, and the wells are discussed in more detail in the text. A chemical analysis of the water from one of the wells is included.

Methods of this Investigation

Local farmers and well drillers were interviewed and well logs were collected from them during the course of the field work. A systematic well inventory was begun in August 1953 and completed in January 1954 by personnel of the Oklahoma Planning and Resources Board and the U.S. Geological Survey. Special effort was made to obtain pertinent data on irrigation wells and other wells of large capacity. To obtain an estimate of the overall use of ground water in the area, a complete count of wells was made in two townships that were representative of the entire area--T. 5 N., R. 22 W., and T. 2 N., R. 24 W. Altogether, 776 wells and test holes were inventoried during the investigation; they are listed in appendix B.

Geologic mapping was begun in September 1953 and completed in early December 1953. Mapping was done with the aid of aerial photographs, and the contacts were drawn on township plats on a scale of one inch per mile. The base map was prepared from county highway maps, and the drainage was

superimposed from aerial photographs. The Permian bedrock, terrace deposits, and alluvium were mapped as separate geologic units, but no attempt was made to subdivide the bedrock into all the recognized stratigraphic units. A distinctive gypsum bed, the Haystack Gypsum Member of the Blaine Formation, was selected as a key bed and its base was mapped to aid in illustrating the areal distribution of the Blaine and to help determine the regional structure of this formation and the overlying Dog Creek Shale. The stratigraphic sections given in appendix A also were measured in late 1953.

Several persons, especially irrigators and drillers, in the area of this investigation reported visible movement of water in wells. During the investigation there were several opportunities to both see and hear small streams of water flowing into wells. These observations suggested the possibility of rapid movement of ground water in areas where the larger solution cavities are concentrated. However, attempts to determine the rate of flow by use of a Pygmy current meter and by the use of fluorescein dye did not indicate rapid movement of ground water within the zone of saturation.

Bimonthly measurements of the water level in about 145 wells were begun in February 1954 and ended in June 1955; 44 of these wells were selected as observation wells in which measurements of water level were made every month. A field party of the Oklahoma Planning and Resources Board determined surface altitudes of test holes, wells, and selected points on the key bed by trigonometric leveling during the course of the investigation.

An inventory of pumpage for 1954 was begun in April 1954. All irrigators were interviewed in the spring and early summer of 1954, at the beginning of the irrigation season, and again in the fall of 1954 and early winter of 1955, after the irrigation season. During the pumpage inventory, records of several new irrigation wells were obtained; by the end of March 1955, a total of 327 irrigation wells had been inventoried.

Of the 127 test holes for which information was obtained, 8 were drilled as part of this investigation, 2 were drilled by the towns of Gould and Hollis, 115 were drilled by private landowners, and 2 were started by private owners, then deepened for this investigation.

Test-holes 2N-26W-3dbcl and 4N-25W-3lcdb1 were drilled by private owners in search of irrigation water and were deepened for this investigation to determine the depth to the bottom of the Blaine Formation, which is considered to be the lower limit of the strata from which large amounts of usable ground water can be obtained. Well 2N-26W-3dbcl later was converted to an irrigation well. Test-holes 4N-24W-14bbb2, 4N-24W-17dad1, 4N-25W-23aaa1, 4N-25W-24cbc2, 4N-25W-24cbc3, 4N-25W-29aaa3, 4N-26W-14cdc2, and 4N-27W-13cdd2 were drilled to determine the depth to water not only in the terrace deposits and Whitehorse Group but also in the underlying Dog Creek Shale and Blaine Formation, but three of them were not suitable for that purpose. Each of the test holes first was drilled through the terrace deposits and Whitehorse Group and the and the depth to water measured. Then a casing was set to seal out the water

in the upper rocks, the hole was deepened into the Dog Creek Shale or Blaine Formation, and if water was encountered, the depth to water in the lower strata was measured. The success of each test hole depended on the satisfactory setting and sealing of the casing to exclude water from the overlying rocks and on encountering water in the Dog Creek Shale or Blaine Formation.

A portable single-electrode logger was used to make an electric log of nine wells, but no beds could be correlated reliably from one to another of the wells.

Chemical analyses of 43 samples of ground water and 6 samples from springs were made in the U.S. Geological Survey laboratory at Oklahoma City. This laboratory is operated on a cooperative basis by the Geological Survey and the Oklahoma Water Resources Board. The analyses are given in table 7.

Well-Numbering System

The numbers used for wells and test holes in this report are based on the land-survey system of the Bureau of Land Management. In the location system the first digit of a well number indicates the township, the second the range, and the third the section in which the well is situated. The first lowercase letter denotes the quarter section (160-acre tract), the second the quarter-quarter section (40-acre tract), and the third the quarter-quarter-quarter section (10-acre tract). Within the tract wells are numbered serially, as indicated by the final digit of the number. Thus well 2N-23W-12bbd1 is the first well for which records were obtained in the SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ sec. 12, T. 2 N., R. 23 W. (fig. 2).

Some wells have been designated by only one or two lowercase letters. If only one lowercase letter is used, the well location is known only to the nearest quarter section. If two letters are used, the location is known to the quarter-quarter section.

Acknowledgments

The writers express appreciation to the many well owners who supplied information and permitted use of their wells for observation purposes. Walter Bell, Oscar Bryant, Ed Masters, Wilcy Moore, F. E. Motley, and Brian Putnam were especially helpful in allowing use of their wells for the experiments with fluorescein dye.

Most of the logs of wells and test holes collected for this report were made available through the cooperation of drillers, especially Jack Jenkins of Hollis and Ivan Owen of Duke.

The chemical analyses of water used in this report were made under the supervision of T. B. Dover, district chemist, and the streamflow measurements

under the supervision of S. K. Jackson, district engineer, both of the U.S. Geological Survey. The illustrations were drafted by Mrs. Grace Drennan, Oklahoma Water Resources Board. The periodic measurements of ground-water levels and much of the well inventory were by Dannie E. Spiser, Oklahoma Water Resources Board.




Figure 2 — Diagram showing well-numbering system used in this report.

GEOGRAPHY

Topography and Drainage

The maximum regional relief, as determined from altitudes established by a leveling party during the course of this investigation, is about 700 feet. The highest point recorded, in NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ sec. 16, T. 5 N., R. 26 W., has an altitude of 1,954.8 feet; the lowest point, along Gypsum Creek in the SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ sec. 12, T. 1 S., R. 22 W., has an altitude of 1,294.8 feet. The lowest point in the area, at the mouth of Salt Fork, has an altitude of about 1,230 feet. Although locally the relief is as much as 200 feet, it generally is much less.

The most widespread topographic form in the area is that which has been called "Gypsum Hills" by some authors (Gould, 1905; Clifton, 1928; and Sears, 1951). Gould applied the term to those areas having marked relief due to the "unequal erosion consequent upon the relatively hard ledges of gypsum which outcrop in this part of the territory and to the hard sandstone and dolomite which lie above the gypsum."

In Jackson and Harmon Counties "Gypsum Hills" topography is characterized by a series of benches supported by resistant beds. The edges of the benches slope sharply, and vegetation is sparse or absent on the slopes. Most of the escarpments are about 5 to 15 feet high and are spaced widely. Local relief is not great at most places, but along the escarpment that runs approximately north and south through R. 22 W., at points on the escarpment along the north side of the Red River, along Gypsum Creek, and along Salt Fork and Elm Fork, relief may be as much as 150 to 200 feet.

The escarpment in R. 22 W. roughly parallels the course of Salt Fork at a distance ranging from 1 to 10 miles from the stream. It becomes progressively closer to Salt Fork in an upstream direction until, along the eastward-trending part of the stream in Greer and Harmon Counties, it rises abruptly from the alluvium. In Greer County and eastern Harmon County, the resistant gypsum and limestone beds form sheer cliffs and steeply rolling hills that bound the valley on both sides.

In Rs. 25 and 26 W., the gypsum beds form sheer cliffs and steeply rolling hills along the south edge of Elm Fork valley. To the east the exposures of gypsum trend southward, away from the stream, and are partly obscured by terrace deposits.

In a few areas, such as along the drainage divide between Lebos Creek and Turkey Creek in Harmon County, drainage is not well developed and the land is flat to gently rolling. Parts of the areas mapped as terrace deposits, such as those in Rs. 21 and 22 W., along the west side of Salt Fork, and and those in the central part of T. 5 N., R. 23 W., are nearly flat. Sand dunes that range in height from about 5 to 30 feet form the dominant

topography in the areas mapped as terrace deposits along the north side of the Red River, the south side of Elm Fork, and in T. 4 N., Rs. 24, 25, and 26 W.

Most of the surface is drained by nine streams, each of which has an integrated dendritic drainage pattern. These are the Red River and its tributaries: Lebos Creek, Gypsum Creek, Salt Fork, Turkey Creek, Elm Fork, Buck Creek, Fish Creek, and Mulberry Creek.

The Red River is an intermittent stream that is formed by the junction of Prairie Dog Town Fork Red River and Buck Creek about 2 miles east of the southwest corner of the State. It forms the south boundary of the area of this investigation and receives the drainage of all the area except that part along the north boundary, which is drained by Elm Fork of North Fork Red River.

Lebos Creek heads in the Texas Panhandle and flows southeastward across Harmon and Jackson Counties before joining the Red River in sec. 2, T. 2 S., R. 23 W. It is a perennial stream through the lower half of its course.

Gypsum Creek is an intermittent stream that originates in eastern Harmon County, flows southeastward through Jackson County, and joins the Red River in sec. 28, T. 1 S., R. 21 W.

Salt Fork is an intermittent stream. It heads in the Texas Panhandle and flows eastward across Harmon County and about 12 miles into Greer County before turning abruptly to flow southward through Greer and Jackson Counties. It joins the Red River in sec. 23, T. 1 S., R. 21 W.

Turkey Creek is an intermittent stream that has its headwaters in the area of terrace deposits in T. 4 N., Rs. 24 and 25 W. It flows east-southeastward and joins Salt Fork in sec. 22, T. 1 N., R. 21 W.

The Elm Fork is a perennial stream with headwaters in the Texas Panhandle. It flows across the southwestern part of Beckham County, the northern part of Harmon County, eastward across Greer County, and empties into North Fork Red River at a point outside the report area.

Buck Creek is an intermittent stream that heads in the Texas Panhandle, flows across the extreme southwest corner of Oklahoma, and joins Prairie Dog Town Fork in sec. 7, T. 1 N., R. 26 W., to form the Red River. Only the lower 2 or 3 miles of the stream is in Oklahoma.

Fish Creek originates within the area of this investigation, in T. 5 N., R. 25 W., and flows generally eastward for about 10 miles before emptying into Salt Fork in sec. 35, T. 5 N., R. 24 W. In the lower 2 miles of its course it has a perennial flow maintained by springs issuing from the Dog Creek Shale.

Mulberry Creek is only 5 miles long. It originates within the area of this investigation in T. 5 N., R. 23 W., and flows southward to join Salt Fork in sec. 16, T. 4 N., R. 23 W. It is a perennial stream in the lower part of its course, the flow being maintained by springs from terrace deposits.

Climate

The area has a dry subhumid climate (Thorntwaite, 1941, pl. 3). The normal annual temperature, as reported by the U.S. Weather Bureau (table 1) is 63.1°F at Hollis, and the average length of the growing season is 219 days. The mean annual precipitation is 22.05 inches at Eldorado, 22.69 inches at Hollis, and 22.99 inches at Mangum (tables 2 and 3).

Table 1.--Normal temperatures, in degrees Fahrenheit, at Hollis
(From records of the U.S. Weather Bureau)

Month	Temperature (°F)	Month	Temperature (°F)
January	40.2	July	85.5
February	44.4	August	84.9
March	51.7	September	76.3
April	62.4	October	64.9
May	71.3	November	50.4
June	81.3	December	42.4
Annual	63.1		

Table 2.--Summary of precipitation at Eldorado, Hollis, and Mangum
(From records of U.S. Weather Bureau)

Year	Precipitation, in inches			Average of three stations
	Eldorado	Hollis	Mangum	
1954	12.84	14.97	14.87	14.24
1955	31.80	25.18	30.29	29.10
1956	14.09	14.35	17.66	15.37
1957	36.05	32.10	30.95	33.03
1958	20.20	22.50	21.03	21.24
1959	24.82	28.43	26.61	26.62
1960	31.24	31.51	34.44	32.40
1961	22.42	16.79	24.39	21.21
1962	23.00	20.62	25.20	22.94
1963	14.54	14.70	14.25	14.50
10-year average	23.10	22.12	23.96	23.07
Long-term mean	22.05	22.69	22.99	22.58

Table 3.--Summary of monthly precipitation, in inches, at Eldorado, Hollis, and Mangum
(From records of U.S. Weather Bureau)

Month	Eldorado (1903-18) (1942-63) ^{a/}			Hollis (1922-63)			Mangum (1892-1963)		
	Maximum	Minimum	Mean	Maximum	Minimum	Mean	Maximum	Minimum	Mean
January	3.66	0.00		4.14	0.00	0.83	4.50	0.00	1.03
February	4.50	.00		2.38	.00	.90	4.61	.00	.95
March	5.60	.00		5.85	T	.96	6.25	.00	1.15
April	8.51	T		8.86	T	2.53	7.35	T	2.41
May	9.79	.01		12.70	.04	4.96	15.39	.91	4.74
June	8.82	T		9.65	.00	2.90	11.54	.00	2.69
July	7.70	T		8.14	.10	1.88	6.68	.00	1.61
August	10.44	.02		6.26	T	1.84	10.33	T	2.23
September	5.77	.00		8.61	.00	2.28	8.75	.00	2.24
October	11.51	.00		.06	T	1.91	15.75	.00	2.06
November	5.09	.00		2.97	.00	.76	6.03	.00	.90
December	5.03	.00		6.75	T	.94	5.00	.00	.98
Annual	36.58	11.57	22.05 ^{a/}	44.65	13.47	22.69	45.13	10.86	22.99

T Trace

^{a/} Average for 30 years of record

Agriculture

The economy of the report area is based on agriculture. The principal crops are cotton and wheat, but alfalfa and a variety of small grains and truck crops are becoming increasingly important as irrigation farming increases. Large parts of the area are used exclusively for grazing, and some of the cultivated land is used for grazing during the fall and winter months.

Industries

The Oklahoma Department of Commerce and Industry (1959, p. 22, 24) lists the following industrial or commercial enterprises in the area: at Hollis--a cottonseed processing plant, a machinery and equipment manufacturing company, a frozen-food plant, and a plant that manufactures handbags; at Mangum--a drainage- and irrigation-pipe manufacturer, a soft-drink bottling company, a dairy-products company, a gun shop, a feed mill, a brick and tile company, a printing and publishing company, an elevator, and a potato-chip company. Of these industries, one serves local markets, six serve State and regional markets, and two serve national markets.

There are cotton gins in both Hollis and Mangum and several in other parts of the area. In the extreme northwestern part of the area, sodium chloride salt is extracted by evaporation from waters issuing from springs in a canyon tributary to Elm Fork.

The Lone Star gasoline plant, which operated a few miles northwest of Hollis for several years, was closed in 1954.

Population

The area had a population of about 16,000, according to the 1960 census. Mangum, with a population of 3,950, is the largest city in the area. The 1960 populations of other cities and towns in the area were: Hollis, 3,006; Eldorado, 708; Olustee, 463; Duke, 333; and Gould, 241.

GENERAL GEOLOGY

The exposed rocks range in age from Permian to Quaternary. Throughout most of the area, the Permian rocks at the land surface consist of alternating layers of red and gray shale, gypsum, and limestone or dolomite, but in Tps. 5 and 6 N., R. 26 W., they consist predominantly of fine-grained red sandstone containing a few relatively thin, lenticular beds of gypsum. The Quaternary rocks consist of high-lying terrace deposits and alluvium, which underlies the bottom lands along the major streams.

The areal extent of the geologic formations are shown on the geologic map (pl. 1), and the character and water-bearing properties of each are described briefly in table 4.

Structural Summary

The structure of the bedrock in the area described in this report is related, in general, to the uplift of the Wichita Mountains to the northeast. According to Sears (1951, p. 52), the Permian rocks that are older than those exposed dip approximately 100 feet per mile southwestward. In order to determine the dip of the Dog Creek Shale and the Blaine Formation, the rocks from which most wells in this area obtain water, a key bed (the Haystack Gypsum Member of the Blaine Formation) was mapped, and the altitudes of several widely spaced points on this bed were determined by trigonometric leveling. (See section on the Blaine Formation.) The altitudes were determined for exposures in T. 1 N., R. 22 W.; T. 3 N., R. 22 W.; T. 4 N., R. 22 W.; T. 5 N., R. 23 W.; and T. 6 N., R. 26 W. On the basis of these altitudes, the regional dip of the Dog Creek Shale and Blaine Formation is about 14 feet per mile toward the south-southwest.

Small structural features are obscured by sinkhole development and the effects of local solution and collapse. The generally parallel valleys of streams, such as the Red River, and Lebos, Gypsum, and Turkey Creeks, suggest that structural features may have controlled the location of these valleys. The development of solution channels seems to be related to the surface drainage system (pl. 2) and both probably are related to structural features. However, the determination of the relations of these various features is beyond the scope of this investigation.

Permian System

The Permian rocks are the most productive source of ground water in the area. Although the towns of Duke and Eldorado obtain water from the Permian strata, the water from these rocks is rather highly mineralized and ordinarily is not used for human consumption. The Permian rocks include,

Table 4.--Generalized section of the exposed rocks in Harmon County
and adjacent parts of Greer and Jackson Counties

System	Group	Formation	Thickness (feet)	Lithology and water-bearing properties
Quaternary		Alluvium	0 - 40 ⁺	Sand, gravel, silt, and clay underlying the lowlands along the major streams. Yields hard water to municipal and stock wells.
		Terrace deposits	0 - 65 ⁺	Gravel, sand, silt, and clay underlying the terrace along the major streams and on the interstream divides. Yields hard water to domestic, stock, and municipal wells.
UNCONFORMITY				
Permian	Whitehorse		155 ⁺	Very fine to fine-grained brick-red massive, friable sandstone. Some of the sandstone is well cemented with gypsum. Includes one or more anhydritic lenticular gypsum beds about 2 or 3 feet thick. Yields small to moderate amounts of water to domestic and stock wells.
	UNCONFORMITY			
	El Reno	Dog Creek Shale	100 ⁺	Reddish-brown and gray shale alternating with beds of limestone, dolomite, anhydrite, and gypsum which contain solution cavities that yield large amounts of hard water to irrigation, stock, and municipal wells.
		Blaine Formation	140	Reddish-brown and gray shale alternating with beds of gypsum, anhydrite, limestone, and dolomite. The gypsum, anhydrite, limestone, and dolomite beds contain solution cavities that yield large amounts of water to irrigation, stock, and municipal wells.
		Flowerpot Shale and Duncan Sandstone	120	Alternating layers of reddish-brown and gray shale and fine sandstone with interspersed layers of nodular gypsum that range in thickness from 1 to 6 inches. Yield small amounts of hard water to stock wells.
	UNCONFORMITY			
		Hennessey Shale		Alternating layers of reddish-brown and gray shale with some lenticular beds of siltstone and fine-grained sandstone. Not known to yield water to any wells in the area of this investigation.

from oldest to youngest, the Hennessey Shale, the Duncan Sandstone, the Flowerpot Shale, the Blaine Formation, the Dog Creek Shale, and the Whitehorse Group.

The principal aquifers, the Dog Creek Shale and the Blaine Formation, are composed, in parts, of beds of gypsum, limestone, and dolomite. Subsurface solution and removal by percolating waters has produced many cavities in these rocks, and these cavities yield most of the water to the many irrigation wells in the area.

Hennessey Shale

The oldest geologic formation that crops out in the area is the Hennessey Shale, which was named for exposures near Hennessey in Kingfisher County. It was described by Aurin, Officer, and Gould (1926) as a clay-shale formation underlain by the Garber Sandstone and overlain by the Duncan Sandstone. In this area, the Hennessey Shale is exposed in only a few places along the south side of Elm Fork. It is composed of alternating layers of reddish-brown and gray shale and contains some lenticular beds of siltstone and fine-grained sandstone.

The Hennessey Shale is not known to yield any water to wells in this area, but it does yield small amounts of hard water to domestic, stock, and municipal wells outside the report area.

E1 Reno Group

The E1 Reno Group consists of the strata between the top of the Hennessey Shale and the base of the Whitehorse Group. It comprises the following formations, in ascending order: the Duncan Sandstone, Flowerpot Shale, Blaine Formation, and Dog Creek Shale.

Duncan Sandstone and Flowerpot Shale

The Duncan Sandstone was named by Gould (1924, p. 326-329) for exposures near Duncan in Stephens County. In its type locality, it consists of two or three layers of ledge-forming sandstone separated by shale and ranges in thickness from 75 to 250 feet. According to Davis (1955, p. 51), the Duncan Sandstone correlates with the lower part of the Flowerpot Shale of northern Oklahoma and the San Angelo Sandstone of Texas.

The overlying Flowerpot Shale was named by Cragin (1896, p. 3, 24-27) for Flowerpot Mound in Barber County, Kansas. He described it as "chiefly highly gypsiferous varicolored clays, 150 feet thick....."

Character.--The Duncan Sandstone underlies the Flowerpot Shale, and the two formations comprise about 120 feet of strata between the Hennessey Shale, below, and the Blaine Formation, above. The Duncan Sandstone and the Flowerpot Shale were not differentiated on the Geologic map of Oklahoma (Miser, 1954) nor during this investigation. In the report area, they are exposed between the outcrop bands of the Blaine Formation and the Hennessey Shale, terrace deposits, or the alluvium along Salt Fork and Elm Fork (fig. 2). Scott and Ham (1957, p. 13) describe the Duncan Sandstone as a brown or buff, indurated crossbedded silty domomitic sandstone interbedded with buff to gray silty shale. They measured a thickness of 28 feet for the Duncan about 12 miles northeast of the area, and about $2\frac{1}{2}$ feet in sec. 23, T. 7 N., R. 23 W., about 8 miles north of Elm Fork. The Flowerpot Shale is composed of alternating layers of reddish-brown, gray and green shale interspersed with many beds of dolomite and nodular gypsum that range in thickness from 1 inch to 1 foot.

Water supply.--The Duncan Sandstone and Flowerpot Shale are not known to yield much water to wells in the area. In the eastern part of the area, a few stock wells, equipped with windmills, are drilled through the thin terrace deposits and into these formations. The water is reported to be high in sulfate and unpalatable.

Blaine Formation

Character, thickness, and correlation.--The Blaine Formation was named by Gould (1902, p. 47) for exposures in Blaine County. Gould described it as averaging 75 feet in thickness and consisting of red shale containing interbedded strata of gypsum and dolomite. According to Fay (1958) the chief distinguishing features in the type area are three massive gypsum members named, in ascending order, Medicine Lodge Gypsum, Nescatunga Gypsum, and Shimer Gypsum Members.

In the report area, the rocks that make up the Blaine Formation were first assigned by Gould (1902, p. 52-57) to his Greer division, which he described as "a thickness of from 150 to 300 feet of rocks consisting of red clays, shales, and sandstone with intercalated beds of gypsum and magnesian limestone or dolomite." The base of the division was not well defined, but the top member was given the name Delphi dolomite, which he later (1905) changed to Mangum dolomite. The five most prominent gypsum layers were named, in ascending order, the Chaney gypsum, Kiser gypsum, Haystack gypsum, Cedartop gypsum, and Collingsworth gypsum. Later, Gould (1924) provisionally correlated the gypsum members of the Blaine Formation at the type locality with the Haystack, Cedartop, and Collingsworth gypsums, respectively, of his Greer division in southwestern Oklahoma.

The Blaine Gypsum, as the name commonly is used in Texas (Sellards and others, 1933), includes, in addition to equivalents of the Blaine at the type locality, a part of the underlying Flowerpot Shale and part or all of the overlying Dog Creek Shale. However, in this report the Blaine

includes the Chaney Gypsum Member, which is the lowest massive gypsum bed in the area, and, at its top, the Mangum Dolomite Member, which is well below the top of the formation as it is described in Texas. The total thickness of the formation, as thus defined, is about 140 feet. Thus, the Blaine Formation embraces the same stratigraphic units that constituted the Greer division of Gould. This usage coincides closely to the Blaine Gypsum as it is mapped on the Geologic map of Oklahoma.

The Oklahoma Geological Survey (Ham, 1958), on the basis of recent geologic work in the Carter area, has revised its classification of the Blaine Formation. As defined by Scott and Ham (1957) of that agency, the base of the Blaine is the base of the Haystack Gypsum Member and the top of the Blaine is the top of the gypsum member named Van Vacter by Scott and Ham. The Van Vacter Gypsum Member overlies the Mangum Dolomite Member but occurs only locally, and where it is absent the top of the Blaine is defined as the top of the Mangum. This definition excludes the Chaney and Kiser Gypsum Members, which, together with intervening shales, are considered by Scott and Ham to be a part of the underlying Flowerpot Shale.

The five gypsum beds and the Mangum Dolomite Member form a pronounced escarpment along the south and west sides of Salt Fork of Red River in Greer and Jackson Counties, although in Jackson County the escarpment is not as well defined as in Greer County. The escarpment is present also along the south side of Elm Fork in T. 5 N., R. 23 W., and in T. 6 N., Rs. 25 and 26 W.

The gypsum beds are mostly gray to white massive alabaster and contain many small clay-filled fissures. Solution channels as large as 1 foot in diameter can be seen in some surface exposures. In many places the beds consist largely of anhydrite and form well-defined ledges. They are not individually distinctive and cannot be identified reliably unless some underlying or overlying stratigraphic units also are exposed, so that identification can be substantiated by stratigraphic position. None of the gypsum beds is continuous laterally in surface exposures. Each either thins and disappears or is buried by rock debris from higher beds. For example, in the NW $\frac{1}{4}$ sec. 32, and the SW $\frac{1}{4}$ sec. 29, T. 5 N., R. 22 W., the the Cedartop Gypsum Member changes from a gypsum bed 3 or 4 feet thick to a 6-inch limestone bed within 100 feet.

The Haystack Gypsum Member persists laterally for much greater distances than do the other gypsum beds. Accordingly, it was selected as a key bed, and its base was mapped to help determine the structure of the Permian strata (pl. 1).

Between the gypsum members of the Blaine Formation are alternating layers of reddish-brown and gray to blue shale. The shale is calcareous in part and contains many thin beds of selenite or nodular alabaster that range in thickness from less than an inch to 6 inches. In some exposures shale contains many steeply dipping veins of selenite and satinspar gypsum which range in width from less than an inch to 4 inches.

The Mangum Dolomite Member, wherever exposed in the area, consists generally of slightly dolomitic limestone. It is gray, buff, or brown, and dense to finely crystalline. In most localities it is cavernous or honey-combed, but in other places it is massive and makes an excellent building stone. The unnamed limestone that lies about 10 feet above the Cedartop Gypsum Member (app. A) is very much like the Mangum and cannot reliably be differentiated from it unless a considerable part of the geologic section is exposed nearby. To further complicate the picture, several limestones in the overlying Dog Creek Shale are similar to the two limestones in the upper part of the Blaine Formation. Because their angle and direction of dip change sharply in short distances, the limestones are not considered satisfactory beds to map or for use in determining the structure of the underlying rocks.

Water supply.--Although most irrigation wells tapping the Blaine Formation in the eastern part of the area are pumped at rates ranging from 500 to 1,000 gpm (gallons per minute), a few have yields as high as 1,500 gpm. The wells obtain water from solution cavities in the gypsum, anhydrite, limestone, and dolomite beds of the Blaine. Because the occurrence of individual solution cavities cannot be predicted from surface evidence, it is not unusual for a successful irrigation well to be drilled within 100 feet of a place where another well drilled through the same sequence of beds failed to supply enough water for a stock well.

The water from the Blaine is very hard and has a very high calcium sulfate content. Although it constitutes the municipal-water supplies for the towns of Duke and Eldorado, it generally is unsuitable for human consumption.

Dog Creek Shale

Correlation and thickness.--The Dog Creek Shale was named by Cragin (1896, p. 39) for exposures along Dog Creek in Barber County, Kansas. According to Gould (1905, p. 53), Professor Cragin's original description of the Dog Creek Shale reads:

"The Dog Creek*****consists of some 30 feet, or locally of a less or greater thickness, of dull-red argillaceous shales, with laminae in the basal part and one or two ledges of unevenly lithified dolomite in the upper. The color of these shales resembles that which prevails in most of the division below rather than of the terranes above the Dog Creek."

Cragin assigned the Dog Creek to a position below the Red Bluff Sandstones (later the Whitehorse Group) and above the Shimer Gypsum Member of the Cave Creek Formation (later the Blaine Formation). Gould (1902, p. 50) stated that in many parts of Oklahoma the thickness of the Dog Creek was much greater than that given by Cragin. He ascribed a thickness of 225 feet to the Dog Creek near Quinlan, in eastern Woodward County. He

further stated that thicknesses of 150 and 175 feet were recorded at a number of places in Oklahoma, and that exposures were common along the top of the "Gypsum Hills" from Canadian County to the Kansas line, and beyond.

Locations where both the bottom and the top of the Dog Creek Shale are exposed within a distance of less than 5 or 6 miles of each other are known to occur in only the northwestern part of the area. The altitude of the base of the Dog Creek in the $SE\frac{1}{4}NE\frac{1}{4}$ sec. 14, T. 6 N., R. 26 W., and of the top in the $SW\frac{1}{4}NE\frac{1}{4}$ sec. 23, T. 6 N., R. 26 W., were determined by trigonometric leveling. They show the Dog Creek to be about 55 feet thick in that locality, but the Dog Creek is believed to be thicker southward.

Character and subdivisions.--Because the full thickness of the Dog Creek Shale is not exposed anywhere in the area, the lithic character of the formation is known only from scattered exposures of less than the full thickness. A gypsum bed 15 feet thick immediately overlies the Mangum Dolomite Member and underlies a limestone bed 1 or 2 feet thick in the stratigraphic section that was measured in the $NW\frac{1}{4}NW\frac{1}{4}SW\frac{1}{4}$ sec. 27, T. 6 N., R. 25 W. (app. A). This bed is the lower part of the Van Vacter Gypsum Member of the Blaine Formation of Scott and Ham (1957).

Several gypsum, anhydrite, limestone, and dolomite beds occur in the lower part of the Dog Creek Shale and perhaps throughout the formation. Where exposed, the limestone beds are buff to brown, dolomitic, dense to finely crystalline, and range in thickness from 1 foot to 5 feet. Like the Mangum Dolomite Member they are honeycombed and have a very high porosity. The dolomite beds are buff to light gray, dense, honeycombed, and thin-bedded, with about the same range of thickness as the limestones. The gypsum beds are gray to white, soft, and so badly slumped in most exposures that their thickness cannot be measured accurately. Solution channels 6 to 7 feet in diameter are exposed in the gypsum beds along Fish Creek in T. 5 N., R. 24 W., and some of these caverns have been traced several hundred feet. Anhydrite beds, exposed along the road cuts in most of southern Harmon County, are white, gray, or bluish gray, and range in thickness from 1 foot to 5 feet.

The base of the Haystack Gypsum Member of the Blaine Formation dips beneath the alluvium of Salt Fork in the $NW\frac{1}{4}SE\frac{1}{4}$ sec. 9, T. 4 N., R. 23 W. Along the north side of Salt Fork the Mangum Dolomite Member disappears beneath the alluvium about a mile upstream from where the Haystack disappears. At this point the basal part of the Dog Creek Shale contains three other limestone beds 1 to 3 feet thick and lithologically similar to the Mangum. These limestone beds are separated from each other by 5 to 10 feet of reddish-brown and gray shale. In the next mile upstream the lower two of these three limestone beds disappear below the surface, and a gypsum bed 10 feet thick occupies the interval between the middle and upper limestone beds. In the next 4 miles upstream at least two more gypsum beds appear higher in the Dog Creek Shale. They differ widely in

thickness in short distances along their outcrops; one grades latterly, within a distance of 100 feet, from a 10-foot gypsum bed to a 3-foot bed of sandy magnesian limestone. Above the upper bed of gypsum, which is about 30 feet thick, about 3 feet of thin-bedded light-gray dense dolomite is exposed.

In secs. 26, 27, 34, and 35, T. 5 N., R. 24 W., gypsum beds consisting of soft gray alabaster, more than 15 feet thick, are exposed along with several thin beds of limestone and dolomite. Because the gypsum beds slump badly, accurate measurements of their thickness and determinations of their stratigraphic position are possible in only a very few places.

Because of the lithologic similarity of the beds of the Dog Creek Shale to those in the Blaine Formation, the two formations could not be distinguished from each other.

Except in the area mapped on plate 1 as terrace deposits or alluvium, beds of gypsum, limestone, and dolomite from 1 foot to 5 feet thick are exposed throughout most of Harmon County south of Salt Fork. The exposures are designated Dog Creek Shale on the Geologic map of Oklahoma.

Water supply.--Many wells in Harmon County and western Jackson County are believed to obtain water from solution cavities in the gypsum, anhydrite, limestone, and dolomite beds of the Dog Creek Shale as well as from underlying rocks. Because the Dog Creek Shale and the Blaine Formation could not be distinguished in subsurface, it was not possible to determine which wells take water solely from the Dog Creek. The irrigation wells in Harmon County yield 500 to 2,000 gpm, or about as much as the wells in Jackson and Greer Counties which are believed to tap only the Blaine. For a well drilled into either the Dog Creek or the Blaine to yield enough water for irrigation, it must tap water-filled solution cavities. In Harmon County the water from these formations is very hard and has a very high calcium sulfate content (table 6). It is not known to be used for any regular consumption by humans. Locally in southeastern and northwestern Harmon County the water has a very high sodium chloride content.

Whitehorse Group

The youngest Permian rocks exposed in the area are those of the Whitehorse Group. As mapped on the Geologic map of Oklahoma, the Whitehorse Group includes those rocks above the El Reno Group and below the Cloud Chief Formation. The group unconformably overlies the El Reno Group and is divided into the Marlow Formation below and the Rush Springs Sandstone above. The two formations of the Whitehorse Group are not differentiated in this area on the Geologic map of Oklahoma nor were they mapped separately for this report.

The Whitehorse Group is exposed in the western half of T. 5 N., R. 25 W., the southern quarter of T. 6 N., R. 26 W., and almost all of T. 5 N., R. 26 W. It consists mostly of fine to very fine grained brick-red massive, friable sandstone. Some of the sandstone is well cemented with gypsum, and at some locations one or more anhydritic, lenticular gypsum beds, 2 to 3 feet thick, support poorly defined ledges. The topographic relief of the group indicates a maximum thickness of more than 100 feet.

Some domestic and stock wells derive small to moderate amounts of water from sandstone of the Whitehorse Group, but no wells tapping the Group are known to have large yields.

Quaternary System

The youngest rocks exposed in the report area are the terrace deposits and alluvium of the Quaternary System. The alluvium consists of stream-laid sediments in the bottom lands along the major streams; the terrace deposits, although of similar origin, occur at higher levels. Because this report is concerned primarily with water from the Permian bedrock, the water-bearing properties of the terrace deposits and alluvium were not studied in detail.

Terrace Deposits

The terrace deposits consist of interfingering lenses of clay, sandy clay, sand, and gravel. The largest areas of terrace deposits are along the north side of the Red River, the south side of Elm Fork, and the south and west sides of Salt Fork (pl. 1).

In the areas of terrace deposits along the north side of the Red River, the south side of Elm Fork, and in T. 4 N., Rs. 24, 25, and 26 W., the topography is dominated by sand dunes that range in height from about 5 to about 30 feet. It is in these areas that the terrace deposits are most important as an aquifer. The town of Gould gets its water supply from two wells that tap water from the terrace deposits in sec. 18, T. 4 N., R. 24 W., and the city of Hollis gets its water supply from four wells in sec. 20 and three springs in the terrace deposits in secs. 18 and 19, T. 4 N., R. 25 W. Many domestic and stock wells draw water from the terrace deposits, and yields of 100 to 300 gpm probably could be obtained.

The terrace deposits along the west side of the valley of Salt Fork, in Rs. 21 and 22 W., are composed almost entirely of brown and dark-gray clay. They are believed to be thin and may include colluvial material derived from the Permian bedrock to the west. The surface of the deposits is nearly flat with a very slight slope to the east or southeast. The town of Olustee obtains a small part of its municipal supply from a well tapping the terrace deposits in the NE $\frac{1}{4}$ sec. 20, T. 1 N., R. 21 W.

Alluvium

As mapped in this area, alluvium is the stream-laid sediment underlying the low-level terraces and the flood plain in the stream valleys and is separated from the higher terrace deposits on the basis of a well-defined topographic break. Because influent flow--that is, seepage from the stream into the alluvium--may occur naturally or be induced by pumping, the quality of the water in the alluvium may be affected by the quality of the water in the stream. The water in the major streams of this area is known to be highly mineralized.

Where the alluvium consists of significantly thick deposits of saturated sand and gravel it will yield moderate amounts of water to wells. The alluvium of Salt Fork in the report area yields water to a few stock wells, one irrigation well, and one of the Olustee municipal wells.

WATER RESOURCES

Surface Water

In the area of this investigation, only Elm Fork Red River, and the lower reaches of Fish, Lebos, and Mulberry Creeks may be classed as perennial streams. The discharge of these streams is maintained chiefly by effluent seepage of ground water from the Dog Creek Shale and the Blaine Formation. Other streams draining the area commonly cease flowing during the summer when evaporation, transpiration, and pumping are at a maximum.

A survey of water use made in 1953 indicated that only about 1,700 acre-feet of surface water was used for irrigation purposes. Most of the water was diverted from Gypsum, Lebos, and Turkey Creeks.

Through September 30, 1960, the records of discharge and stage of streams at gaging stations and at miscellaneous sites along the streams have been published in an annual series of U.S. Geological Survey Water-Supply Papers entitled "Surface Water Supply of the United States, pt. 7, Lower Mississippi River Basin.

Beginning with the 1961 water year, streamflow records and related data have been released by the Geological Survey in annual reports entitled "Surface-Water Records of Oklahoma."

Ground Water

The openings in permeable rocks that lie below the land surface generally are partly or completely filled with water called "subsurface water." (For definitions of many ground-water-hydrology terms see Meinzer, 1923.) Below a level known as the water table, all the openings are completely filled with water and the water-bearing rocks or deposits are called "aquifers." The permeable rocks that lie above the water table are said to be in the

"zone of aeration." In most places this zone can be subdivided into three parts, in ascending order: the capillary fringe, the intermediate belt, and the belt of soil moisture. In some places, however, the capillary fringe and the belt of soil moisture may be in direct contact or the capillary fringe may extend to the land surface.

In one form or another, water occurs almost everywhere. Although the great bulk of the total water supply is stored in the seas and oceans, a constant circulation is taking place. Evaporation from the surfaces of the oceans, streams, and lakes is nearly continuous. Most of the moisture so evaporated condenses and returns as precipitation to the earth's surface, part of it returns to the ocean as surface runoff, and part of it infiltrates beneath the surface of the earth. Some of the water that infiltrates into the ground is held by capillary attraction near the surface and is later evaporated; some is used by vegetation and returned to the atmosphere by transpiration; and some joins the ground-water reservoir and slowly moves to places of discharge from wells and springs and into streams. This sequence of events is known as the hydrologic cycle and is represented graphically in figure 3.

Water-Table and Artesian Conditions

Ground water may occur under either artesian or water-table conditions. If an aquifer is overlain by a relatively impermeable stratum, the water in the aquifer may be confined and have an artesian pressure head.¹ Because of this pressure head, water stands at a level above the upper surface of the aquifer in any well that taps the aquifer. The surface to which the water from an artesian aquifer will rise under its full head when the confining layer is punctured is called the "piezometric surface" of that aquifer; it is an imaginary surface that coincides with the static level of the water in wells tapping the aquifer.

Water-table conditions exist where the upper surface of the water is not confined by an impermeable bed and it is free to fluctuate. This upper surface of the ground-water body is the water table. It is an irregular, sloping surface that in general approximates the slope of the land surface but has less relief. Where the rate of replenishment, or recharge, is greater locally than in the surrounding area, the water table may form a mound or ridge from which the water spreads outward.

"Perched" ground water is a body of ground water separated from another, underlying body of ground water by unsaturated strata. Perched water belongs to a different zone of saturation from that occupied by the underlying ground water and its water surface is a "perched water table," in

¹Many explanations and definitions used for completeness of this report were freely copied from other published reports (Barclay and Burton, 1953; Meinzer, 1923; Reed, Mogg, Barclay, and Peden, 1952).




Figure 3.--Graphic representation of the hydrologic cycle

contrast to that of the lower zone of saturation, which is called the "main water table."

In the area much of the water is confined in solution channels in gypsum, limestone, and dolomite beds. All irrigation wells in the principal irrigation areas tap the Dog Creek Shale or Blaine Formation and derive all or part of their water from solution channels in these formations. Wells drilled into the Dog Creek Shale or Blaine Formation beneath alluvium or terrace deposits may derive part of their water from the surface deposits; therefore, the water tapped probably occurs under water-table conditions. Also, in areas of heavy pumping, artesian conditions may exist when water levels are high, and water-table conditions may exist after a period of sustained pumping. The terrace and alluvial deposits of the area, especially the terrace deposits on the south side of Salt Fork, may contain a body of perched ground water.

Movement

Ground water, like other liquids, moves from points of higher head to points of lower head; therefore, water loses head as it moves. The difference in altitude between any two points on the water table or piezometric surface is the difference in head at those two points. Although the quantity of water in an aquifer depends on the porosity, or percentage of openings, in the material composing the aquifer, the ease with which water moves within an aquifer is governed by the permeability of those materials. Permeability is a function not only of the size and arrangement of the particles in the aquifer but also of the size and interconnection of the pores or openings. A high porosity does not necessarily indicate a high permeability. For example, a fine-grained sediment, such as clay, may be highly porous but may have a low permeability because the openings are so small. Although saturated, a clay will not yield significant quantities of water to gravity drainage because nearly all the water is held to the surface of the clay particles by molecular attraction.

Irrigators and drillers have reported visible movement of water in wells in this area, and during the course of this investigation water has been seen and heard flowing into wells. Such observations suggested the possibility of a rapid ground-water movement in areas where large solution cavities are concentrated. Attempts were made to determine the rate of flow by the use of a Pygmy current meter and of fluorescein dye.

A conventional Pygmy current meter was adapted for use in the large-diameter wells by mounting it on a vertical shaft about 14 inches long, leaving the meter free to rotate on the shaft, and adding a short fin to direct the meter into any horizontal current. Light-weight steel discs about 10 inches in diameter were put on the shaft, one above the other below the meter, to prevent contact of the meter with the wall of the well. The earphone connections were conventional. Because of the protective discs and the construction of the instrument, the meter would be much more

sensitive to horizontal than to vertical flow in a well; however, even a gentle raising or lowering of the meter in the well caused turbulence of the water which was recorded by the meter. Therefore, the instrument is believed to have been sensitive enough to record any abnormally rapid flow. No lateral movement of water could be detected in any of the six wells (1N-25W-1dbc1, 1N-25W-1lbaa1, 2N-22W-3bc1, 2N-22W-6cac1, 2N-23W-1cbd1, 3N-23W-2ladd1) in which the meter was used. One of the attempts to measure the rate of flow was made in a well (2N-23W-1cbd1) about 75 yards from an irrigation well that was being pumped. Even though pumping of the irrigation well had caused appreciable drawdown of the water level in the observation well, the flow was too slow to be detected by the current meter.

The use of fluorescein dye to trace ground-water movement was first described by Dole (1906). Attempts to use this method during this investigation were made on the Oscar Bryant farm in the SE $\frac{1}{4}$ sec. 21, T. 2 N., R. 25 W., and in the vicinity of the Wilcy Moore farm in the NW $\frac{1}{4}$ sec. 32, T. 3 N., R. 25 W. Oscar Bryant's irrigation well (2N-25W-2ldac1) is one of six wells drilled very close together. Of the other five wells, one is welded shut and covered with soil. The remaining four wells (2N-25W-2ldac3, -2ldac4, -2ldac5, and -2ldac6) are about 100 feet to the northwest, north, northeast, and east, respectively, of the irrigation well. The dye was put in the northwest well because a preliminary water-level map showed a hydraulic gradient to the southeast. At about 1:00 p.m. on October 7, 1953, one-eighth pound of yellow fluorescein dye was dissolved in one quart of water and then the jar containing the solution was lowered and raised several times through the column of water in the well to insure a thorough mixing of the solution with the well water. Three samples of water were taken from each of the three observation wells that afternoon, and at about 4:35 p.m. the irrigation well was pumped for 1 minute and a sample of water was taken from it. None of the samples contained visible concentration of dye. The next morning the observation wells were checked again, and again no dye was noted. Then a series of eight samples of water was taken at various depths in the injection well to see if any change could be detected. The change of concentration at various depths between the time of injection and the next day is represented in figure 4 by the shaded area.

Because the driller of these wells reported that a small cavity was encountered at about 42 feet when drilling the injection well and because water could be heard flowing in a nearby well when the irrigation well had been pumped for several hours, the change in concentration of dye is believed to be due primarily to the pumping of the irrigation well during the experiment. When the last samples from the observation wells were collected, at about 11:30 a.m. on October 8, 1953, there still was no trace of the dye. The experiment was abandoned with the conviction that movement of ground water could not be detected by this method at this location.




Figure 4.--Diagram showing in concentration of dye in irrigation well during test

Wilcy Moore's irrigation well (3N-25W-32bbb1) was chosen for use as the injection well in the other dye test for the following reasons: (1) according to Mr. Moore and the driller of the well, no cuttings could be recovered from a depth greater than 80 feet, a fact suggesting that the well was drilled into a solution channel; (2) eight other irrigation wells between half a mile and 2 miles southeast of Mr. Moore's well could be used as dye-detection points; and (3) according to Mr. Moore, the yield of his well was reduced when one of the nearby wells was being pumped, a fact indicating a direct hydraulic connection between the wells.

A pound of dye was dissolved in 10 gallons of water and the solution poured into the well. To be sure of thorough mixing in the well, the turbine pump was started several times and each time was shut off to cause backwashing when the water began to flow from the pump. Several samples of water were collected from the observation wells and the injection well between November 17 and 25, but none of the samples from the observation wells showed a trace of the dye and none of the samples from the injection well indicated a lessening of the dye concentration in that well. On December 14, 1953, nearly 4 weeks after the experiment was begun, the injection well was pumped for a few minutes; for only a moment the water was rather yellow, but the concentration of the dye had decreased noticeably. The experiment was terminated, the evidence indicating that natural ground-water movement is exceedingly slow through even the major solution channels at this location.

The failure to demonstrate rapid movement of ground water through wells led to the conclusion that such movement does not occur under natural conditions except, possibly, in the immediate vicinity of springs and in the small amount that is continuously percolating downward to the zone of saturation through small solution channels. Apparently the drilling of some wells has provided a conduit for movement of water from higher to lower solution channels and, if this is so, the sound of running water in the wells may be due to water trickling down either the inside or the outside of the casing, or both. The appearance of water movement probably is merely a surface phenomenon caused by water entering a well above the level of the water surface, more so on one side of the well than on the other. Generally, rapid lateral movement of water within the zone of saturation occurs only when large quantities of water are being withdrawn from wells.

Water-Level Fluctuations and Effects of Pumping

The water level in an aquifer rises or falls continuously in response to changes in the ratio of recharge to discharge. During wet seasons, water normally is added to storage at a faster rate than it is discharged and the water level rises. Conversely, during dry seasons, the rate of discharge exceeds the rate of recharge and water levels decline. It is the discharge of ground water that maintains the flow of streams when overland runoff is negligible. Discharge of water by evaporation, by transpiration, or by pumping from wells also lowers the water level. The position of the water level at any given time is the net effect of all previous recharge and discharge, and is a measure of the quantity of water then in storage. The position of the water level is determined by measuring the depth to water in wells, and changes in its position are detected by measuring the depth to water at intervals.

Some fluctuations of the water level in wells are not related to recharge and discharge, but may be caused by tides, earthquakes, or variations of atmospheric (barometric) pressure. Of these causes, it is believed that only the atmospheric pressure significantly affects the water levels in the report area.

Water-level measurements were made, at approximately bimonthly intervals, from February 1954 to June 1955 in about 145 wells tapping various aquifers in the area. (See table 5). An additional measurement was made in September 1954, when the water levels were believed to have reached their lowest stage of the year. Monthly measurements were continued in 44 wells in conjunction with the Oklahoma part of the Federal observation-well program and 34 were still being measured in 1963. Because many of the observation wells were pumped frequently by their owners, a measurement of the static water level could not be made on every visit to the well. The locations of the individual wells in which observations of the water level

were made are shown on the map showing water-level contours (pl. 2) and the maps showing changes in water level (pls. 3-6).

The contour lines on the map (pl. 2) show the altitude of the water level for about February 1954. Measurements for this date were used because several months had elapsed since pumping for the 1953 irrigation season had ended and pumping for the 1954 irrigation season had not yet begun, and also because February is a period of very little plant growth and evapotranspiration loss would be low.

The hydrographs in figure 5 show average changes in water level, both inside and outside the irrigation areas, in 1954 and 1955. They show the rapid response of water levels to periods of heavy rainfall such as May 1954 and May and October 1955. They also show that although water levels decline somewhat in all areas during the late summer months, the decline is much greater in the areas where large quantities of water are withdrawn for irrigation.

Figure 6 is a hydrograph showing the average change in water level (based on a "zero" level in November 1950) in eight wells that have been measured for a relatively long period. Plate 7 consists of hydrographs for four wells, each in a different irrigation area. The four hydrographs are believed to be typical of the water-level fluctuations in the respective areas. Water levels in all four wells were relatively low near the end of 1956, about the end of a severe drought period. All rose sharply in 1957 during the intensive wet period that broke the drought. Water levels in all four wells declined during the period June-September each year when wells were pumped heavily for irrigation. An exception was 1960, an unusually wet year during which very little irrigation water was required and water levels rose during the normal irrigation season. Generally, water levels were not as low during the latter part of 1963 as they had been in other years. This suggests the drought of 1963 was not as severe, in its effect on ground-water supplies in this area, as the drought of the mid-fifties. One reason is that water levels were at a relatively high stage at the beginning of 1963.

The water-level-change maps, plates 3, 4, 5, and 6, illustrate the important changes in water level from season to season during the period when intensive field work was underway for this investigation. These illustrations show the water-level changes resulting from recharge, irrigation pumping and other forms of discharge, and the movement of ground water. The outlines of the irrigation areas, also shown on the maps, help demonstrate the relation of areas of pumping to the changes of water level during the various periods.

Plate 6, which is based on measurements in 126 wells, shows the change in water levels from June to September 1954. Levels declined throughout most of the area owing to the meager rainfall and heavy irrigation pumping. Declines were greatest in areas where pumping was most concentrated.




FIGURE 5-- Graphs showing average changes of water level in the Dog Creek Shale and Blaine Formation, Feb. 1954 to Dec. 1955, and average precipitation at Eldorado, Hollis, and Mangum.




FIGURE 6-- Hydrograph showing average change of water level in eight observation wells based on the November 1950 level.

Plate 3, based on measurements in 125 wells, shows the change in water levels from September 1954 to February 1955. A season of heavy pumping had just ended, but there was very little rainfall or pumping during this interval. The water level rose as much as 25 feet locally in some irrigation areas, but it declined in other places both inside and outside irrigation areas. The rate of recovery seemed to be slower southwest of Gould, along a tributary of Lebos Creek, than elsewhere. This seems to be a local condition, but if ground-water withdrawals are increased along this tributary, water levels may become so low during the irrigation season that they will not recover fully during the following nonirrigation season.

Plate 4 is based on the difference in water levels in 95 wells between April and June 1955. In April and early May considerable water was pumped for irrigation, but almost no water was pumped in late May and June because of heavy rains. The recharge from precipitation, together with the shifting of water to fill local cones of depression, caused a general rise in the water level. This demonstrates the rapid rate that a large volume of recharging water can penetrate the aquifer after a period of heavy precipitation. The rise of water level along the tributary of Lebos Creek, southwest of Gould, again lagged behind recovery in other parts of the area. There was a slight but persistent decline of water level in the terrace deposits south of Salt Fork and a rise in level in the northwestern part of the area. The decline in the terrace deposits may be caused by the time lag between infiltration and the resulting rise in water levels.

Plate 5, based on measurements in 135 wells, shows that the water level declined at least slightly throughout most of the area from June 1954 to June 1955. In the heavily pumped areas of T. 2 N., R. 25 W., and T. 3 N., R. 23 W., declines were rather large. This map shows a locally significant rise in water level just south of Hollis, which may identify a local but persistent source of recharge to the surrounding area. It may be caused by concentrated and well-developed sinkholes in the area and by a good catchment area of alluvial and terrace deposits. The time lag for recharge southwest of Gould is again noticeable.

The water-level data, from which water-level-change maps were developed, are given in table 5.

Discharge

Ground water is discharged continuously from an aquifer by seepage through springs and into streams, evaporation, transpiration by plants, flow into adjoining aquifers, and flow or pumping from wells.

Effluent seepage.--Water that flows out of the zone of saturation and into a stream whose surface is lower than the water level in the aquifer is termed effluent seepage, and a stream into which ground water discharges is called an effluent, or gaining, stream.

Table 5.--Depth to water in wells in Harmon County and adjacent parts
of Greer and Jackson Counties

(feet below land-surface datum)

Well number	2nd week of Feb. 1954	3rd week of April 1954	4th week of June 1954	4th week of Aug. 1954	4th week of Sept. 1954	4th week of Oct. 1954	1st week of Jan. 1955	2nd week of Feb. 1955	3rd week of April 1955	4th week of June 1955
<u>GREEK COUNTY</u>										
3N-22W-3bbb1	13.9	13.7	12.8	14.5	14.7	14.6	14.7	14.7	14.7	14.4
13baa1	12.5	14.3	10.4	10.8	10.9	11.4	11.7	14.4	12.1	11.0
16dac1	16.2	16.8	15.7	16.4	16.6	16.7		16.8	17.0	15.6
3N-23W-2bdd1	63.8	64.6	60.8	62.1	62.7	63.1	64.2	64.7	72.1	
3dad1	39.8	39.6	36.7	39.8	39.0	41.5	42.0	52.2		42.3
3ddb1		41.1	36.3	40.0	42.0	43.2	43.9	56.8	47.6	41.3
4cba1	74.4	79.5	70.1		85.7	82.4	80.7	80.4	105.7	73.5
16daa1	40.8	48.1		65.5		54.0	48.4	45.6	66.5	38.4
17aa1	52.9	60.5	49.7		77.9	66.2	60.6	57.9	80.2	50.4
4N-22W-4aad1	6.5	7.9	7.3	9.3	9.6	10.2	9.4	9.3	8.9	7.5
15dcc1	7.3	7.1	5.5		8.0	8.3	8.6	8.7	9.7	5.1
17baa1	74.0	75.1				75.0		75.5	73.5	73.2
19dda1	74.8	75.0	75.1	73.9	73.7	73.9	73.9	73.9	74.0	72.5
27cbb1	6.2	5.6	4.8	5.9	6.4	6.5	6.6	6.8	7.5	4.0
32cbb1	47.8	47.7	46.1	46.6	46.8	46.8	47.1	47.1	47.1	46.3
4N-23W-3aaa1		54.5	56.4							53.9
20ddd1	37.6	38.6	35.4	39.6	40.0	39.3	39.0	39.0	42.2	39.0
20ccc1	+ 1.7	+ 0.9	+ 1.6	+ 0.3	0.0	+ 0.4	+ 0.1	+ 0.3	2.0	3.8
33dab1		48.3	42.1		61.3	48.9	47.0	46.7	57.6	50.1
4N-24W-12ddd1	27.0	27.0	27.2	29.7	30.1	30.4	29.6	30.4	36.9	29.8
14bbb1	31.9	32.2	32.3	32.4	32.5	32.5	32.6	32.6	32.5	32.8
26ddc1	69.9	72.0	69.4	72.0	73.3	71.7	74.0	74.3	79.1	77.8
5N-22W-7bbb1	15.4	16.5	13.6	15.8	17.9	18.1	17.6	16.7	19.3	11.4
11ddc1	33.8	33.8	33.6	34.1	34.1	34.1	34.1	34.0		
16cdc1	9.7	9.5	8.9	9.4	9.9	10.8	13.6	13.1	14.5	9.9
19baa1	18.8		18.3	19.4	19.7	19.6	20.0	20.0	19.9	17.5
25bcc1	25.8	25.8	25.8	25.9	26.2	25.9	26.0	26.2	26.0	25.8
5N-23W-3cd1	52.8	58.4	50.4							
6bbc1	31.6	32.1	32.7	32.9	33.1	33.2	33.5	33.8	34.2	34.2
20dcc1	60.2	60.6	60.8	61.0	61.1	61.4	61.7	61.6	62.1	
22aaa1	94.9		92.9	100.1		96.8	99.7	99.0		94.7
5N-24W-2baa1	10.4	10.0	10.5						11.3	10.8
15ddd1		29.4	25.8	27.8	28.5	29.1	30.1	32.7	31.3	27.4
<u>HARMON COUNTY</u>										
N-24W-4bc1	85.9	86.8	77.8	83.0	87.0	89.1	89.8	90.5	92.1	86.7
15aad1		28.4	19.7		27.2	27.9	28.7	29.2		18.6
20ddd1	67.5		67.1	71.0	71.1	70.6	70.2	70.1		70.6
25dad1	30.1	30.3	29.2	31.2	31.8	32.2	32.3	32.3	32.7	31.4
30dbb1	11.2	12.1	11.6	17.8	17.3	15.4	13.7	13.4	17.4	12.2
34dcc1	15.1	15.4	15.6		16.8	16.6	16.2	15.7	16.4	14.7
1N-25W-4dba1	51.1	55.5	53.2			59.8	56.8	56.2		56.2
8caa1	102.6	113.7	103.6	107.1	108.7	108.5	107.6	106.7	109.2	106.9
11baa1	44.9	75.1	50.0		60.5	53.0	50.7	50.0		49.5
13dcc1	21.4	22.7	20.8	23.5	26.7	24.0	19.8	22.4	27.3	21.7
36cac1	20.6	21.5	21.2			25.2	24.0	23.4	27.2	21.7
1N-26W-5aab1	71.8	80.6	74.6		91.4	81.6	76.8	76.4		73.1
2N-24W-2bc1	52.5						54.1	54.0		
13ccd1	64.6	64.7	64.2	65.9	65.0	65.0	65.2	65.3		64.1
17dcc1	33.9	33.7	34.2	34.1	34.2	33.3	33.7	33.4	34.2	33.7
22add1	14.9	17.0	14.9		15.2	15.1				15.4
30dcc1	53.6		60.7		92.2	81.3	79.7	77.1		81.5
2N-25W-2aaa1	57.1		59.9					68.5		
5aaa1	49.2	55.1	51.1		74.3	61.3				
6bcb1	30.6	32.2	30.8					35.2		36.2
7dab1	18.3	19.1	18.2		22.3	22.3	22.6	19.3		22.3
8daa1					59.0	44.8	40.4	38.5		40.1
14cbb1	21.2	24.8			43.8	37.8	31.7	30.4		31.9
17ccc1	24.4	27.9	28.9			34.3	31.8	32.2		30.8
21dac1	28.7							33.4		29.6
23abb1	21.4	24.8	22.7		37.5	35.5	30.4	28.9		30.3
25cdd1	37.6		40.8		54.6	54.1	52.9	52.1		50.4

Table 5.--Continued

(feet below land-surface datum)

Well number	2nd week of Feb. 1954	3rd week of April 1954	4th week of June 1954	4th week of Aug. 1954	4th week of Sept. 1954	4th week of Oct. 1954	1st week of Jan. 1955	2nd week of Feb. 1955	3rd week of April 1955	4th week of June 1955
HARMON COUNTY--Continued										
2N-25W-26ac1						43.0	42.4	41.2		37.9
29bbb1	28.7			58.1				31.1		32.6
29ada1	30.3	33.7						34.9		
30dbb1	46.5	58.8	45.0		70.6		47.8	46.4		50.5
31bbb1	43.1		45.7					51.7		
34dab1	36.6		39.5		64.3	54.5	49.4	47.8		50.5
2N-26W-3ddb1	17.5	19.0	19.3	19.9	20.3	20.4	21.0	23.7		23.2
5bcc1	30.2			52.5		41.7	37.8	37.8		40.0
8dbc1	34.9	39.4	38.5	49.7	50.5	47.2	44.1	42.8		45.5
12cca1	47.6	48.6	57.6	66.1		51.6	51.7	51.5	52.4	49.0
15aad1	72.1	72.5			76.8	76.6	77.3	77.4	78.5	64.9
27bcd1	46.2	51.9	51.4	62.8	53.5	51.2	50.0		62.6	46.8
31cbc1		33.6	32.5			35.8	44.9	34.4		27.9
2N-27W-2ccb1	43.1							51.1		54.1
36dad1	33.2	34.0	32.8	55.0	40.2	36.2	36.1	33.7	43.6	30.2
3N-24W-3dda1	108.6	110.6	104.3			109.7		112.0	126.7	108.3
5dad1		80.8	74.3		83.0	80.6	83.0	82.8		77.8
9dcc1	62.4	63.6	57.7	62.7	64.3	64.5	65.4	65.4		61.6
29aaa2	65.3	65.7	61.3	64.3	66.0	66.2	67.3	67.2		63.3
3N-25W-1cdd1	133.6	135.8	131.4	134.5		135.8				134.1
2abb1	148.5	152.1	146.0	146.0				151.2	155.6	149.4
15bba1	20.6	20.9	20.3	20.3	20.9	20.9	20.6	20.7	21.0	20.2
30abb1	28.0	32.2	29.0		40.0	37.8	35.7	34.8		38.0
32bbb1	33.8	37.6	34.7		57.6	42.7	40.5	39.3		41.3
33aab1	87.0	94.7	85.0							
3N-26W-12cdd1	56.2		56.6	74.2	68.1	66.6		64.1		67.5
22cdc1	68.8	68.4								
29acb1	62.7	72.6	71.0				71.5	71.7		75.5
31dad1	45.2	55.2	51.1			57.0	52.6	51.5	66.0	55.2
35bab1	43.7	50.8			64.3	56.3	52.1	51.2		55.7
3N-27W-12cac1	30.4	30.9	30.9	30.3	30.1	29.9	30.2	30.4	30.9	31.4
25cbb1	70.1		75.6		89.0	82.9	77.8	76.6		81.1
4N-24W-17cdd1		25.3	25.3	26.0	26.2	26.8	28.2	27.5	27.6	27.7
18ada1	2.4	2.5	3.0	3.4	3.5	3.0	2.6	2.2	2.2	2.3
20daa1	32.1	28.2								
31dda1	98.0	99.5	92.9	101.6	97.2	97.8	101.8	100.1	103.3	96.2
4N-25W-6aaa1	58.7	58.8	59.1	59.1		59.2	57.1	58.9	59.1	59.3
11da1	11.5	12.5	13.0				13.6	12.5	12.4	11.6
15bdd1	13.5	12.8	13.5					14.7	13.8	13.4
21ddd1	42.9	42.8	43.1	43.0	43.0	43.0	43.0	43.5	43.1	43.5
24cbc1	46.5	47.4	46.6			47.0		47.1	47.2	47.2
29aaa2		18.4	18.4	20.3	20.8	19.1	20.6	20.4	20.2	19.4
32ccd1	23.7	23.7	22.6	24.2		25.9	25.2		24.9	26.0
4N-26W-5ddc1	39.3	39.6	39.7	39.8	40.0	40.1	40.1			
6cdb1	46.9	46.8	47.6							
14cdc1	45.8		46.6	46.8	47.6	46.8	46.9	47.0	47.4	47.3
17bbb1	54.4	55.3	53.6	56.1	56.3	57.0	58.5	54.8	54.6	
33ddd1	23.9	25.7	23.8	24.4	24.7	25.1	25.1	25.3	25.4	25.2
24ddd1		21.2	20.8	21.2	21.3	21.8	21.7	21.8	22.0	21.6
29ccc1	29.7	30.2	30.2	30.4	30.5	30.7	30.8	30.9	30.9	31.2
4N-27W-13cdd1	35.5									
5N-24W-4ccd1	56.9		48.8				48.2	48.2	50.1	48.4
7dcd1	16.0		15.3	16.4	16.7	16.9	17.6	17.6	17.0	14.2
16ddd1	48.3	49.5		48.4		49.2	50.4		51.3	
19ddd1	10.0	12.1	7.3	5.5	8.2	10.3	11.7	8.9	8.7	6.7
5N-25W-1caa1	31.4	34.7	21.3	34.7	30.0	32.0	34.6	35.7	37.1	22.8
16cdc1	24.8	25.4	25.0	26.2	25.8	25.5	25.5	25.6	25.7	25.7
19ddd1	22.3	22.6	22.0	22.1	22.4	22.7	23.0	23.0	22.3	23.0
23ccc1	18.1	17.2	17.2	17.6			17.3	17.3		17.4
27dcc1	22.7	22.8								

Table 5.--Continued

(feet below land-surface datum)

Well number	2nd week of Feb. 1954	3rd week of April 1954	4th week of June 1954	4th week of Aug. 1954	4th week of Sept. 1954	4th week of Oct. 1954	1st week of Jan. 1955	2nd week of Feb. 1955	3rd week of April 1955	4th week of June 1955
HARMON COUNTY--Continued										
5N-26W-7dca1	33.0	32.7		33.1	33.7	32.8	32.7	32.5	32.8	31.7
16daa1	45.9	46.1	46.2	46.2	48.0	46.3				
23dbd1	55.4	57.0		56.2		56.1				
27dbb1	62.5					60.9	61.2			61.7
29acb1	16.1	17.4	16.9	17.4	17.8	17.3	17.0	16.2	15.4	15.4
6N-26W-12cab1	14.3	16.0	11.4				16.4	16.7	16.0	10.9
13ddd1	56.0	56.3	54.0	55.4	57.8	56.5	56.7	56.9	57.4	
17dcc1	44.4	47.7	36.5				49.3	50.8	51.7	35.7
34bcb1	28.7	28.9		61.2	29.3	29.3	29.7	29.0		29.6
JACKSON COUNTY										
2S-23W-4aa1	10.6	10.2	9.8	20.6			22.5		20.7	
8bca1	33.5		33.1	32.6	34.2	32.9	33.1		33.4	
1S-22W-8ccc1	26.9	29.1	24.3	28.2	29.6	30.7	31.6	31.7	32.1	26.1
15abb1	4.7	4.1	3.7		6.4	6.4	6.5	5.3		
30dda1	12.0	8.3	6.3	15.9	16.8	17.1	17.9	17.9		7.0
1S-23W-7dcc1	20.1	20.8	20.7	23.4	24.3	24.2	24.9	24.9	25.4	24.0
9dcd1	65.3	65.4	65.4	65.3	65.4	64.4	64.4	65.3	65.7	65.8
12cdd1	48.1	48.5	47.6		48.6		49.0	49.0	49.8	
20ddc1	34.3	34.8	32.5	34.5	35.0		36.1	35.0	36.2	31.1
22ddc1	55.6	55.5	55.7	55.0	55.5	55.2	55.4	55.5	55.5	55.4
30daa1	20.7	21.6	20.0	21.9	22.6	23.0	23.5	23.6	23.9	21.4
33aaa1	38.7	38.7	37.6	37.8	38.2					
1S-24W-2abb1	31.5	30.0	29.0	30.7	31.2	31.5	31.8	31.9	32.7	29.3
5bcd1	22.1	22.5		24.0	23.6		22.7	22.6		21.9
7adc1	23.3	23.6								
10ccd1	54.9	55.1	54.5	55.1	55.4	55.6	55.9	56.1	57.0	55.8
20add1	59.5	60.4	59.6		65.7	61.8	62.1	62.1	64.2	60.8
21bcd1	63.6	64.7	63.7		70.9	66.0	66.4	66.3		65.2
1S-25W-13ccc1	75.6	76.6	76.0	78.7	79.2	77.0	76.5	76.2	79.7	76.4
1N-22W-11cbc1	8.9	9.1	7.6		17.8		18.0		10.2	9.1
18aab1	43.5	43.5	41.1			43.6				42.7
26aad1	12.7	13.4	11.7	12.3	12.7	12.9	13.4	13.6		12.9
32bcb1	14.7			13.9	14.4		17.0	18.1		14.3
1N-23W-10bbb1	28.2	29.0	23.3	26.8	27.9	28.3	31.5	29.7		24.6
11ddc1	37.6	38.3	35.2	36.7	37.3	37.8	38.3	38.6	39.2	37.0
19ddd1	23.3		21.9		26.7				27.6	24.7
22bcc1	50.9	50.5	50.2	50.8	51.1	51.2	54.3	51.3		
24ccd1	22.3	23.2	18.3	24.9	25.9	26.7	27.0	26.7	26.5	19.4
31ddd1	43.7	44.6	43.1	44.9		46.2	47.9	47.3	48.2	44.8
34dcd1	25.9	26.9	25.4	27.6	28.3					
2N-22W-4daa1	56.9	71.7	63.5		83.5	87.8	65.4	63.0	74.6	
8dda1	35.2	37.4	35.2	41.4	41.6	39.9	40.4	39.7	43.0	40.7
22abb1		24.5	24.0	24.2		24.5	24.7	24.7	24.9	
36bcc1	38.1	38.4	37.9	37.9	38.0	38.0	38.3	38.2		38.2
2N-23W-1cbd1	36.9	42.1			56.3	49.2	44.9	50.1		36.7
2bcb1	27.2	27.8	25.5	30.7	30.5	29.7	29.0	33.8		24.7
3cca1	31.5	32.0	29.3			35.5	34.0	33.2		31.6
10dbd1	18.9	19.8	17.5		23.4	21.7	20.8	20.4		17.3
12bbd1		37.9	34.0	63.2	52.4	45.1	41.0	39.4	46.6	33.4
12aa1	33.4	36.3	35.3	47.2	43.6	42.9	39.0	37.9		36.4
16aba1	30.6	31.7	30.5	37.4	35.7		32.9	30.7		26.5
21abb1		33.0			34.8	33.9	33.5	33.1	36.2	29.1
21aaa1	27.7	29.3	25.4		32.0	31.1	30.7	30.3		25.6
24bbb1	38.2	38.9	35.6	36.8	37.6	38.4	39.5	39.8	40.3	37.6
29abb1	44.5		42.9		49.9	47.5	46.6	46.7		
32dad1	76.2	76.5	72.0	76.4	75.2	75.7	76.6	76.7	77.4	69.9
35dcc1	66.3	67.2	62.7		69.2			67.3	68.0	
3N-22W-27ad1	7.8	9.1	6.1	9.1	10.3	10.6	10.2	10.5		5.5
32cbb1	43.0	47.1	44.3	48.9	48.4	48.6	48.8	47.9	50.3	48.6
3N-23W-19bbb1	81.8	83.4	79.6	83.6	84.3	84.2	84.5	84.4	87.0	82.7
26aad1	58.1	63.4	56.6	77.1	77.2	77.2	65.3	63.5		57.5

Table 5.--Continued

(feet below land-surface datum)

Well number	2nd week of Feb. 1954	3rd week of April 1954	4th week of June 1954	4th week of Aug. 1954	4th week of Sept. 1954	4th week of Oct. 1954	1st week of Jan. 1955	2nd week of Feb. 1955	3rd week of April 1955	4th week of June 1955
				<u>JACKSON COUNTY--Continued</u>						
3N-23W-27dad1		50.7	40.9		65.0	54.3	47.4	45.8	60.6	42.9
27aad1	46.1	50.9	44.0		68.1	59.9	54.3	50.6		47.4
27cdd1	30.8		20.3			42.3	36.2	34.6		29.8
30daa1	24.4	27.7	23.1		29.7	29.3	27.5	27.2	35.8	27.2
31bca1	25.9	26.3	24.0	29.7		26.6	26.7	26.4		25.4
32aab1	34.1	36.4	31.8		46.2		38.8			
35bdb1		39.3	35.8		39.4	39.9	40.6	40.9	41.0	34.3
36aac1	44.5	45.4	48.6	46.0	47.3	46.6	47.5	47.7	48.8	45.1

The flow of Salt Fork increases as the stream crosses the outcrops of the Dog Creek Shale and Blaine Formation in the report area and then decreases rapidly as the stream progresses eastward across the outcrop of the Flowerpot Shale. Apparently the stream gains more water by seepage from the Dog Creek and Blaine than is lost along that part of its channel by evaporation and transpiration.

Springs.--Ground water discharges from springs at many places in the area. Of the 16 springs visited, the discharges range from mere trickles to an estimated 300 to 400 gpm. The largest spring flows from a large opening in gypsum rock in the NW $\frac{1}{4}$ sec. 16, T. 1 N., R. 23 W., and contributes to the flow of Gypsum Creek. Old settlers of the area report that at one time some of the springs had greater flows, especially the large spring mentioned above.

Concrete and stone reservoirs have been constructed to collect the water from some of the springs in sec. 25, T. 6 N., R. 24 W., and in sec. 31, T. 6 N., R. 23 W. Clark Stowe hauls water from his springs in sec. 25 to rural residents in the vicinity. Several small springs issue from the alluvium and terrace deposits northeast of Mangum; the water flows north into Elm Fork.

A few springs flow into Salt Fork along its eastward course through the area. Water issuing from the spring in the W $\frac{1}{2}$ sec. 7, T. 4 N., R. 26 W., flows northward into sec. 6, and supplies more than the 240 gpm that is pumped for irrigation in the SW $\frac{1}{4}$ sec. 6. In 1954 the Oklahoma Game and Fish Commission built a reservoir for recreation in the SE $\frac{1}{4}$ sec. 10, T. 4 N., R. 26 W. This lake, when full, covers about 50 acres and has a capacity of about 300 acre-feet. The spring-fed stream that supplies the reservoir had a measured flow of 360 gpm; according to the former owner of the springs, this was the smallest flow on record. Before 1948, springs in secs. 18, 19, and 20, T. 4 N., R. 25 W., were the only source of water used by Hollis, but now they furnish only a part of the supply. Several other small springs flow into the south side of Salt Fork in the vicinity of Mangum, and some of them furnish enough water for stock needs.

Evaporation.--Where the water table is near the land surface ground water may be discharged into the air by evaporation. In this area such losses probably are significantly large in only small areas of the terrace deposits and alluvium. Factors governing the rate of evaporation of ground water are temperature, wind velocity, humidity, type of soil, and depth to water. White (1932, p. 8) found by experiment that the depth to the water table is the principal controlling factor. He compared evaporation at different depths with evaporation from a free water surface, and expressed the evaporation at a given depth as a percentage of the evaporation from a free water surface. Evaporation was found to decrease progressively from 80 percent at a water-table depth to 5 inches to

2 percent at a water-table depth of 85 inches. As the depth to water in this area generally is greater than 85 inches, the amount of water evaporated from the water table probably is small. On the other hand, the loss by evaporation from the belt of soil moisture may be rather large; most of the water thus discharged does not come from the zone of saturation.

Transpiration.--Loss of water into the atmosphere by growing plants is called transpiration. Although the roots of most plants absorb water from only the belt of soil moisture, the roots of some water-loving plants, or phreatophytes, absorb water from the capillary fringe or from the zone of saturation itself. Salt cedar, willow, and other phreatophytes grow in some of the principal valleys of the report area. Locally, the loss of ground water by transpiration may be large, but in most of the outcrop areas of the Dog Creek Shale and Blaine Formation the depth to water is so great that the discharge of ground water by plants is negligible.

Subsurface flow.--Where two aquifers are in contact, water may percolate from one into the other. In the part of the area where saturated terrace deposits overlie Permian bedrock, water moves downward from the terrace deposits into the bedrock wherever the hydrostatic head of the water in the bedrock is less than that of the water in the terrace deposits. Also, where alluvium abuts the Permian bedrock that forms the valley walls, water moves laterally out of the bedrock into the alluvium. With the exception of the Gypsum Creek irrigation area, the upper end of all the irrigation areas is in or near rather extensive terrace deposits. As shown by plate 2, these terrace deposits are so situated that some of the water percolating from them into the bedrock probably moves into the irrigation areas and replaces the water pumped for irrigation.

Pumpage.--Annual pumpage of ground water for irrigation has increased steadily since irrigation was begun in the 1940's. Information obtained in interviews with the irrigators indicates that about 49,000 acre-feet of water was pumped for irrigation in 1954. This amount is about 30 percent greater than the amount reported by the irrigators in replies to an official questionnaire of the Oklahoma Planning and Resources Board (Husky, 1956).

Municipal records show that pumpage totals about 926 acre-feet of water per year for the public supplies and it is estimated that pumpage for stock is about 110 acre-feet. The amount of water used for domestic purposes in rural areas is practically negligible, because of the generally poor quality of the water in most of the water in most of the area.

The total pumpage of ground water in the area of this investigation in 1954, therefore, was about 50,000 acre-feet.

Utilization

Most of the water used in this area is ground water. During this investigation, pertinent data were obtained for 654 wells and 127 test holes. Of the wells, 141 are unused, 14 are domestic wells, 152 are stock wells, 20 are public-supply wells, 326 are irrigation wells, and 1 is a recharge well. Wells used for both domestic and stock supplies are classified as stock wells. Data pertaining to the wells and test holes are tabulated in appendix B; the locations of wells and test holes are shown on plate 2.

Domestic supplies

Domestic wells are the privately owned wells that supply water for home use, such as cooking, washing, and sanitation. Because of the poor chemical quality of the water available in most of this area, there are not many domestic wells. Most of the water used for domestic purposes is hauled from the three towns in the area; also, some is hauled from privately owned springs in the vicinity of Reed. No attempt was made to determine the amount of ground water pumped from domestic wells because the amount is small in comparison with the amount used for other purposes.

Most domestic wells in the area are drilled, are cased with a small-diameter galvanized-iron casing, and generally are equipped with a cylinder pump. Relatively few automatic water systems are used. Some of the unused wells that were inventoried are abandoned domestic-supply wells. The abandoned wells reflect the decline in rural population that is evident from census records.

Stock supplies

A count was made of the number of stock wells in three townships during this study. A total of 27 were found in T. 2 N., R. 24 W.; 98 in T. 2 N., R. 26 W.; and 37 in T. 5 N., R. 26 W. Most of the stock wells are drilled, cased with 6-inch casing, and equipped with a cylinder pump and windmill. Because of its location with respect to land use, soil type, and general stock-water needs, T. 2 N., R. 24 W., is regarded as a typical and average township in the use of stock water; therefore, this township was selected for an inventory of the pumpage for stock use. About one million gallons of water was used in that township in 1953 (Roberts and Bunch, undated). The average annual pumpage for stock use was estimated by multiplying the amount used in the one township by the number of townships in the area. Annual use for stock in the project area is about 110 acre-feet. Much of the stock water of the area is obtained from privately owned ponds.

Public supplies

Public-water supplies of the cities of Mangum, Eldorado, and Hollis and the towns of Duke, Gould, and Olustee are obtained from wells. Several scattered wells in the area were dug for public supplies by the W.P.A. in the 1930's. Water for the city of Mangum, near the northeast corner of the area, formerly was obtained from terrace deposits just outside the city limits but in recent years has been obtained from terrace deposits about 17 miles farther north, outside the project area.

Duke.--In 1953 Duke had two wells tapping the Blaine Formation in sec. 12, T. 2 N., R. 23 W. The first well was drilled in 1946 and was tested at about 650 gpm. The second well was drilled in June 1952 as a standby and safety measure; however, both are used. Annual pumpage is estimated to be about 14,000,000 gallons, or about 43 acre-feet.

Eldorado.--In 1953 Eldorado had five wells that tapped water in the Dog Creek Shale or Blaine Formation. Two of these, in sec. 28, T. 1 S., R. 23 W., are dug wells and until the mid-1940's were the town's only source of water. Later three new wells, one dug and two drilled, were developed in the city in sec. 18, T. 1 S., R. 23 W., to supplement the inadequate supply of the first two wells. The city pumps about 70,000 gpd, or about 80 acre-feet per year. More recently the city has tested terrace deposits west and southwest of the city in search of a supply of suitable water, because the quality of water from the city wells is not suitable for most domestic needs.

Gould.--In 1953 Gould had two wells tapping the terrace deposits in sec. 18, T. 4 N., R. 24 W., about 10 miles north of town. The wells also supply a large part of the domestic needs of nearby rural residents who haul water from the town. The two wells cannot supply an adequate amount of water for the town in a season of peak use; therefore, hauling of water for domestic needs in the surrounding vicinity is sometimes limited. Gould's annual water use is estimated to be about 18 million gallons, or about 55 acre-feet.

Hollis.--Until 1948 the only sources of supply for the city of Hollis were the springs in secs. 18, 19, and 20, T. 4 N., R. 25 W., but this supply was supplemented by a well drilled in 1948 and by two additional wells drilled in 1951. The three wells tap terrace deposits in sec. 20, T. 4 N., R. 25 W., and have yields of 165, 225, and 265 gpm. In October 1955, another well was drilled near the first three wells to a depth of 200 feet into what could be an old stream channel now filled with terrace deposits. The well was tested in November 1955 at about 450 gpm.

Hollis pumps about 217 million gallons, or about 666 acre-feet per year, to supply its municipal needs and the domestic needs of nearby rural residents. In addition, in 1953, the Lone Star Gas Co. bought 14.5 million gallons of water for its gasoline plant about 2 miles northwest of town, making the total amount of water pumped by Hollis in 1953 about 230 million gallons, or 710 acre-feet. The gasoline plant was closed permanently in the early part of 1954.

Olustee.--In 1953 Olustee had one well in the NE $\frac{1}{4}$ sec. 20, T. 1 N., R. 21 W., tapping either the Flowerpot Shale or the Duncan Sandstone. The well yields about 13,000 gpd (gallons per day), which is only a small fraction of the daily need of the town. Most of the municipal supply was taken from Turkey Creek by facilities constructed in about 1924. In August 1954 a new well was drilled to tap the alluvium in the SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$

sec. 16, T. 1 N., R. 21 W.; it was tested at about 300 gpm. The town consumes 125,000 to 150,000 gpd at the peak summer rate but only about 25,000 gpd at the lowest winter rate. The annual use of water is estimated to average 27 million gallons, or about 83 acre-feet.

Irrigation supplies

In this area the seasonal distribution of rain may mean an excess early in the growing season followed by a deficiency. Therefore, irrigation frequently means the difference between economic success and failure, or between a bumper crop and a mediocre one.

A total of 326 irrigation wells have been inventoried. Except for the five irrigation wells that tap terrace deposits (four northeast of Mangum and one east of Olustee, outside the principal irrigation areas) all the irrigation wells tap either the Dog Creek Shale or Blaine Formation, or both, and all are grouped and roughly centered within the drainage basins of the major creeks in the area. An attempt was made to keep an up-to-date inventory of all irrigation wells in the principal irrigation areas while personal interviews were being conducted to obtain records of 1954 pumpage. Because the process of interviewing the irrigators was not finished until early 1955, some wells that were drilled as late as 1955 were inventoried and are included in appendix B.

Most of the irrigation wells were drilled with percussion drilling machines, and are partly or entirely cased. Many were drilled to their full depth before any casing was installed, but some had to be cased while the hole was being drilled to prevent caving of the unconsolidated upper sediments. When surface casing was required, it generally was 20 inches in diameter and commonly was left in the well even after the finished casing, or "liner," had been set. Only a few wells have no casing.

The following paragraphs summarize facts about the principal irrigation areas and wells within the areas.

Buck Creek irrigation area.--The Buck Creek area is in the Buck Creek drainage basin in the southwest corner of the area. Consisting of only 6 square miles, it is the smallest of the five principal irrigation areas that are described in this report, but it is actually part of a large area lying mostly in Texas. However, the pumpage from the 11 wells--1,700 acre-feet--is much greater than from all the wells in the Gypsum Creek and Mulberry Creek irrigation areas combined. The water level in the area responds rapidly to discharge and recharge, and an irregular but definite decline from 1950 to 1956 is shown by records from three wells. Because most of the arable land in the Buck Creek area is already under irrigation, the annual rate of ground-water withdrawal is not likely to increase significantly in the future. However, if irrigation wells were to be drilled outside the boundaries of the irrigation area, the total withdrawal from the enlarged area would be correspondingly greater.

Gypsum Creek irrigation area.--The Gypsum Creek irrigation area is north of Eldorado and southwest of Duke in the drainage basin of Gypsum Creek, mostly in Jackson County. It is nearly oval and consists of about 30 square miles. The 30 square miles in this area makes it the third largest of the five principal irrigation areas, but the 100 acre-feet of water pumped from the two irrigation wells in use in the area in 1954 was the smallest amount pumped in any of the irrigation areas and was too small to cause any significant water-level fluctuations. Measurements made in 1954 show that the water level responds rapidly to recharge.

Lebos Creek irrigation area.--The Lebos Creek irrigation area is long and narrow, extending from northwest of Hollis to southeast of Eldorado. The irrigation area extends a short distance into Texas, but is mostly within Oklahoma. It contains about 170 square miles and is the largest irrigation area described in this report. Since 1946, when the first irrigation well was drilled in the area, there has been continuous development. The development probably will continue, not so much as an expansion of the area but as an increasing concentration of wells within the area. Although lack of water probably will be the greatest controlling factor in the future expansion of the area, the quality of the water will be an additional controlling factor in some parts of the area. The maximum depth to which wells should be drilled is dependent on the depth to the bottom of the water-bearing strata in the Dog Creek Shale and Blaine Formation. The depth to which wells should be drilled will be limited also by the water of poor quality that is present, locally, in these formations and in the underlying formation--especially at depths greater than 400 feet below the land surface.

The yields of the 210 irrigation wells inventoried ranged from less than 100 gpm to a few thousand gallons per minute. The 30,000 acre-feet pumped in 1954 was almost twice the combined pumpage in the other four irrigation areas. Monthly water-level measurements made from February 1954 to June 1955 show that the water level responds very rapidly to discharge and recharge, and also that a difference in the rate of response occurs in certain parts of the area.

Mulberry Creek irrigation area.--The Mulberry Creek irrigation area is mostly in the Mulberry Creek drainage basin a few miles west of Mangum. In 1954 about 540 acre-feet of water was pumped for irrigation from eight irrigation wells in this 13 sq mi area. Available records do not indicate such a rapid response to discharge and recharge as is apparent in the other areas; however, the apparently slower response may result from inadequate water-level records.

Turkey Creek irrigation area.--The Turkey Creek irrigation area is in the drainage basin of Turkey Creek, near the center of the project area. It lies partly in Greer County, partly in Harmon County, and partly in Jackson County. This area now covers about 90 square miles and is the second largest of the five principal irrigation areas, with respect to

both area and the amount of water pumped. Since 1942, when the first irrigation well was drilled, development and expansion of the area has been continuous; however, the limit of lateral expansion probably has been almost reached, and future development probably will consist of drilling additional wells within the area. As in the Lebos Creek irrigation area, water too highly mineralized for use has been tapped in several places. The quality of water will limit the size of the irrigation area and depth of wells. In 1954 the pumpage from 84 wells in the area totaled about 14,000 acre-feet. Water-level records for the period from February 1954 to June 1955 show that the water level responds very rapidly to discharge and recharge and that the fluctuations are greatest where the largest amounts of water are pumped. Water-level records for the period 1949-56 show an irregular but definite decline.

Yield of wells

The yield of a well that taps the Dog Creek Shale or Blaine Formation is governed by the size and (or) the number of water-filled solution cavities penetrated in drilling the well. Because the strata constituting these two formations not only differ in lithology from place to place but have been affected by solution activity in varying degree from place to place, the yields of wells differ appreciably.

Many of the existing wells yield more than 1,000 gpm and a few yield more than 2,000 gpm. One well in southern Greer County was pumped at a rate of about 2,550 gpm in May 1952 but for only a short period. Five other wells in the Lebos Creek irrigation area are reported to yield more than 2,000 gpm. Two of these five wells are reported to have yielded more than 2,000 gpm (the pumps' capacities) with less than 20 feet of drawdown and therefore are capable of yielding at a greater rate. Many of the wells yield between 500 and 1,000 gpm, but many others yield less than 10 gpm.

Most of the irrigation wells of low yield and others that failed to produce enough water for irrigation are near the edges of the principal irrigation areas. Therefore, yields are expected to be generally lower in the fringe areas than nearer the middle of the basins, where solution channels are better developed.

Transmissibility and Storage

The amount of water a well will yield depends on the hydraulic properties of the aquifer. The coefficient of transmissibility is defined as the rate of flow of water in gallons per day through a vertical strip of the aquifer 1 foot wide and extending the full saturated height, under a hydraulic gradient of 1 foot per foot at the prevailing temperature of the

ground water. The coefficient of storage, S , of an aquifer is defined as the volume of water it releases from or takes into storage per unit surface area of the aquifer per unit change in the component of head normal to that aquifer.

These properties of an aquifer generally are determined by aquifer tests. An aquifer test consists of pumping a well at a known rate for a given length of time and of measuring the depth to water in observation wells near the pumped well during the period of pumping and during the following period of water-level recovery. The test methods and the formulas for analyzing the data collected are based on several assumptions about the aquifer to be tested. As many of the necessary assumptions do not hold in the area described in this report, aquifer tests at individual well sites were not considered practicable.

Consideration was given to making a flow-net analysis, as has been done by others (notably Bennett and Meyer, 1952; and Taylor, 1948), to obtain values for the coefficients of transmissibility and storage of the major aquifer in the area. However, because so little is known about the detailed movement of flow induced by heavy pumping in the irrigation areas and because water-level fluctuations in one irrigation area seem not to be related to those in another, it was concluded that the porosity and permeability of the aquifer vary too widely from place to place for a flow-net analysis to be practicable.

Attempts were made to analyze the aquifer in the irrigation areas on a massive or regional basis. Plate 6 was used as a basic tool in determining the aquifer coefficients by this method. The pumpage from six selected areas was determined for a period of 87 days in the 1954 irrigation season. These areas are outlined on plate 6 by arcs of circles and the interconnecting segments of the irrigation-area outlines. The water pumped from the aquifer in each area was assumed to have been pumped at a continuous, uniform rate from a "single well" approximately at the center of pumping in that area, or at the intersection of the straight dashed lines in each of the circular areas. Lines were drawn to represent the change in water level due to pumping during the 87-day period between June and September 1954. "Observation wells" were assumed to be located at those points where the dashed lines intersect contours representing change in water level. Thus, the water-level drawdown in the observation wells at the end of the pumping period was the change in water level represented by the net-change line passing through the well.

The data thus obtained were analyzed by both the Theis nonequilibrium method and the Thiem method for determining the coefficients of transmissibility and storage of an aquifer (Wenzel, 1942), no corrections being made for interference of one area of pumping with another or for more than one of the apparent geologic semiboundaries. Because of the assumptions made, refinement of the calculations was not justified. The calculated coefficients of transmissibility ranged from 1.2×10^5 to 4.6×10^5 gpd/ft,

and averaged 2.6×10^{-5} . The coefficients of storage ranged from about 4×10^{-4} to about 3×10^{-2} , and averaged 1.6×10^{-2} . These values are believed to be reasonable for the coefficients of transmissibility and storage of the aquifer in the areas where solution channels are best developed, but they probably are several times too great for the aquifer throughout the irrigation areas and much too great for the aquifer as a whole. A reasonable value for the coefficient of storage for the part of the aquifer outside the irrigation areas is assumed to be one-third of that inside the irrigation areas, or about 5×10^{-3} , and the average coefficient of storage for the parts of the aquifer affected by pumping for irrigation is assumed to be half way between the two values, 1.0×10^{-2} .

The amount of available water in storage in the Dog Creek Shale and Blaine Formation was calculated by multiplying the areal extent by the estimated average thickness, and by the average storage coefficient of the aquifer. The extent of the infiltration area is about 400,000 acres. The thickness of the aquifer--that is, the average total thickness of anhydrite, dolomite, gypsum, limestone, and cavities in 69 test holes and wells that are judged to penetrate the aquifer fully--ranges from about 65 feet in the eastern part of the area to about 90 feet in the western part, and averages about 80 feet for the whole area. The total amount of water in storage is $400,000 \times 80 \times .01 = 320,000$ acre-feet. Because the irrigation wells are concentrated where the aquifer is most productive, only a part, perhaps one-third or less, of the water in storage is available for pumping.

From July 1 to September 15, 1954, several wells declined in yield. However, the yield from some of these wells was increased by making a deeper pump setting or by deepening them and lowering the pump. The yields of other wells, generally those near the edges of the principal irrigation areas, declined but could not be increased successfully. Near the streams and in the central part of the irrigation areas, solution channels in the gypsum beds may be larger, extend to greater depth, and be more numerous than at the edges of the irrigation areas some distance from the streams. Thus, when the safe yield of an irrigation area is exceeded, the irrigation wells on the edges of the area probably will be the first ones affected by the reduction in storage and the resulting lower water levels. Unless water-level changes are observed closely, the failure of outlying wells may be one of the first serious warnings that the ground-water supply is being depleted.

Precipitation in 1957 was exceptionally high and averaged about 33 inches over the area--137 percent of normal. Water levels were low at the beginning of 1957 because of the extremely dry weather and heavy irrigation pumping during 1956. By the end of 1957, water levels over the area averaged about 12 feet higher than a year earlier, owing to the heavy precipitation and small irrigation pumpage during the year. On the basis of the storage coefficients calculated above, the total volume of water stored in the aquifer in the Dog Creek Shale and Blaine Formation increased by an estimated 48,000 acre-feet in 1957.

From December 1957 to January 1963 water levels throughout the area declined an average of 3.14 feet and the volume of water in the aquifer declined an estimated 13,000 acre-feet.

Annual Recharge

The replenishment of ground water in an aquifer is known as recharge, and under natural conditions an aquifer is recharged from one source or from a combination of several sources. The principal natural sources of recharge are influent streams, infiltrating precipitation, and subsurface inflow from other aquifers or areas. Recharge also may be induced by artificial means, such as recharge wells, canals, or water spreading.

When the investigation was begun, Salt Fork was considered a possible source of recharge to the Dog Creek Shale and the Blaine Formation. Discharge measurements showed that Salt Fork gains water along the first several miles of its course in the area. However, most of the gain may be due to springs issuing from the terrace deposits on the south side of the river and to inflow, on both sides of the river, from tributaries whose flow is sustained by springs issuing from the Permian rocks. Hence, it could not be determined whether the stream is influent or effluent with respect to the principal ground-water reservoir in the Dog Creek Shale and Blaine Formation along its course in the western part of the area.

Also, when the investigation was begun the water in the terrace deposits, and possibly also in the Whitehorse Group, was assumed to be perched and therefore not connected hydraulically to the zone of saturation in the Dog Creek Shale and Blaine Formation. It was believed possible that water from Salt Fork could move southward through the Dog Creek Shale and Blaine Formation to the irrigation areas, even though there is a ground-water divide in the terrace deposits between Salt Fork and those areas. To determine whether such southward movement was possible, several test holes were drilled south of Salt Fork between the State line and a line extending from Reed, so that the position of the piezometric surface in the Dog Creek Shale and Blaine Formation could be measured. It was found that eastward for 9 miles from the State line the piezometric surface is above the level of Salt Fork, that for a few miles farther east it is at about the same level, and that still farther east it is above the river level (pl. 2).

Apparently, therefore, the Salt Fork gains water in those stretches where the piezometric surface is above stream level and neither gains nor loses in the stretch where the river is gaining and, at least at low flow, practically no water is lost from the stream. Even if the stream were losing in that stretch during periods of high flow, any water leaking from the river channel would move southeastward (perpendicular to the water-level contours) and so could not possibly reach the Lebos Creek irrigation area, which is to the southwest. Furthermore, if only one or two of the several irrigation areas had a special source of recharge, it would be difficult to explain why

the water-level responses to precipitation and pumping are so similar in all of them. Consideration of all the evidence leads to the conclusion that the Salt Fork is not a source of recharge to the Dog Creek Shale and Blaine Formation within the area.

Some recharge to the Dog Creek Shale and Blaine Formation probably results from percolation from the surficial terrace deposits and the Whitehorse Group in the northwestern part of the area and from the less extensive terrace deposits and alluvium elsewhere in the area. The surficial deposits are highly porous and permeable and they catch and hold a large percentage of the water that falls on their surfaces. Although leakage from the zone of saturation in these deposits probably is a source of recharge to the older rocks, the amount and extent of such recharge cannot be estimated from available data. It is not believed to be the major source of recharge to the ground-water reservoir in the irrigation areas.

Infiltrating precipitation probably is the major source of recharge to all the ground-water reservoirs in the area. The water level rises rapidly as a result of precipitation in the immediate vicinity (pl. 7), and it is believed that precipitation on the gypsum beds, where they crop out to the northwest of this area, is another important source of recharge to the principal aquifer. At least it is reasonable to assume that if the water in the Dog Creek and Blaine beneath the terrace deposits in the northwestern part of the area is not all derived from the overlying terrace deposits, then some of it must be from recharge in the outcrop area of the rocks.

After rains, water can be observed flowing into the many sinkholes. Some sinkholes receive all the runoff from several acres, whereas others receive runoff from much smaller areas. Organic matter pumped from wells is evidence that some water enters the aquifer without first being filtered through fine-grained materials. Other natural depressions, some of which may be sinks that have become plugged, contain shallow lakes in seasons of heavy precipitation. Although much of the lake water is evaporated, some probably infiltrates to the zone of saturation. Unless the water that enters the aquifer through sinks or by seepage from lakes is withdrawn from the aquifer by pumping from wells, it moves generally southeastward and discharges into Salt Fork and its tributaries. The percentage of the precipitation that infiltrates through sinkholes and from lakes obviously differs appreciably from place to place; therefore, no attempt was made to estimate the amount of recharge by this particular method.

Attempts have been made to recharge the ground-water reservoir artificially by introducing water into wells in at least two places in the area. One recharge well, about 3 miles west northwest of Duke, was drilled to drain an area of farmland; another recharge well is an abandoned irrigation well, about 4 miles west of Hollis, that also drains an area of farmland. The amount of water introduced by artificial recharge has been

negligible, but with proper engineering design and operation, a system of recharge wells might be feasible.

The ground-water aquifers in this area are recharged principally by infiltrating precipitation and by subsurface flow. Annual pumpage for irrigation has increased rapidly since 1950. As a result of the pumping and also because precipitation was less than normal, the water level in the irrigation areas declined annually from 1950 to late 1955. The fall rains in 1955 were heavy enough to boost the total precipitation for the year to slightly above normal, and as a consequence the water levels in the irrigation areas were, on the average, about 2 feet higher in February 1956 than they were the previous February. It is concluded, therefore, that under normal conditions of rainfall and natural recharge, the 1955 rate of pumping did not exceed the average annual rate of recharge from precipitation.

In making the first of two estimates of the percentage of precipitation that recharges the Dog Creek Shale and Blaine Formation, the following assumptions were made: (1) Direct infiltration is the only significant source of recharge to the aquifer; (2) the percentage of precipitation infiltrating to the aquifer by each of the several routes consistently constitutes the same proportion of the total recharge; and (3) each time rain falls, the same percentage reaches the ground-water reservoir. Inasmuch as most of the rainfall occurs in the late spring and early fall, the evaporation rate would be about the same each time. Although the soil-moisture deficiency differs considerably from season to season, it is not considered to be a determining factor in the long run.

The extent of the irrigation areas, as shown on plate 6, is about 200,000 acres, and the catchment area that contributes recharge to the irrigation areas is estimated to be about twice that size--400,000 acres. The average precipitation at Eldorado, Hollis, and Mangum between February 1954 and February 1955 was about 1.24 feet (62 percent of normal), and from February 1955 to February 1956 was about 2.37 feet (118 percent of normal). From February 1954 to February 1955 the water level in the irrigation areas declined an average of about 5 feet and outside the irrigation areas it declined an average of about 1.5 feet. Total pumpage from the aquifer in 1954 is estimated to have been about 50,000 acre-feet and in 1955 to have been about 55,000 acre-feet. The loss of ground water to streams, evaporation, and transpiration is estimated to have been about 6,000 acre-feet per year. The storage coefficient of 0.016, determined from the regional aquifer tests, is assumed to be correct for the irrigation areas, and, as indicated previously, the coefficient for other areas is estimated to be about 0.005.

On the basis of these figures, the percentage of precipitation that became recharge in 1954 (R_{54-1}) and in 1955 (R_{55-1}) was calculated according to the following formula: -

$$R = \frac{D_t \pm S_i \pm S_o}{P_t} 100$$

Where: R = percent of precipitation reaching the zone of saturation,
 D_t = total discharge (natural discharge and pumpage), in acre-feet;
 S_i = change in storage inside irrigation areas, in acre-feet;
 S_o = change in storage outside irrigation areas, in acre-feet;
 P_t = total volume of precipitation (falling on the catchment area),
in acre-feet.

Then:

$$R_{54-1} = \frac{(50,000+6,000)-(5 \times 0.016 \times 200,000)-(1.5 \times 0.005 \times 200,000)}{1.24 \times 400,000} 100 = 7.8\%,$$

and

$$R_{55-1} = \frac{(55,000+6,000)+(2 \times 0.016 \times 200,000)+(2 \times 0.005 \times 200,000)}{2.37 \times 400,000} 100 = 7.3\%.$$

If the figures for pumpage in 1954 and 1955 are too large (and evidence suggests they may be at least 130 percent of actual pumpage), then the amount of recharge as determined above is too large and perhaps should be 5.5 percent to 6 percent.

The second estimate of the percentage of precipitation that becomes recharge was made in the following manner. It was calculated, from hydrographs and water-level records, that the water level would have risen an average of 3 feet during April and May 1954 and 5 feet during May and June 1955 if no water had been pumped from the aquifer. Twenty percent of the total rise was assumed to have been due to filling of cones of depression after pumps were stopped. The other 80 percent was assumed to come from the infiltration of precipitation from the heavy rains during those periods. The formula used for the second recharge estimate for 1954 (R_{54-2}) and 1955 (R_{55-2}) was:

$$R = \frac{F \times H \times S}{P} 100$$

Where: R = percent of precipitation reaching the zone of saturation
F = percent of water-level fluctuation resulting from infiltration
of precipitation
H = change in water level, in feet
S = average coefficient of storage inside and outside the
irrigation areas (1×10^{-2})
P = precipitation during period of water-level rise, in feet

Then:

$$R_{54-2} = \frac{0.80 \times 3 \times 0.01}{0.75} 100 = 3.2\%$$

and

$$R_{55-2} = \frac{0.80 \times 5 \times 0.01}{1.06} 100 = 3.8\%$$

The above formula, the average of the second recharge estimate (0.035), and the estimated discharge for the area can be used to calculate the water-level decline between February 1954 and February 1955. Based on this reasoning, the decline of water level should have been 9.7 feet:

$$\begin{aligned} s &= (\text{decline due to discharge}) - (\text{rise due to recharge}) \\ &= \frac{50,000 + 6,000}{400,000 \times 0.01} - \frac{1.24 \times 0.35}{0.01} = 14.0 - 4.3 = 9.7 \text{ ft.} \end{aligned}$$

Inasmuch as the decline in water level in the irrigation areas was only about 5 feet, the second estimate of recharge evidently is too small. However, if the pumpage figure was 130 percent of actual pumpage (as suggested earlier), the computed decline based on actual pumpage would have been 6.3 feet. This is still substantially greater than observed declines; consequently the second recharge estimates are believed to be less reliable than the first estimates.

A rough check of the recharge estimates can be made by applying them to the 5-year period 1958 through 1962, when precipitation was approximately normal. During this period the total precipitation, averaged, for the three stations, was 124.4 inches, or 24.88 inches annually (103 percent of normal). Based on the 7 percent estimate derived above, annual recharge would have averaged 1.74 inches, or 58,000 acre-feet for the area.

Because precipitation was near normal, pumpage for irrigation is estimated to have been only about 1 acre-foot per acre irrigated, or about 35,000 acre-feet annually. Other pumpage has been estimated to be about 1,000 acre-feet per year and natural discharge to be about 6,000 acre-feet. Thus, the total discharge of ground water in the area is estimated to have averaged about 42,000 acre-feet annually during the 5-year period.

Water levels in observation wells in the area in January 1963 averaged about 3.14 feet lower than in December 1957. Using the storage figures previously developed, this change in water level means that in 1963 the ground-water reservoir contained about 12,500 acre-feet less water than 5 years earlier. The average decline in storage for the period was about 2,500 acre-feet annually.

A comparison of the recharge, discharge, and estimates of change in storage for the 5-year period indicates that the recharge estimate of 7 percent of total precipitation is too large, or the estimate of discharge from the reservoir for the period is too small, or both. If the recharge estimate were 6 percent, instead of 7 percent, then the annual recharge for the period would have averaged about 50,000 acre-feet.

Chemical Quality of the Water

Natural water contains variable amounts and kinds of dissolved constituents as a result of the solvent action of water on minerals and rocks. Within reasonable limits, the presence of minerals in water adds to the value of the water for irrigation use and human consumption. The minerals not only add certain plant nutrients to the soil, but improve the palatability of water for drinking. If no minerals were dissolved in water, it would have the flat taste of rainwater. Chemical analyses of 43 samples of ground water and 6 samples of spring water are listed in table 6, and the locations of the sampling points are shown in figure 7. Other data on the quality of water in streams in this area have been published (Walling, Schoff, and Dover, 1951; U.S. Geological Survey, 1952; Dover, 1953, 1954, 1956, 1958, 1959; Murphy, 1955; Pate, Murphy, and Orth, 1961; Cummings, 1963).

Because the wells chosen for sampling are scattered widely, the analyses are believed to represent nearly the full range in quality that may be expected. The 43 ground-water samples that were analyzed consist of 29 from wells in the Dog Creek Shale and Blaine Formation, 8 from wells in the Blaine Formation, 4 from wells in the terrace deposits, 1 from a well in the Whitehorse Group, and 1 from a well that tapped three or more formations of Permian age.

Suitability for Drinking

Standards for judging the suitability of water for drinking purposes have been established by the U.S. Public Health Service (1962). According to those standards, the maximum concentrations of certain constituents allowed in water that is provided for passenger use on carriers subject to Federal quarantine regulations are those given in the following table:

<u>Constituent</u>	<u>Parts per million</u> ^{1/}
Iron (Fe)	0.3
Fluoride (F)	1.6
Chloride (Cl)	250
Sulfate (SO ₄)	250
Dissolved solids	500 (1,000 accepted)
Nitrate (NO ₃)	45

¹

A part per million (ppm) represents a unit weight of the substance dissolved in a million unit weights of water, such as a pound of calcium in a million pounds of water.




Figure 7.--Map showing where samples of water were collected for chemical analysis.

Table 6.--Chemical analyses of water from wells and springs in Harmon County, and adjacent parts of Greer and Jackson Counties, Oklahoma

Location: See text p. 7 for explanation of numbering system; well locations shown on pl. 2.

Geologic source: Qt, terrace deposits; Pw, Whitehorse Group; Pdc, Dog Creek Shale; Pb, Blaine Formation

Class of irrigation water: See text p. 56 for explanation.

(Analytical results in parts per million except as indicated)

Location	Depth of well (feet)	Geologic source	Date of collection	Temperature (°F)	Silica (SiO ₂)	Iron (Fe)	Calcium (Ca)	Magnesium (Mg)	Sodium (Na)	Potassium (K)	Bicarbonate (HCO ₃)	Sulfate (SO ₄)	Chloride (Cl)	Fluoride (F)	Nitrate (NO ₃)	Dissolved solids		Hardness as CaCO ₃		Percent sodium	Specific conductance (micromhos at 25°C)	pH	Sodium adsorption ratio (SAR)	Class of irrigation water	Boron (B)	
																Residue on evaporation at 180 C	Calculated	Calcium, magnesium	Noncalcium							
1S-23W-28adb182	45, 45	Pdc, Pb	7-17-52	..	16	0.00	340	99	67	3.4	269	949	109	0.5	16	1,840		1,260	1,040	..	2,180	7.1	0.8	C3-S1		
1S-24W-20add1	165	Pdc, Pb	9- 3-53	..	16	.00	716	146	913	9.1	225	2,050	1,440	.5	22	5,770	5,420	2,390	2,200	45	7,270	7.1	8.1		0.83	
1S-25W-2abb1	86	Pdc, Pb	9-25-53	65	13	.00	710	151	883	12	217	2,070	1,400	.7	15	5,640	5,360	2,390	2,210	44	7,160	7.2	7.8		1.4	
1N-21W-20add1		Qt	7-17-52	..	13	.05	87	12	20	8.3	106	188	27	.3	1.8	421		266	180	..	632	7.2	.1	C2-S1		
1N-23W-10bb1	92	Pdc, Pb	9-16-53	65	15	.00	608	79	38	4.3	178	1,630	50	.3	12	2,760	2,530	1,840	1,700	4	2,730	7.3	.4	C4-S1		
1N-24W-20dd1	140	Pdc, Pb	9-18-53	65	15	.00	704	170	1,190	15	184	2,270	1,780	.7	3.2	6,580	6,240	2,460	2,300	51	8,580	7.2	10		.70	
1N-25W-11baa1	180	Pdc, Pb	9-30-53	64	16	.00	596	114	97	5.2	188	1,730	115	.7	6.4	2,970	2,770	1,960	1,800	10	3,040	7.2	1.0	C4-S1		
1N-25W-13dcc1	120	Pdc, Pb	8-13-52	65			626	134	385		219	1,890	565		8.5	4,000	3,720	2,110	1,930	28	4,670	7.3	3.6	C4-S2		
1N-26W-5bd1	102	Pdc, Pb	8-12-52	65			608	130	122		199	1,810	185		12	3,260	2,960	2,050	1,890	11	3,350	7.2	1.2	C4-S1		
2N-22W-5dcb1	135	Pb	4- 7-50	65			624	159	181		201	1,840	370		3	3,650	3,280	2,210	2,050	15	3,830		1.7	C4-S1		
			8-14-52	65			620	141	163		186	1,810	312		6.6	3,510	3,140	2,130	1,970	14	3,700	7.2	1.5	C4-S1		
2N-23W-12bbd1	200	Pb	6-10-48	65			584	75	66		55	1,610	122	.4	18	2,740	2,500	1,770	1,720	8	2,780		.7	C4-S1		
			8-13-52	65			610	89	89		199	1,670	123		7.5	2,910	2,690	1,890	1,720	9	3,020	7.1	.9	C4-S1		
2N-23W-12bda1 and 12bbd1	133	Pb	7-17-52	..	16	.00	614	92	94	5.4	217	1,670	130	.5	9.2	2,920		1,910	1,730	..	3,020	7.3	.9	C4-S1		
2N-25W-23abb1	130	Pdc, Pb	8-12-52	65			592	164		253	236	2,010	288		11	3,710	3,430	2,150	1,960	20	4,020	7.2	2.4	C4-S1		
2N-25W-31dad1	201	Pdc, Pb	10-15-53	65	13	.00	606	79	43	5.1	134	1,620	66	.5	5.8	2,680	2,510	1,840	1,730	5	2,680	7.2	.4	C4-S1		
2N-26W-2cba1	102	Pdc, Pb	10- 2-53	65	16	.00	612	149	106	5.1	236	1,810	159	.7	26	3,220	3,000	2,140	1,950	10	3,320	7.0	1.0	C4-S1		
2N-26W-2cba2	189	Pdc, Pb	10- 2-53	65	16	.00	596	135	81	4.8	212	1,790	104	.7	17	3,050	2,850	2,040	1,870	8	3,080	7.2	.8	C4-S1		
2N-26W-3dbc1	450	Pdc, Pb	3- 5-55	..			2,250	2,330	49,300		76	2,160	85,000		6.2	144,000	141,000	15,200	15,000	88	140,000	7.3	174			
3N-23W-2bbd1	90	Pb	5-16-52	..			600	102	82		251	1,690	81		21	2,920	2,700	1,920	1,710	9	2,990	7.2	.8	C4-S1		
3N-23W-16cab1	152	Pdc, Pb	8-14-52	64			600	141	149		277	1,840	172		20	3,280	3,060	2,080	1,850	13	3,460	7.1	1.4	C4-S1		
3N-23W-17aa1	189	Pdc, Pb	8-12-52	..			602	149	127		290	1,850	150		19	3,310	3,040	2,110	1,880	12	3,460	7.2	1.2	C4-S1		
3N-23W-17dda1	120	Pdc, Pb	8-12-52	..			598	184	566		165	2,250	710		.4	4,690	4,390	2,250	2,110	35	5,490	7.4	5.2			
3N-23W-27dab1	118	Pdc, Pb	4- 6-50	65			600	113	94		263	1,720	109		7.7	3,110	2,770	1,960	1,750	9	3,090		.9	C4-S1		
3N-23W-33bdd1	75	Pdc, Pb	4- 7-50	65			634	137	222		257	1,840	350		10	3,690	3,320	2,150	1,940	18	3,940		2.1	C4-S1		
			8-14-52	65			614	142	299		251	1,910	398		14	3,730	3,500	2,120	1,910	23	4,160	7.2	3.2	C4-S1		
3N-24W-5dad1	155	Pdc, Pb	10- 5-53	65	16	.00	584	219	274	6.6	292	2,210	260	.7	14	3,960	3,730	2,360	2,120	20	4,190	7.2	2.6	C4-S2	2.2	
3N-24W-29aaa1	169	Pdc, Pb	10-13-53	65	16	.00	761	413	3,220	70	135	3,440	4,810	.7		13,300	12,800	3,600	3,490	66	17,700	7.4	23		13	
3N-25W-1cd1	..	Pdc, Pb	1- 5-54	65	13	.00	564	156	536	14	229	2,250	455	.5	.7	4,370	4,110	2,050	1,860	36	4,890	7.3	5.1	C4-S2	4.5	
3N-25W-32acd1	156	Pdc, Pb	10- 9-53	65	15	.00	590	142	173	6.0	263	1,910	142	.7	21	3,330	3,130	2,060	1,840	15	3,460	7.1	1.6	C4-S1	1.9	
3N-26W-11dd1	140	Pdc, Pb	10- 2-53	..	16	.00	590	179	159	6.2	257	2,000	155		15	3,450	3,250	2,210	2,000	13	3,580	6.9	1.5	C4-S1	1.3	
3N-26W-29cc1	110	Pdc, Pb	10- 2-53	66	15	.00	596	156	152	6.9	228	1,910	180	.9	12	3,370	3,140	2,130	1,940	13	3,480	7.4	1.4	C4-S1	1.4	
4N-23W-20dd1		Pdc, Pb	12-10-48	..			620	146	84		270	1,910	79		10	3,340	2,980	2,150	1,930	8	3,030		.8	C4-S1		
4N-23W-33dab1	155	Pb	4- 5-50	..			606	128	52		260	1,760	66		17	3,060	2,760	2,040	1,830	5	2,970		.5	C4-S1		
4N-24W-18abc1 and 18ac1		Pdc, Pb	5-15-52	..			596	137	56		258	1,780	64		21	3,020	2,780	2,050	1,840	6	3,060	7.1	.5	C4-S1		
4N-25W-20bca1 and 20bc1	102, 110	Qt	10-23-51	..	19	.00	84	21	51		357	60	16	.5	29	458	459	296	4	27	733	7.5	1.3	C2-S1		
		Qt	8-10-50	..		.00	72	17	39		284	54	14		32	410		250	17	..	619		1.1	C2-S1		
5N-22W-13aaa1	35	Qt	12-23-53	63	9.4	.06	53	19	40	2.4	302	19	8	.5	17	315	318	210	0	29	409	7.9	1.2	C2-S1		
5N-23W-22aaa1	135	Pdc, Pb	4- 6-50	65			614	121	69		174	1,720	171		6.8	3,150	2,790	2,030	1,890	7	3,120		.7	C4-S1		
5N-24W-14ccb1	..44	Pdc, Pb	8-12-52	..	13	.00	608	80	54	3.4	287	1,590	38	.5	19	2,730		1,850	1,610	..	2,790	7.3	.5	C4-S1		
5N-25W-21cbb1	42	Pw	1-19-54	62	18	.00	588	101	94	3.3	179	1,740	38	1.3	75	2,900	2,750	1,880	1,740	10	2,940	7.4	.9	C4-S1		
6N-26W-17dcc1	116	Pdc, Pb	1-19-54	62	15	.00	600	101	29	3.8	230	1,690	8.8	.7	4.9	2,760	2,570	1,910	1,720	3	2,690	7.4	.3	C4-S1		
SPRINGS																										
2S-24W-13			3-10-50	..			88	36	152		363	116	186		20	814		368	70	47	1,340		...			
4N-22W-18cbb			12-16-53	53	18	.00	453	54	36	3.3	225	1,130	29	.5	20	1,970	1,860	1,350	1,770	5	2,110	7.6	.4	C3-S1		
4N-23W-9bba			2- 9-54	58	15	.00	464	58	40	3.2	228	1,140	24	.5	18	2,000	1,880	1,400	1,210	6	2,140	7.5	.5	C3-S1		
4N-26W-6c			2- 9-54	65	16	.00	80	26	77	2.3	337	119	28	.5	24	557	539	306	30	35	841	7.7	1.9	C3-S1		
6N-23W-31aaa			12-16-53	39	14	.00	62	32	46	2.7	400	28	7.2	.7	17	397	407	286	0	26	667	7.8	1.2	C2-S1		
6N-26W-11cad			6-21-59	61			1,480	2,000	120,000		38	7,720	190,000			268,000		11,900	11,900	96	210,000	6.4	34			

1/
Composite sample from wells indicated

Most of the above standards are recommended on the basis of taste and the others are the upper limits regarded as wholly safe for human consumption.

Compounds of iron present in ground water usually precipitate as oxides when exposed to the air, and concentrations exceeding about 0.3 ppm will cause stains and discolorations on fabrics and porcelain fixtures. Of the 26 analyses that show iron content, none indicates an objectionable amount.

Fluoride in water, in small concentrations, helps to prevent dental cavities in children who drink the water during the years of formation of permanent teeth. Dean (1936) and others have shown that the teeth of children who habitually drink water containing more than about 1.5 ppm fluoride are likely to be mottled or stained. Fluoride determinations made in 25 of the analyses reported in table 6 show that the concentration ranged from 0.3 to 1.3 ppm. All were below the Public Health Service maximum of 1.6 ppm, and all were below the range that is likely to cause disfiguration of teeth.

Nitrate in water is considered to be the final oxidation product of nitrogenous material and generally has little effect on the suitability of water for ordinary uses. In high concentrations, nitrate has been known to cause methemoglobinemia (commonly referred to as "blue baby" disease, Waring, 1949, p. 147), if the water is drunk or used to prepare the infant's formula. The U.S. Public Health Service considers water containing less than 10 ppm of nitrate nitrogen (about 45 ppm when reported as nitrate) to be safe. Only one analysis, that of water from a well tapping the Whitehorse Group, had nitrate exceeding 45 ppm.

The limits listed for sulfate, chloride, and dissolved solids in water are based mainly on the taste of the water. A water having a chloride content exceeding about 250 ppm has a salty taste. Large amounts of calcium and magnesium impart a bitter taste to water and excessive amounts of magnesium sulfate (epsom salts) will cause temporary stomach disturbances. Water having a mineral content exceeding 500 ppm is unpleasant to the taste of someone who is accustomed to water of much lower mineral content.

The four samples of water from the terrace deposits meet all the standards set forth by the U.S. Public Health Service, but all samples from the Dog Creek Shale and the Blaine Formation and from the Whitehorse Group are too highly mineralized.

Suitability for Livestock

At the present time no definite standards have been set for the salinity of water consumed by farm animals. As animals apparently tolerate greater mineral concentrations than humans, water suitable for humans is assumed to be suitable for livestock. The Department of ¹/Agriculture and Government Chemical Laboratory of Western Australia² lists thresholds of salinity tolerated by livestock in that area as shown in the following table:

<u>Animals</u>	<u>Salinity (ppm)</u>
Poultry	2,860
Pigs	4,290
Horses	6,435
Dairy cattle	7,150
Beef cattle	10,000
Adult dry sheep	12,900

Suitability for Irrigation

The total amount of dissolved minerals that can be tolerated in an irrigation water varies considerably with the type of soil being irrigated, the crop grown, the drainage of the land, and the amount of rainfall². In general, the higher the mineral content of an irrigation water the greater is the tendency for the minerals to accumulate in the soil. If a soil contains a high accumulation of salts, more water than is needed for crop growth must be applied in order to leach the accumulated salts from the soil. Of the various minerals generally present in natural water, the concentration of sodium and its relative ratio to calcium and magnesium, commonly referred to as the "sodium adsorption ratio (SAR)," is the most critical because of the tendency of sodium to impair the soil's permeability. This ratio is defined by the equation:

$$SAR = \frac{Na^{+1}}{\frac{\sqrt{Ca^{+2} + Mg^{+2}}}{2}}$$

Where the concentrations of Na^{+1} , Ca^{+2} , and Mg^{+2} are expressed in equivalents per million. To convert the concentrations of the sodium, calcium, and

¹California Institute of Technology (1952, p. 154)

²Note: Most data in this section of the report were adapted from U.S. Salinity Laboratory Staff, 1954, Diagnosis and improvement of saline and alkali soils: U.S. Dept. of Agriculture, Agriculture Handb. 60.

magnesium ions from parts per million to equivalents per million they must be multiplied by 0.0435, 0.0499, and 0.9822, respectively. Values for the SAR of ground water analyzed from this area are given in table 6.

Figure 8 is a diagram for the classification of irrigation water based on the specific conductance and the sodium-adsorption ratio. The specific conductance of a water is a measure of the salinity hazard of the water, and the sodium-adsorption ratio is a measure of the sodium or alkali hazard of the water. If a point corresponding to the values for conductivity and SAR of a water is plotted, its position on figure 8 determines the quality classification of the water.

Low-salinity water (C1) can be used for irrigation of most crops on most soils with little likelihood that soil salinity will develop. Some leaching is required, but this occurs under normal irrigation practices except in soils of extremely low permeability.

Medium-salinity water (C2) can be used if a moderate amount of leaching occurs. Plants with moderate salt tolerance generally can be grown without special practices for salinity control.

High-salinity water (C3) cannot be used on soils with restricted drainage. Even with adequate drainage, special management for salinity control may be required and plants with good salt tolerance should be selected.

Very high salinity water (C4) is not suitable for irrigation under ordinary conditions but may be used under special circumstances. The soils must be permeable, drainage must be adequate, irrigation water must be applied in excess to provide considerable leaching, and very salt-tolerant crops should be selected.

Low-sodium water (S1) can be used for irrigation on almost all soils with little danger of the accumulation of harmful quantities of exchangeable sodium. However, sodium-sensitive crops such as stone-fruit trees and avocados may accumulate injurious concentrations of sodium.

Medium-sodium water (S2) will present an appreciable sodium hazard in fine-textured soils having high cation-exchange capacity, especially under low-leaching conditions, unless gypsum is present in the soil. This water may be used on coarse-textured or organic soils with good permeability.

High-sodium water (S3) may produce harmful quantities of exchangeable sodium in most soils and will require special soil management--good drainage, high leaching, and additions of organic matter. Gypsiferous soils may not develop harmful levels of exchangeable sodium from such waters. Chemical amendments may be required for replacement of exchangeable sodium, except that amendments may not be feasible with water of very high salinity.




FIGURE 8-- Diagram showing the classification of water for irrigation.

Very high sodium water (S4) is generally unsatisfactory for irrigation purposes except at low and perhaps medium salinity, where the solution of calcium from the soil or use of gypsum or other amendments may make the use of this water feasible.

The quality classification based on salinity and alkali hazards is shown in table 6 for each of the analyses. The four analyses of water from terrace deposits indicate a medium salinity and a low-sodium hazard. However, most of the water available for irrigation in this area comes from the Dog Creek Shale and Blaine Formation and is highly mineralized. Samples of water from these formations indicate a water of very high salinity, but having a low-sodium hazard. Although classified as of unsatisfactory quality for irrigation on the basis of the salinity hazard, the water has been used continuously for irrigation of some lands for nearly 20 years without apparent ill effect. The good soil drainage and the low-sodium hazard of the water doubtlessly account for the successful use of the water. Continued use of the water for irrigation will provide some interesting data in regard to the high-salinity tolerance of crops, such as cotton, small grains, alfalfa, and truck crops, when the sodium hazard of the applied water is low.

In addition to the salinity and alkali hazards of an irrigation water, the boron content of the water is important. Boron in small quantities is essential to the normal growth of all plants, but in large quantities it is toxic. The permissible limits of boron for several classes of irrigation water, as proposed by Scofield (1936, p. 275-287), are less than 0.33 to 1.25 ppm for sensitive crops and 0.67 to 3.75 ppm for semitolerant and tolerant crops. Of the 20 determinations of boron in table 6, 18 were less than 3.75 ppm and of these 17 were less than 1.25 ppm. With two exceptions, the concentrations would indicate suitability of water for irrigation of crops in the semitolerant and tolerant class. Crops in the sensitive group, which would be damaged by the amount of boron in some of the water, include citrus and other fruit trees and a number of other deciduous trees, none of which are grown in the area studied. Of the crops commonly irrigated in the area, melons are sensitive, cotton and small grains are semitolerant, and alfalfa is tolerant to boron.

CONCLUSIONS

The aquifer developed in the Dog Creek Shale and Blaine Formation in Harmon County and adjacent parts of Greer and Jackson Counties ranks among the best solution-channel aquifers in the State. Yields of individual wells differ widely because they depend to a large extent on the number, size, and degree of interconnection of solution channels in the aquifer at the well site. Most of the irrigation wells are grouped along the major creeks where solution channels seem to be most numerous. Some wells do not yield enough water for livestock but others yield several thousand gallons per minute. Most of the irrigation wells yield between 500 and 1,000 gpm.

The average coefficients of transmissibility and storage of the aquifer underlying the irrigation areas were computed to be about 2.6×10^{-5} and 1.6×10^{-2} . The coefficient of storage outside the irrigation areas was estimated to be about 0.5×10^{-2} . From these values and the thickness and extent of the aquifer, the volume of water in storage was calculated to be about 336,000 acre-feet--nearly 6 times the volume discharged annually from the aquifer.

In 1954, the 50,000 acre-feet of water pumped from wells was about equal to the average annual recharge to the aquifer. However, because precipitation in 1954 was below normal, the amount of water pumped for irrigation probably was somewhat greater than normal. Because the precipitation was below normal, recharge also was less than normal and the water levels at the end of the year were lower than they had been at the beginning. Despite the decline in water levels, it is concluded that the ground-water resources were not yet fully developed in 1954 because the amount withdrawn would have been less than the recharge if precipitation had been more nearly normal.

Several new irrigation wells were put into use during 1955. However, because the precipitation that year was above normal, the withdrawal was less than it would have been if precipitation had been normal. Although the estimated 55,000 acre-feet that was withdrawn exceeded the average annual recharge the year-end water levels were higher than those at the beginning of the year because actual recharge exceeded the withdrawals.

Under average conditions, the wells now in use would be likely to withdraw more than the recharge and thus would cause a progressive lowering of water levels. It is concluded, therefore, that the ground-water resources have been slightly overdeveloped, as only by balancing the average annual rate of withdrawal and the recharge can the resource be maintained indefinitely. Although the amount of water available might be increased by artificially recharging the ground-water reservoir, techniques to accomplish this need further refinement. A large increase in the number of wells would doubtless result in an eventual reduction in the yield per well and the necessity to abandon some wells.

The annual recharge of the area is estimated to average about 50,000 acre-feet, or about the amount of water pumped for irrigation in 1954. Although the amount of water in storage is about 6 times the annual recharge, it should not be assumed that withdrawals at the 1954 rate could be continued for 6 years in the absence of recharge. In actual practice, probably only about a third of the water in storage actually could be withdrawn at that rate from the existing wells. Because the rate of recharge in the years of worst drought probably is about half the rate of recharge in a year of normal precipitation, it is estimated that annual withdrawals at the 1954 rate could be continued through an intensive drought period of 4 successive years. The number of irrigation wells in the area has increased greatly since 1954 and if all the wells now in use were to be pumped at such a rate that the average pumpage per well equaled that of 1954, the recharge rate would be exceeded significantly. Thus, the inescapable conclusion is that the ground-water resources of the area have been overdeveloped and that, for practical purposes, a drought of only 2 or 3 years' duration would seriously curtail irrigation in much of the area.

Water from the Dog Creek Shale and Blaine Formation is so highly mineralized that it is not generally usable for domestic or municipal supplies. However, it has been used throughout the area for irrigation, despite its high salinity, without apparent ill effects to the soil or crops. Because of the possible increase in mineralization that might result from return seepage of water applied for irrigation, it is desirable that the quality of water in the aquifer be monitored regularly. This is desirable also because of the salt water known to underlie the area at shallow depth. Some of the salt water may be drawn into the principal aquifer as a result of the intensive pumping for irrigation.

REFERENCES

- Aurin, F. L., Officer, H. G., and Gould, C. N., 1926, The subdivision of the Enid formation: Am. Assoc. Petroleum Geologists Bull., v. 10, no. 8, p. 786-799.
- Barclay, J. E., and Burton, L. C., 1953, Ground-water resources of terrace deposits and alluvium of western Tillman County, Oklahoma: Oklahoma Plan. and Resources Bull. 12.
- Bennett, R. R., and Meyer, R. R., 1952, Geology and ground-water resources of the Baltimore area: Maryland Dept. Geology, Mines and Water Res. Bull. 4, 573 p.
- California Institute of Technology, 1952, Water quality criteria: California Water Pollution Control Board Pub. 3, 512 p.
- Clifton, R. L., 1928, Oil and gas in Oklahoma, Geology of Harmon, Greer, Jackson, and Tillman Counties: Oklahoma Geol. Survey Bull. 40-Y, 24 p.
- _____, 1930, Oil and gas in Oklahoma, Harmon, Greer, Jackson, and Tillman Counties: Oklahoma Geol. Survey Bull. 40, v. 3, p. 191-206.
- Cragin, F. W., 1896, The Permian system in Kansas: Colorado Coll. Studies, v. 6, p. 1-48.
- Cummings, T. R., 1963, Chemical character of surface waters of Oklahoma: Oklahoma Water Resources Board Bull. 19.
- Davis, L. V., 1955, Geology and ground-water resources of Grady and northern Stephens Counties, Oklahoma: Oklahoma Geol. Survey Bull. 73, 184 p.
- Dean, H. T. 1936, Chronic endemic dental fluorosis: Am. Med. Assoc. Jour., V. 107, p. 1269-1272.
- Dole, R. B., 1906, Use of fluorescein in the study of underground waters: U.S. Geol. Survey Water-Supply Paper 160, p. 73-85.
- Dover, T. B., 1953, Chemical character of surface waters of Oklahoma, 1950-1951: Oklahoma Plan. and Resources Board Bull. 7.
- _____, 1954, Chemical character of surface waters of Oklahoma, 1951-1952: Oklahoma Plan. and Resources Board Bull. 10.
- _____, 1956, Chemical character of surface waters of Oklahoma, 1953-1954: Oklahoma Plan. and Resources Board Bull. 14.
- _____, 1958, Chemical character of surface waters of Oklahoma, 1954-1955: Oklahoma Plan. and Resources Board Bull. 15.
- _____, 1959, Chemical character of surface waters of Oklahoma, 1955-1956: Oklahoma Water Resources Board Bull. 16.
- Fay, R. O., 1958, Permian stratigraphy of Blaine County, Oklahoma--a preliminary report: Oklahoma Acad. Sci. Proc., v. 38, p. 82-86.
- Gould, C. N., 1902, General geology of Oklahoma: Oklahoma Dept. Geology and Nat. History Bienn. Rept. 2 (1906).
- _____, 1905, Geology and water resources of Oklahoma: U.S. Geol. Survey Water-Supply Paper 148, 178 p.
- _____, 1924, A new classification of the Permian red beds of southwestern Oklahoma: Am. Assoc. Petroleum Geologists Bull., v. 8, no. 3, p. 322-341.
- Ham, W. E., 1958, Stratigraphy of the Blaine Formation in Beckham County, Oklahoma: Oklahoma Acad. Sci. Proc., v. 38, p. 88-93.

- Husky, I. C., 1956, A report on irrigation in Oklahoma covering calendar years 1953, 1954, 1955: Oklahoma Plan. and Resources Board, mimeo. rept.
- Locke, R. H., Koop, C. H., and Reed, Clem, 1934, Report on surface and ground water of Oklahoma: Emergency Relief Administration of Oklahoma, typewritten report, 443 p.
- Meinzer, O. E., 1923, Outline of ground-water hydrology, with definitions: U.S. Geol. Survey Water-Supply Paper 494, 71 p.
- Miser, H. D., 1954, Geologic map of Oklahoma: U.S. Geol. Survey and Oklahoma Geol. Survey.
- Murphy, J. J., 1955, Chemical character of surface waters of Oklahoma, 1952-1953: Oklahoma Plan. and Resources Board Bull. 11.
- Oklahoma Department of Commerce and Industry, 1959, Directory of Oklahoma Manufacturers, State of Oklahoma, Oklahoma City.
- Pate, C. O., Murphy, J. J., and Orth, R. P., 1961, Chemical character of surface waters of Oklahoma, 1956-1957: Oklahoma Water Resources Board Bull. 18, 140 p.
- Reed, E. W., Mogg, J. L., Barclay, J. E., and Peden, G. H., 1952, Ground-water resources of the terrace deposits along the northeast side of the Cimarron River in Alfalfa, Garfield, Kingfisher, and Major Counties, Oklahoma: Oklahoma Plan. and Resources Board Bull. 9, 101 p.
- Roberts, Edd, and Bunch, C. E. [undated], Water in Oklahoma: Oklahoma Agr. and Mech. Coll. Ext. Div. Circ. 628.
- Schoff, S. L., 1948, Ground-water irrigation in the Duke area, Jackson and Greer Counties, Oklahoma: Oklahoma Geol. Survey Mineral Rept. 18.
- Scofield, C. S., 1936, The salinity of irrigation water: Smithsonian Inst. Ann. Rept., 1953, p. 275-287.
- Scott, G. L., Jr., and Ham, W. E., 1957, Geology and gypsum resources of the Carter area, Oklahoma: Oklahoma Geol. Survey Circ. 42, 64 p.
- Sears, J. M., 1951, The Hollis basin, southwestern Oklahoma: Oklahoma Univ. Master's Thesis.
- Sellards, E. H., Adkins, W. S., and Plummer, F. B., 1933, The geology of Texas, v. 1, Stratigraphy: Texas Univ. Bull. 3232, 1007 p.
- Snider, L. C., 1913, The gypsum and salt of Oklahoma: Oklahoma Geol. Survey Bull. 11, 214 p.
- Theis, C. V., 1935, The relation between the lowering of the piezometric surface and the rate and duration of discharge of a well using ground-water storage: Am. Geophys. Union Trans., 16th Ann. Mtg., pt. 2, p. 519-524.
- Thorntwaite, C. W., 1941, Atlas of climatic types in the United States, 1900-1939: U.S. Dept. Agriculture Misc. Pub. 421, pl. 3.
- U.S. Salinity Laboratory Staff, 1954, Diagnosis and improvement of saline and alkali soils: U.S. Dept. Agriculture Handb. 60.
- U.S. Geological Survey, issued annually, Surface water supply of the United States, pt. 7, Lower Mississippi River Basin: U.S. Geol. Survey Water-Supply Papers.
- 1952, Chemical character of surface waters in Oklahoma, 1949-1950: Oklahoma Plan. and Resources Board Bull. 6.

- U.S. Public Health Service, 1962, Drinking water standards, 1962. Public Health Pub. 956, 61 p.
- Walling, I. W., Schoff, S. L., and Dover, T. B., 1951, Chemical character of surface waters in Oklahoma: Oklahoma Plan. and Resources Board Bull. 5.
- Waring, F. H., 1949, Significance of nitrates in water supplies: Am. Water Works Assoc. Jour., v. 41, no. 2, p. 147-150.
- Wenzel, L. K., 1942, Methods for determining permeability of water-bearing materials, with special reference to discharging-well methods, with a section on Direct laboratory methods and a bibliography on permeability and laminar flow, by V. C. Fishel: U.S. Geol. Survey Water-Supply Paper 887, 192 p.
- White, W. N., 1932, A method of estimating ground-water supplies based on discharge by plants and evaporation from soil--results of investigations in Escalante Valley, Utah: U.S. Geol. Survey Water-Supply Paper 659-A, p. 1-105.

APPENDIX A.--MEASURED STRATIGRAPHIC SECTION OF EXPOSED PERMIAN ROCKS IN
GREER, HARMON, AND JACKSON COUNTIES, OKLA.

Section of Permian strata exposed in NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ sec. 14 and in NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$
sec. 15, T. 4 N., R. 22 W., Greer County

Blaine Formation:	Thickness (feet)
Mangum Dolomite Member:	
Limestone, gray, crystalline, porous; ledge- forming.....	2+
Unnamed member:	
Shale, reddish-brown and gray in alternating layers....	28
Collingsworth Gypsum Member:	
Gypsum (alabaster), gray, nodular to platy; ledge- forming.....	1
Shale, reddish-brown and gray in alternating layers; earthy to starchy fracture.....	1
Gypsum (alabaster), gray and white, argillaceous, soft; weathered to a gentle slope.....	9
Unnamed member:	
Limestone, gray, gypsiferous, porous.....	.3
Dolomite, light-gray, dense, massive, calcareous; ledge-forming.....	.5
Limestone, brownish-gray with thin reddish-brown layers; platy and porous; ledge-forming.....	1.5
Shale, gray, earthy to starchy fracture.....	2
Shale, reddish-brown with gray streaks; starchy fracture.....	8
Cedartop Gypsum Member:	
Gypsum (alabaster) and anhydrite, white and bluish- gray; many small solution cavities; ledge-forming....	7
Unnamed member:	
Shale, gray, earthy to starchy fracture.....	2
Shale, reddish-brown, not well exposed.....	15
Haystack Gypsum Member:	
Gypsum (alabaster), white, nodular, argillaceous; ledge-forming.....	4
Gypsum (alabaster), white; clay, brown and gray; weathered to a gentle slope.....	6
Gypsum (alabaster) and anhydrite, white, clay-filled fissures; ledge-forming.....	5
Anhydrite, dark-gray, shows bedding planes.....	.4
Gypsum (alabaster) and anhydrite, gray and white, massive.....	1

Blaine Formation.--Continued

Unnamed member:

Shale, olive-drab to gray, fissile.....	.5
Shale, gray, calcareous and gypsiferous.....	.2
Shale, olive drab to gray, calcareous and gypsiferous.....	1.5
Gypsum (alabaster), and anhydrite, brownish-gray to white.....	.2
Shale, reddish-brown and gray in alternating layers, calcareous and gypsiferous.....	6.5
Gypsum (alabaster) and anhydrite, gray and white; ledge-forming.....	.7
Shale, reddish-brown and gray alternating layers, several thin beds of gypsum 1 to 2 inches thick.....	6.3
Gypsum (alabaster) and anhydrite, bluish-gray to white; ledge-forming.....	.5
Shale, reddish-brown and gray.....	2.7
Shale, reddish-brown, fissile to blocky, many veins of satinspar and selenite less than 2 inches thick....	5.5
Shale, gray, platy to fissile, gypsiferous.....	5.1
Anhydrite, gray, and thin layers of shale; ledge- forming.....	.7
Shale, reddish-brown and gray in alternating layers, fissile to blocky, gypsiferous.....	6.6
Sandstone, gray, fine-grained, platy.....	.2
Shale, reddish-brown and gray in alternating layers; many veins of satinspar and selenite.....	7.5

Chaney Gypsum Member:

Gypsum (alabaster) and anhydrite, bluish-gray and white, clay-filled fissures.....	2
---	---

Flowerpot Shale:

Unnamed member:

Shale, reddish-brown and bluish-gray in alternating layers, fissile to blocky, calcareous.....	6.8
Shale, bluish-gray, and thin plates of selenite.....	1
Gypsum (satinspar), reddish-brown, platy; alter- nating with reddish-brown calcareous shale.....	1
Shale, reddish-brown and bluish-gray in alternating layers, in part calcareous; many beds of alabaster, mostly nodular and less than 6 inches thick, and a few thin veins of selenite.....	<u>61</u>

Total section measured

210.2

Section of Permian strata exposed in NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ sec. 8, T. 5 N., R. 23 W.,
Greer County

Blaine Formation:

Unnamed member:

Limestone, gray, massive to porous; lies on top
of hill..... 1+
Shale, reddish-brown and gray in alternating layers..... 10

Cedartop Gypsum Member:

Gypsum (alabaster), gray to white..... 12

Unnamed member:

Shale, reddish-brown and gray in alternating layers..... 13

Haystack Gypsum Member:

Gypsum (alabaster), gray to white, some anhydrite in
lower 6 feet, upper 6 feet argillaceous..... 12

Unnamed member:

Shale, reddish-brown and gray in alternating layers;
thin gypsum (alabaster) beds..... 2.2
Shale, reddish-brown, gypsiferous..... 7.5
Shale, reddish-brown and gray; gypsum veins
(selenite)..... 2
Siltstone, reddish-brown and gray..... 2
Shale, reddish-brown and gray in alternating layers;
gypsum veins (selenite)..... 11

Chaney Gypsum Member:

Gypsum (alabaster) and anhydrite, argillaceous..... 7.5

Flowerpot Shale:

Unnamed member:

Shale, reddish-brown and gray in alternating layers;
thin beds and veins of gypsum..... 30+

Total section measured 110.2

Section of Permian strata exposed in NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ sec. 27, T. 6 N., R. 25 W.,
Greer County

Dog Creek Shale:

Limestone, gray, dense to crystalline, porous; layers
of light-gray dense dolomite about one-fourth inch
thick..... 2+
Gypsum (alabaster), gray to white..... 15

Blaine Formation:

Mangum Dolomite Member:

Limestone, gray, crystalline, porous; layers of light-
gray, dense dolomite about one-fourth inch thick..... 4

Unnamed member:

Shale, reddish-brown and gray in alternating layers..... 17

Collingsworth Gypsum Member:

Gypsum (alabaster) and anhydrite, tan to white..... 17

Blaine Formation.--Continued.

Unnamed member:	
Shale, reddish-brown and gray in alternating layers.....	15
Cedartop Gypsum Member:	
Gypsum (alabaster) and anhydrite, tan to white.....	16
Unnamed member:	
Dolomite, light-gray, dense.....	1
Shale, reddish-brown and gray in alternating layers.....	6
Haystack Gypsum Member:	
Gypsum (alabaster) and anhydrite, tan to white.....	7
Dolomite, light-gray, argillaceous, calcareous, dense...	1
Gypsum (alabaster) and anhydrite, tan to white.....	7
Total section measured	108.0

Section of Permian strata exposed in NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ sec. 12, T. 6 N., R. 26 W.,
Harmon County

Blaine Formation:

Mangum Dolomite Member:	
Limestone, gray, coarsely crystalline, massive to very porous.....	2
Limestone, gray, dense, platy.....	.5
Dolomite, light-gray, dense, platy.....	.5
Unnamed member:	
Shale, reddish-brown and gray in alternating layers.....	13
Collingsworth Gypsum Member:	
Gypsum (alabaster) and anhydrite, white to light-gray...	16
Unnamed member:	
Limestone, gray to buff, finely crystalline, very porous.....	2
Shale, reddish-brown and gray in alternating layers.....	9
Cedartop Gypsum Member:	
Gypsum (alabaster), gray, argillaceous.....	18
Unnamed member:	
Limestone, gray and brown, very porous.....	1
Shale, olive drab, calcareous.....	3
Shale, reddish-brown and gray in alternating layers.....	6
Haystack Gypsum Member:	
Gypsum (alabaster), gray to white, argillaceous.....	12
Gypsum (alabaster) and anhydrite, white to light-gray...	6
Unnamed member:	
Shale, reddish-brown and gray in alternating layers; gypsum (selenite) in thin sheets.....	14
Kiser Gypsum Member:	
Gypsum (alabaster and selenite), gray, argillaceous.....	2
Shale, reddish-brown and gray in alternating layers.....	12.5
Chaney Gypsum Member:	
Gypsum (alabaster and selenite), greenish-gray to white, thin-bedded, argillaceous.....	2

Flowerpot Shale:

Unnamed member:

Shale, reddish-brown and gray in alternating layers.....	4
Gypsum (alabaster), gray to white.....	2
Shale, reddish-brown and gray in alternating layers; thin layers of selenite.....	<u>20+</u>

Total section measured 145.5

Section of Permian strata exposed in NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ sec. 30, T. 1 N., R. 22 W.,
Jackson County

Blaine Formation:

Mangum Dolomite Member:

Limestone, gray, granular to crystalline, massive to thin bedded; some layers of light-gray dolomite about one-quarter inch thick. Solution cavities in upper 2 feet and along bedding planes; ledge-forming..	7
---	---

- Unnamed shale member:

Shale, reddish-brown and gray in alternating layers, mostly covered on slope.....	38
--	----

Collingsworth Gypsum Member:

Gypsum (alabaster); white where freshly exposed, gray where weathered; ledge-forming.....	12
--	----

Unnamed member:

Clay, brown.....	.5
Dolomite, light-gray, massive.....	1.5
Shale, reddish-brown and gray in alternating layers, gypsiferous.....	12

Cedartop Gypsum Member:

Anhydrite, gray to white.....	2
Gypsum (alabaster), gray, soft, argillaceous.....	5

Unnamed member:

Shale, reddish-brown and gray in alternating layers, gypsiferous.....	18
--	----

Haystack Gypsum Member:

Gypsum (alabaster), white to gray, argillaceous.....	<u>10+</u>
--	------------

Total section measured 106

Appendix B.--Records of wells and test holes in Harmon County and adjacent parts of Greer and Jackson Counties, Okla.

Well number: For explanation see text p. 7; well locations shown on plate 2.

Geologic source: Qal, alluvium; Qt, terrace deposits; Pw, Whitehorse Group; Pdc, Dog Creek Shale; Pb, Blaine Formation; Pf, Flowerpot Shale.

Type of well: B, bored, Dd, drilled; Dg, dug.

Pump and power: C, cylinder; Cf, centrifugal, J, jet; N, none; R, rope and bucket; T, turbine; b, butane; E, electric; g, gasoline; h, hand; ng, natural gas; w, wind-mill.

Use: D, domestic; I, irrigation; N, none (includes unused or destroyed wells); P, public supply; R, recharge; S, stock.

Other data: C, chemical analysis shown in table 6; L, well log shown in appendix C.

Well number	Owner or tenant	Date completed	Altitude of land surface (feet)	Geologic source	Depth of well (feet)	Type of well	Pump and power	Use	Yield (gpm)	Water level		Other data
										Depth below land surface (feet)	Date of measurement	
GREER COUNTY												
3N-21W-6bb1				Qt	13	Dg	N	N		8.13	12-16-53	
3N-22W-3bbb1	T. K. Underwood		1,508	Pf	23	Dg	J, e	S		13.88	2- 9-54	
9aad1				Qt	19	Dg	C, w	N		13.46	12-16-53	
12ddd1				Qt	19	Dg	N	N		13.66	12-16-53	
13baa1	L. T. Ray		1,438	Qt	17	Dg	C, w	S		12.52	2- 9-54	
16dac1			1,459	Pf	20	Dg	C, w	S		16.23	2- 9-54	
3N-23W-1aad1		1947		Pb	15	Dg	C, w	S		8.9	2-22-52	
2bdd1	W. and E. Hurst	1952	1,568	Pb	90	Dd	T, b	I		63.83	2-16-54	C
2ccd1	Dewey Thompson	1953		Pb	145	Dd	N	N				L
2ccc1	do.	1954	1,527	Pb	...	Dd	N	N				
2ccd2	do.	1954		Pb	96	Dd	T, b	I	200			
3dad1	Claude Robertson		1,519	Pb	...	Dd	T, b	I	600	39.79	2-10-54	
3ddb1	do.	1948	1,502	Pb	60	Dd	N	N		25.82	4-15-49	L
3dad2	do.	1951		Pb	80	Dd	N	N				L
3aaa1	do.	1954		Pb	94	Dd		I		35.14	5- 6-54	L
4cba1	Claude Boyett	1948	1,527	Pb	195	Dd	T, e	I	350	74.40	2-10-54	L
4ddb1	Cecil Norton	1954		Pb	140	Dd	N	N				
8daa1	W. W. Nelson	1953		Pb, Pdc	150	Dd	C, w	S				L
9dba1	Bob Staton	1948		Pb, Pdc	125	Dd	T, b	I	1,500			L
10da1	Virgil Dennis	1951		Pb	130	Dd	J, e	S				L
11cc1	E. W. Beck	1951		Pb	135	Dd	T, b	I	500			
11acc1	J.W. Brawley	1953		Pb	110	Dd	N	N				L
14bc1	R. V. Staton	1951	1,489	Pb	135	Dd	T, b	I	1,250			L
16daa1	Ivan Owen	1949		Pb, Pdc	105	Dd	T, b	I	600	40.82	2-10-54	
16ccc1	do.		1,473	Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	N	N		31.00	10-21-49	
16cab1	do.	1948	1,459	Pb, Pdc	152	Dd	T, b	I	1,000	24.20	4-15-49	C, L
16dab1	do.	1949		Pb, Pdc	153	Dd	N	N				L
16dba1	do.			Pb, Pdc	173	Dd	T, b	I	1,000			L
16abd1	Woods Templer	1952		Pb, Pdc	109	Dd	T, b	I	1,200			
16dcb1	Ivan Owen	1952		Pb, Pdc	100	Dd	N	N				L
16dca1	do.	1952		Pb, Pdc	110	Dd	C, w	S				L
17aa1	J. O. Moore	1951	1,470	Pb, Pdc	189	Dd	T, b	N		52.86	2-10-54	C, L
17daa1	do.	1952		Pb, Pdc	120	Dd	C, w	S				C, L
17aca1	do.	1954		Pb, Pdc	127	Dd		I	325			
4N-22W-4aad1			1,504	Qt	13	Dg	N	N		6.48	2- 9-54	
5ad1				Pf	19	Dd	C, w	S				
11ccb1				Pf	...	Dd	C, w	N		29.86	12-15-53	
13bac1	W. O. Deadman			Pf	15	Dg	C, h	N		6.32	12-16-53	
14ddd1				Pf	32	Dd	C, w	N		21.46	12-16-53	
15dcc1			1,563	Pf	...	Dd	C, w	S		7.33	2-19-54	
17baa1			1,739	Pb	81	Dd	C, w	S		73.95	2-10-54	
19dda1	E. C. Hill	1903	1,730	Pb	76	Dd	N	N		74.84	2- 9-54	
22cc1	Norman Meadow	1947			...	Dd	C, w	S				
23ada1				Pf	...	Dd	C, w	S		16.82	12-15-53	
27cbb1			1,558	Pf	15	Dd	C, w	N		6.17	2- 9-54	
30bcc1	J. H. Olive	1933		Pb	96	Dd	C, w	S		82.34	11-24-53	
32cbb1	L. E. Griffith	1953	1,650	Pb	67	Dd	C, w	S		47.75	2- 9-54	
35dcc1				Pf	15	Dg	C, w	N		8.19	1-12-54	
36add1				Qa1	19	Dd	C, w	S		11.01	12-15-53	
4N-23W-3aaa1	Con Hammer		1,712	Pb	56	Dg	C, w	S				
11ad1				Pf	...	Dd	C, w	N		66.40	1-27-54	
15ccc1	V. Dennis		1,662	Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	C, w	S		63.36	6-23-55	
20dd1	W. H. Boyd			Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	N	N				C
20ddd1	do.		1,602	Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	C, w	N		37.58	2-10-54	
20ccc1			1,578	Pb, Pdc	...	Dd		..		+1.70	2-10-54	
21aab1	J. C. Baity		1,627	Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	C, w	S		59.57	6-16-55	
24aad1				Pb, Pdc	38	Dd		N		32.63	2-21-52	
27cdd1	Mrs. J. R. Dyer			Pb	69	Dd		N		41.28	2-21-52	
29ccc1	V. L. Meason			Pdc	14	Dg		N		11.35	2-21-52	
30aba1	John Woodward	1937		Pb, Pdc	235	Dd	J, e	S		flowing	1-20-54	
32dbb1	J. T. Hurst			Pb, Pdc	210	Dd	N	N				L
33dab1	Earl Thomas	1948	1,550	Pb	155	Dd	T, b	I	670	31.17	4-16-49	C, L
35dcb1	W. B. Hurst	1961		Pb	70	Dd	N	N		47.70	5-16-52	L
36aab1			1,648	Pb	53	Dd	N	N		49.56	2-21-52	
4N-24W-1ccc1	W. E. Lanford			Pb, Pdc	59	Dd	C, w	S				
12ddd1		1935	1,676	Pb, Pdc	46	Dg	N	P		27.03	2-10-54	
14bbb1		1938	1,767	Qt	35	Dg	C, w	P		31.93	2-10-54	
14bbb2	U.S. Geol. Survey	1955	1,767	Pb, Pdc	234	Dd	N	N		122.13	6- -55	L

Well number	Owner or tenant	Date completed	Altitude of land surface (feet)	Geologic source	Depth of well (feet)	Type of well	Pump and power	Use	Yield (gpm)	Water level		Other data
										Depth below land surface (feet)	Date of measurement	
GREER COUNTY												
4N-24W--Continued												
15ddd1	O. Parkley	1955	1,697	Qt	20	Dd	N	..		14.22	2-21-52	
15bcb1	C. R. Kerbo	1950	1,780	Pb, Pdc	263	Dd	N	S				L
26ddc1			1,618	Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	C, w	S		69.85	2-10-54	
5N-22W-6ccd1	Virgil R. Castle	1922		Qt	25	Dg	R	S		21.95	10-14-53	
6ddc1	J. D. Gray	1913		Qt	35	Dg	J, e	S		26.14	10-15-53	
6dcc1	do.	1923		Qt	31	Dg	N	S		22.09	10-16-53	
7bbb1	O. O. Castle		1,617	Pf	18	Dg	R	S		15.44	2- 9-54	
8dcc1	Jim. Bishy	1934		Pf	20	Dg	R	D		18.66	10-14-53	
8ddd1	Dennis Jones			Qt	35	Dg	C, h	D		35.34	10-13-53	
9add1				Qal	22	Dg	J, e, C, w	S		21.00	10-14-53	
9dcc1	Lee Caffey	1938		Qt	45	Dg	C, w	N		33.72	10-15-53	
9ccc1	J. E. Bishop	1920		Qt	50	Dg	J, e	S		37.50	10-15-53	
10dcc1	H. L. Dowdy	1923		Qt	36	Dg	J, e	S		23.73	10-14-53	
10cdd1	John Price	1927		Qt	30	Dg	J, e	S		33.30	10-14-53	
10ddd1	W. H. Griffis	1920		Qt	37	Dg	J, e	D		31.98	10-28-53	
10add1	do.	1915		Qal	18	Dg	C, w	S		14.93	10-28-53	
11dcc1	Layton Hanks	1920		Qt	50	Dg	R	S		32.48	10-13-53	
11ddc1	do.	1951	1,574	Qt	50	Dd	N	N		33.82	2- 9-54	
11ddd1				Qt	31	Dg	N	S		26.49	10-13-53	
5N-22W-11dca1	Layton Hanks	1954		Qt	49	Dd	T, g	I		33.82	4-20-55	
12bd1	Earl Wetzel			Qal	56	Dd	N	N		18.82	11-25-53	
13dbb1	City of Mangum			Qt	...	Dd	N	N		29.78	7-28-53	
13acd1	do.			Qt	...	Dd	N	N		29.03	7-28-53	
13adb1	do.			Qt	...	Dd	N	N		30.59	7-28-53	
13ddd1	G. S. Hall			Qt	20	Dg	J, e	D		17.58	10-14-53	
13aaa1	John Halford			Qt	35	Dg	C, h	N		27.83	10-14-53	
14bbc1	George Johnson			Qt	50	Dg	N	N		dry	10-14-53	
15abc1	C. A. Pierson	1911		Qt	20	Dg	C, w	S				
16dad1	John Vecke	1904		Qt	22	Dg	C, w	S				
16cdc1	Mrs. M. Stock	1901	1,659	Qt	18	Dg	C, w	S		9.67	2-10-54	
16b	Guy Waldroop			Qt	...	Dg	C, h	D				
17ddc1	H. M. Powell	1944		Qt	35	Dd	J, e	S		17.58	10-15-53	
18aba1	W. H. Griffis	1942		Pf	10	Dg	N	N		5.17	10-28-53	
19baa1		1942		Pf	...	Dg	C, w	S		18.80	2- 9-54	
20aba1	B. B. Holey			Qt	29	Dg	C, w	S		27.90	10-15-53	
20ddd1	E. E. Pierson			Pf	108	Dd	C, w	S				
23acd1	City of Mangum			Qt	...	Dd	T	I				
23dbb1	do.			Qt	...	Dd	..	I		26.90	7-28-53	
23cal	do.			Qt	...	Dd	N	N		24.80	7-28-53	
23cdb1	do.			Qt	...	Dd	N	N				
23cbd1				Qt	...	Dd	N	N				
23bad1	City of Mangum			Qt	...	Dd	N	N				
23baa1	do.			Qt	40	Dd	N	N		25.42	7-28-53	
5N-22W-23bdd1	do.			Qt	...	Dd	C, w	S				
23acb1	G. W. Johnson	1949		Qt	58	Dd	T, b	I	550	28.00	7-28-53	
24ccd1	M. L. Speed	1935		Qt	48	Dg	J, e	D		20.81	10-26-53	
25bcc1	F. R. Baker	1940	1,642	Qt	28	Dg	J, e	S		25.75	2- 9-54	
26aab1	C. A. Loftie	1953		Qt	58	Dd	J, e	S		38.52	10-27-53	
35add1	Mrs. Fred Parker			Pf	33	Dd	R	S		23.45	10-27-53	
5N-23W-1ddd1	A. W. Stone			Pf	29	Dg	R	S		15.50	10-14-53	
1aaa1	Rip Beck			Qal	12	Dg	C, w	S		10.87	10-14-53	
2baa1		1936		Qt	29	Dg	C, h	P		21.60	11-17-53	
3dda1	Ralph Clabaugh			Qt	39	Dd	C, w	S		20.85	11-18-53	
3cd1	do.		1,712	Pf	57	Dg	C, w	S		52.83	2- 9-54	
3aad1				Qt	28	Dg	N	S		24.50	11-18-53	
6bbc1	J. P. Stover		1,728	Qt	...	Dd	C, h	D, S		31.57	2- 9-54	
10aaa1				Pb	37	Dg	N	S		24.43	11-18-53	
17ccc1				Pb	...	Dg	C, w	S		15.59	10-26-53	
17ddd1	Carl Bleick			Pb, Pdc	85	Dd	C	S		73.38	11-17-53	
19aaa1	C. W. McDuff	1954		Pb, Pdc	70	Dd	T	I	55			
20bab1	Robert Stock	1918		Qt	17	Dg	N	S		13.17	10-26-53	
20dcc1	L. E. Pearson		1,731	Pb, Pdc	73	Dd	C, w	S		60.15	2- 9-53	
20aba1	Mrs. McKinley Pearson	1954		Pb, Pdc	100	Dd	T, b	I	275			
21bdc1	Heatly	1952	1,728	Pb, Pdc	145	Dd	N	N		61.80	8-27-53	L
22aaa1	Sidney Burcham	1948	1,754	Pb, Pdc	135	Dd	T, b	I	600	94.85	2-11-54	C
22bbb1				Qt	33	Dg	C, w	S		27.37	10-26-53	
5N-23W-22daa1	Mrs. S. A. Turner	1937		Pb, Pdc	110	Dd	C, w	S		71.39	11-17-53	
23ddd1	John Cooksey	1905		Pb, Pdc	90	Dg	C, w	S				
26abb1	H. D. Wells	1954		Pb, Pdc	92	Dd	T, b	I	700			
27aca1	W. B. Mathews	1953	1,725	Pb, Pdc	109	Dd	T, b	I	650	64.82	7-29-53	
27ccb1	J. B. Thompson	1942		Pb, Pdc	76	Dd	J, e	S				
27bda1	J.D. Gray	1953	1,717	Pb, Pdc	127	Dd	T, b	I	650	57.25	12-15-53	L
28baa1	D. R. Penn	1948	1,720	Pb, Pdc	153	Dd	T, b	I	300			
28baa2	do.	1930		Pb, Pdc	65	Dg	C, e	S		51.96	11-18-53	
29dd1				Qt	40	Dg	N	N		22.67	11-15-50	
33aaa1	J. B. Thompson	1953		Pb, Pdc	85	Dd	T, e	I	650	51.75	11-17-53	
5N-24W-2baa1	C. F. Slaton		1,696	Qt	15	Dg	N	N		10.43	2- 9-54	
12aaa1	Town of Reed	1934		Qt	15	Dg	C	N		11.23	11-17-53	
14ccb1				Pb, Pdc	44	Dg	C, w	S		41.58	11-19-53	C
15ddd1			1,760	Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	C, w, h	S		25.02	11-19-53	

Well number	Owner or tenant	Date completed	Altitude of land surface (feet)	Geologic source	Depth of well (feet)	Type of well	Pump and power	Use	Yield (gpm)	Water level		Other data
										Depth below land surface (feet)	Date of measurement	
GREER COUNTY												
6N-23W-32ddd1	Bud Patton			Qt	29	Dg	N	D		22.15	11-17-53	
6N-24W-27c				Pf	33	Dd	N	N		26.01	12-15-53	
34cdd1	W. E. Pugh	1950		Pf	34	Bd	R	S		21.06	11-19-53	
35cdd1	J. P. Taylor	1930		Qt	14	Dg	N	D		9.48	11-19-53	
36ddc1				Qt	32	Dg	N	S		20.82	11-19-53	
HARMON COUNTY												
1N-24W-2caa1	W. D. Payne			Pb, Pdc	137	Dd	N	N		24.40	1- 7-54	
2cad1	do.	1954		Pb, Pdc	140	Dd	N	N				
2cdbl	do.	1954	1,533	Pb, Pdc	247	Dd	N	I	200	81.94	2-25-53	L
4dd1	Oscar Bryant		1,542	Pb, Pdc		Dd	N	N				
4bc1			1,544	Pb, Pdc		Dd	C, w	S		85.86	2-11-54	
6bcd1	Carl Snider	1953	1,544	Pb, Pdc	204	Dd	N	N		51.40	8-31-53	L
6cba1	do.	1953	1,540	Pb, Pdc	130	Dd	N	N				
7cca1	Ovid Patrick	1953		Pb, Pdc	170	Dd	N	N		34.35	12-31-53	
7cca2	do.	1954		Pb, Pdc	207	Dd	T, b	I	270	35.50	4-16-54	L
8dba1	T. H. Hunter	1954		Pb, Pdc	188	Dd	T, b	I	850			L
8adb1	H. S. Beanland	1954		Pb, Pdc	255	Dd		I				
8bab1	Floyd Patrick	1955		Pb, Pdc	250	Dd	N	N				
9cbb1	Motley			Pb, Pdc		Dd	N	N				
15aad1			1,495	Pb, Pdc		Dd	C, w	S		25.38	1- 6-54	
17cbc1	H. S. Beanland	1949		Pb, Pdc	138	Dd	T, b	I	850			
17aaa1			1,518	Pb, Pdc		Dd	C, w	S		77.01	2-11-54	
19bc1	R. L. Owens	1952		Pb, Pdc	119	Dd	T, b	I	900			
19dac1	W. D. Ewing	1953		Pb, Pdc	110	Dd	N	N		18.16	8- 5-53	
19ddb1	do.	1954		Pb, Pdc	110	Dd	T, b	I				L
20ddd1	E. H. Mefford	1953	1,503	Pb, Pdc	140	Dd	T, b	I	670	67.46	2-10-54	C
20ca1	H. L. Richardson	1953		Pb, Pdc	130	Dd	T, b	I	1,000			
21bbb1				Pb, Pdc		Dd	C, w	N		56.57	1- 7-54	
25dad1			1,461	Pb, Pdc		Dd	N	N		30.11	2-10-54	
29bcc1	J. T. Cunningham	1953		Pb, Pdc	140	Dd	T, e	I	420	24.37	8-25-53	
30bb1	Troy Coke	1953		Pb, Pdc	157	Dd	N	N				L
30daa1	Jack Gregory	1952		Pb, Pdc	130	Dd	T, b	I	200			
30dbb1	do.	1953	1,581	Pb, Pdc	110	Dd	T, b	I	500	11.15	2-10-54	
30cab1	Agatha Coke	1954		Pb, Pdc	117	Dd	T, e	I				
31b	J. R. Spradlin, Sr.	1955		Pb, Pdc	85	Dd		I				
32dbd1	do.	1955		Pb, Pdc		Dd		I				
34dcc1	Merritt & Washburn	1952	1,443	Pb, Pdc	94	Dd	T, b	I	655	15.09	2-11-54	
35cb1				Qa1	16	Dg	C, w	S		10.43	1- 6-54	
1N-25W-1aa1	W. H. Conner	1948		Pb, Pdc	55	Dd	T, b	I	200			
1dbb1	George Bell	1954	1,530	Pb, Pdc	210	Dd	N	N		31.47	2-16-54	
1dbc1	do.	1954		Pb, Pdc	180	Dd	T, b	I	640			L
1cdal	Max McLaughlin	1954		Pb, Pdc	169	Dd	T, b	I	810			
1cab1	Tom Crockett	1954		Pb, Pdc	180	Dd	T, b	I				
1ad1	W. H. Conner	1954		Pb, Pdc	145	Dd	T, b	I				
3dad1	J. B. Metcalf			Pb, Pdc	145	Dd	N	N		27.82	8- 7-53	
3dad2	do.	1954		Pb, Pdc		Dd	T, b	I	640			
3cbc1	Rhurmond Abernathy	1954		Pb, Pdc	125	Dd	T, b	I	500			
4dba1	Joe L. Thomas	1951	1,545	Pb, Pdc	120	Dd	T, b	I	650	51.07	2-10-54	L
4dcb1	do.	1954		Pb, Pdc	195	Dd	T, b	I				
5bac1	A. B. Thornton	1954		Pb, Pdc	213	Dd	T, e	I				
6ada1	O. B. Magee	1953		Pb, Pdc	210	Dd	T, e	I	140	104.46	9- 1-53	L
8caa1	Cummins	1953	1,581	Pb, Pdc	181	Dd	N	N		102.59	2-10-54	
9aaa1	Henry A. Purdue	1953		Pb, Pdc	135	Dd	T, b	I	255	35.78	12-14-53	
10add1	Henry McLaughlin		1,516	Pb, Pdc		Dd	T, b	I	915	38.12	4-26-54	
11baa1	Carl Snider	1953		Pb, Pdc	180	Dd	T, b	I	600	44.93	2-10-54	C, L
11dcb1	Cleo Gallop	1953		Pb, Pdc	83	Dd	N	N				L
11abc1	E. M. Byrd	1953		Pb, Pdc	193	Dd	T, b	I	550			
11cbb1	Mrs. J. E. McClendon	1955		Pb, Pdc	145	Dd	T, b	I				
12bbb1	Roy O. Husband			Pb, Pdc	170	Dd	T, b	I	625			
12bcc1	Cleo Gallop	1953		Pb, Pdc	168	Dd	T, b	I	1,550	26.09	11-13-53	L
12cdbl	Fred Reeton	1954		Pb, Pdc	127	Dd	T, b	I	1,000			
12ad1	J. M. Langston	1954		Pb, Pdc		Dd	T, b	I				
12da1	J. J. Thomason	1954		Pb, Pdc		Dd	T, b	I				
13dcc1	E. H. Mefford	1948	1,493	Pb, Pdc	120	Dd	T, b	I	800	21.35	2-10-54	C
13dda1	do.	1954		Pb, Pdc	115	Dd	T, e	I				
13bab1	F. F. Brewer	1954		Pb, Pdc	125	Dd	T, b	I				
14bbb1		1954		Qa1	23	..	N	N		18.65	10-20-53	
22a	Magee			Pb, Pdc	120	Dd	N	N				
24acd1	L. S. Slaten	1948		Pb, Pdc	120	Dd	T, b	I	425			
26cbb1	Sid Reid	1953		Pb, Pdc	107	Dd	T, b	I	665	67.32	8-26-53	
28aab1	J. M. Langston	1953	1,601	Pb, Pdc	390	Dd	N	N		106.30	12-31-53	
35ddb1	Fred Cope	1948	1,485	Pb, Pdc	72	Dd	T, e	I	820	16.72	9- 3-52	
35dbb1	do.	1948	1,493	Pb, Pdc	95	Dd	T, e	I	1,100			
35cca1	Marshall Magee	1952		Pb, Pdc	85	Dd	N	N				
35caa1	do.	1952		Pb, Pdc	105	Dd	T, e	I	785	32.14	8-26-53	L
36cac1	C. Y. Spradlin	1952	1,490	Pb, Pdc	54	Dd	T, b	I	300	20.55	2-10-54	
1N-26W-5bd1	W. J. Hudson	1951	1,555	Pb, Pdc	102	Dd	T, b	I	1,350			C
5aab1	Otho H. Morris	1953	1,603	Pb, Pdc	125	Dd	T, b	I	1,000	71.84	2-11-54	
6bbb1	H. B. Bartlett	1946		Pb, Pdc	35	Dd	N	N		12.72	11-16-50	
6bbb2	do.	1949	1,564	Pb, Pdc	54	Dd	T, b	I	725	14.25	11-16-50	
6aa1	J. E. McClendon	1954		Pb, Pdc	135	Dd	T, b	I				
1N-27W-1aaa1	J. R. McClendon		1,565	Pb, Pdc	54	Dd	N	N		14.80	11-16-50	
1cdal	Warren Mitchell			Pb, Pdc	91	Dd	T, g	I	300			

Well number	Owner or tenant	Date completed	Altitude of land surface (feet)	Geologic source	Depth of well (feet)	Type of well	Pump and power	Use	Yield (gpm)	Water level		Other data
										Depth below land surface (feet)	Date of measurement	
HARMON COUNTY												
1N-27W-1dac1	Charles R. Bartlett		1,592	Pb, Pdc	119	Dd	T, b	I	650			
1aaa2	J. R. McClendon	1953	1,564	Pb, Pdc	149	Dc	T, b	I	1,500	20.37	8-25-53	
2N-24W-2bc1			1,504	Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	C, w	S		52.48	2-11-54	
5cd1	W. D. Payne	1953	1,580	Pb, Pdc	250	Dd	N	N				L
13ccd1	R. C. Bassel		1,484	Pb, Pdc	70	Dd	C, w	S		64.55	2-11-54	
17cd1	Cliff Jones	1953		Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	N	N				
17dcc1	do.		1,577	Pb, Pdc	146	Dd	C, w	N		33.92	2-11-54	
18cdc1	do.	1953		Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	N	N		10.00	10- 8-53	
19ada1	Jim Looney			Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	C, w	S				
20acb1	Oscar Bryant	1944		Pb, Pdc	195	Dd	C, w	N		83.96	2-22-54	
22add1			1,522	Pdc	17	Dg	C, w	N		14.91	2-11-54	
26acc1	Tapley Jordon	1950		Pb, Pdc	140	Dd	N	N				L
27ada1				Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	C, w	S		47.92	1- 6-54	
30dcc1	R. S. Moran	1953	1,571	Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	T, b	I	1,350	53.60	2-11-54	
31b	Wilmoth McNeal	1954		Pb, Pdc	...	Dd		N				
35acc1	Tapley Jordon	1950		Pb, Pdc	162	Dd	N	N				L
36bcb1				Pb, Pdc	...	Dc	C, w	N		72.31	1- 6-54	
2N-25W-1bbb1				Pdc	20	Dg	C, w	S		16.39	2-10-54	
2aaa1	J. D. Jones	1953	1,606	Pb, Pdc	215	Dd	T, b	I	500	57.07	2-11-54	L
4bcd1	Oscar Bryant	1953		Pb, Pdc	210	Dd	N	N				
4bdd1	do.	1953		Pb, Pdc	160	Dd	N	N				
4bcc1	do.	1953		Pb, Pdc	133	Dd	T, e	I	1,600	44.16	4-21-53	
4cbcl	Bryan Putnam	1953		Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	T, b	I	900	50.13	8-20-53	
5aaa1	D. S. Plummer	1952	1,609	Pb, Pdc	140	Dd	T, b	I	2,000	49.23	2-10-54	
5ccb1	F. E. Motley	1953		Pb, Pdc	120	Dd	N	N		18.31	8-19-53	
5aab1	Oscar Bryant	1953		Pb, Pdc	80	Dd	N	N		45.52	8-20-53	
5aac1	do.	1953		Pb, Pdc	145	Dd	T, e	I	1,500	43.20	8-31-53	L
5cal	Mrs. J. P. Neal	1953		Pb, Pdc	115	Dd	T	I	1,000			L
5ccb2	do.	1953		Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	N	N				
6bcb1	Ola C. Hopkins	1952	1,611	Pb, Pdc	150	Dd	T, b	I	800	30.58	2-10-54	
6cbb1	do.	1954		Pdc	56	Dd	T, b	I	700	30.97	1-25-54	
7dab1	L. D. Worrell	1953	1,591	Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	T, b	I	635	18.30	2-10-54	
8aaa1	F. E. Motley	1953		Pb, Pdc	148	Dd	N	N		46.17	8-19-53	
8daa1	Stellie Moore	1953	1,591	Pb, Pdc	120	Dd	T, e	I	510	37.49	8-21-53	
8aaa2	F. E. Motley	1953		Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	T, b	I	400	47.37	8-19-53	
9bba1	Elmo Jones	1953		Pb, Pdc	120	Dd	N	N		51.68	11-18-53	
10acb1	L. R. Morris	1954		Pb, Pdc	199	Dd		I				
11bac1	Loyd Duvall	1953	1,522	Pb, Pdc	175	Dd	T, b	I	330	36.54	8- 5-53	L
11dac1	Jennie Woodman	1954		Pb, Pdc	130	Dd	T, b	I	450	58.00	4-27-54	
12ad1	E. Q. Anderson	1953		Pb, Pdc	265	Dd	N	N				
12cca1	Sam Earls	1953	1,601	Pb, Pdc	266	Dd	N	N		60.58	12-14-53	L
12ccc1	do.			Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	T, b	I		53.43	2-22-55	
13bcc1				Pb, Pdc	...	Dd		N		26.65	8- 5-53	
14dbb1	Gould Motor Co.	1953		Pb, Pdc	95	Dd	N	N		21.92	8- 4-53	
14bab1	E. E. Woodman	1953		Pb, Pdc	110	Dc	T, b	I	800			
14cbb1	Oscar Abernathy	1953	1,564	Pb, Pdc	115	Dd	T, b	I	900	21.19	2-10-54	
14ccb1	Melvin Duvall			Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	T, b	I	710	18.63	8- 4-53	
14dba1	Gould Motor Co.	1953	1,576	Pb, Pdc	145	Dd	N	N		33.88	1-26-54	L
14dbb2	do.	1954		Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	T, b	I	800	22.57	1-26-54	
15dab1	Aubrey Anthony	1953		Pb, Pdc	105	Dd	T, b	I	700	25.36	8- 4-53	L
17ccc1	Don Groves	1952	1,577	Pb, Pdc	124	Dd	T, b	I	400	24.44	2-11-54	
17bb1	Garland Motley	1953		Pb, Pdc	145	Dd		I	900			L
18ccd1	J. H. Motley	1953		Pb, Pdc	137	Dd	T, b	I	2,000			L
18cc1	do.			Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	N	N				
18ab1	G. F. Moore	1952		Pb, Pdc	148	Dd	N	N				
19aac1	W. H. Lewis	1952		Pb, Pdc	140	Dd	T, b	I	1,000			
19da1	Vera Cunningham	1954		Pb, Pdc	139	Dd	T, b	I				
20dbb1	Travis Collier	1953		Pb, Pdc	110	Dd	T, b	I	800	33.67	8-21-53	
20ccc1				Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	N	N		2.00	10- 9-53	
20bcd1	L. F. Trammel	1954		Pb, Pdc	150	Dd	T, b	I	580			
21dac1	Oscar Bryant	1947	1,568	Pb, Pdc	112	Dd	T, e	I	650	28.73	2-10-54	
21dac2	do.	1946	1,563	Pb, Pdc	112	Dd	N	N		20.02	11-16-50	
21dac3	do.	1952		Pb, Pdc	81	Dd	N	N		23.50	8-21-53	
21dac4	do.	1952		Pb, Pdc	100	Dd	N	N		22.61	8-21-53	
21dac5	do.	1952		Pb, Pdc	60	Dd	N	N		23.39	8-21-53	
21dac6	do.	1952		Pb, Pdc	94	Dd	N	N		24.56	8-21-53	
21aac1	Ray Walkup		1,588	Pb, Pdc	150	Dd	N	N		43.67	1-25-54	
21acc1	do.	1953		Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	T, b	I	85	24.99	8-21-53	
21ddc1	Oscar Bryant	1954		Pb, Pdc	121	Dd	T, e	I	800	21.73	8-26-54	
23abb1	H. L. Wilder	1952	1,556	Pb, Pdc	130	Dd	T, b	I	310	21.35	2-10-54	C, L
23dbd1	L. R. Morris	1952		Pb, Pdc	105	Dd	T, b	I	785			
24cbb1				Pb, Pdc	...	Dd		I				
25da1	Earl Newberry	1952		Pb, Pdc	97	Dd	T, b	I	550			
25cdd1	J. H. Motley	1953	1,545	Pb, Pdc	120	Dd	T, b	I	950	44.00	8- 4-53	
25adc1	Joe Allison	1954		Pb, Pdc	162	Dd	T, b	I	650			
26ac1	Jennie Woodman	1952	1,547	Pb, Pdc	120	Dd	T, b	I	640	26.44	8-12-54	L
26bc1	Cecil Cary	1955		Pb, Pdc	165	Dd	T, b	I		50.81	2-22-55	
27bbb1	Ralph Moore	1947	1,552	Pb, Pdc	150	Dd	T, b	I	410	20.96	8-21-53	
27ccc1	Marvin Williams	1952		Pb, Pdc	100	Dd	T, b	I	585	27.16	4-16-53	
27bb1	Ralph Moore	1954		Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	T, b	I		13.02	4-21-54	
27dad1	James Q. Tucker	1954		Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	T, b	I		75.22	9- 9-54	
28dbb1	G. D. Payne	1954		Pb, Pdc	140	Dd	T, b	I	880			
28cab1	Robert Walkup	1954		Pb, Pdc	115	Dd	T, b	I	300			
28ccd1	Travis Collier	1954		Pb, Pdc	162	Dd	T, b	I	750			
28bcb1	Roy Ginn	1954		Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	T, b	I		33.10	2-23-55	
29bbb1	Mrs. R. Y. Darnell	1952	1,578	Pb, Pdc	129	Dd	T, b	I	1,600	28.70	2-10-54	
29ada1	Lee H. Lewis	1952	1,574	Pb, Pdc	130	Dd	T, b	I	525	30.28	2-11-54	

Well number	Owner or tenant	Date completed	Altitude of land surface (feet)	Geologic source	Depth of well (feet)	Type of well	Pump and power	Use	Yield (gpm)	Water level		Other data
										Depth below land surface (feet)	Date of measurement	
HARMON COUNTY												
2N-25W-29dccc1	Guy B. Cummins	1952		Pb, Pdc	138	Dd	T, b	I	520	65.35	8-19-53	
29aac1	Bill Scivilly	1953		Pb, Pdc	110	Dd	T, b	I	865	28.22	8-19-53	
29aa1	do.	1953		Pb, Pdc	120	Dd	C, w	S		28.01	8-19-53	
29cccd1	Mrs. R. Y. Darnell	1955		Pb, Pdc	135	Dd	T, b	I				
29dbcl	Claude Richardson	1954		Pb, Pdc	180	Dd	T, b	I				
30abb1	Mrs. R. Y. Darnell	1953		Pb, Pdc	166	Dd	T, b	I	620			
30dbb1	do.	1953	1,591	Pb, Pdc	155	Dd	T, b	I	950	46.54	2-11-54	
31dac1	Claude Richardson	1953		Pb, Pdc	175	Dd	N	N		72.39	9-15-53	
31dad1	do.	1953		Pb, Pdc	201	Dd	N	N				C, L
31abb1	do.	1953		Pb, Pdc	200	Dd	T, e	I	80	34.16	10-28-53	L
31bbb1	Mrs. J. M. Cary	1953	1,586	Pb, Pdc	155	Dd	T, b	N	725	43.12	2-11-54	L
31dad1	Claude Richardson	1953		Pb, Pdc	170	Dd	N	N		60.11	12-31-53	
31db1	do.	1953		Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	N	N				
31aaa1	do.	1954		Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	T, b	I	300			
31bbb2	Mrs. J. M. Cary	1954		Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	T, b	I				
32cac1	Travis Magee	1953		Pb, Pdc	200	Dd	T, e	I	150			L
32bca1	do.	1953		Pb, Pdc	175	Dd	T, e	I	400	69.89	9-16-53	L
33dbd1	G. A. Williams	1954		Pb, Pdc	165	Dd	T, b	I	510	42.15	4-14-54	
34dab1	G. D. Payne	1952	1,546	Pb, Pdc	187	Dd	T, b	I	525	36.57	2-10-54	
34bcb1	do.	1954		Pb, Pdc	115	Dd	T, b	I				
35cca1	Martin		1,544	Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	T, b	I	750			
35dcb1	John R. Hill			Pb, Pdc	159	Dd	T, b	I	800			
35acb1	J. H. Motley	1953		Pb, Pdc	...	Dd		I	400			
36dcb1	Martin A. Bullington		1,539	Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	N	N		37.92	8- 7-53	
36dac1	do.	1953	1,540	Pb, Pdc	230	Dd	T, b	I	300	39.70	8-25-53	L
36ba1	J. H. Motley	1953		Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	T, b	I	155	35.92	1-26-54	
36cd1	Martin A. Bullington	1954		Pb, Pdc	184	Dd	T, b	I				
2N-26W-1abb1	Charles McKinley	1952		Pb, Pdc	144	Dd	T, b	I	820			L
2cba1	J. H. Motley	1952	1,612	Pb, Pdc	102	Dd	T, b	I	1,000	17.42	4- 9-53	C
2cba2	do.	1953	1,612	Pb, Pdc	189	Dd	T, b	I	800			C, L
2dc1	W. A. Horton	1953		Pb, Pdc	120	Dd	T, b	I	1,500			
2baa1	Mark's Greenhouse	1951		Pb, Pdc	70	Dd	T, e	I				
3ac1	Raymond Keith		1,613	Pb, Pdc	109	Dd	T, g	I	450	12.47	11-16-50	
3ddb1	J. M. Cunningham	1947	1,614	Pb, Pdc	200	Dd	N	N		17.53	2-10-54	
3da1	E. D. Kuykendall	1953		Pb, Pdc	175	Dd	N	N				
3dbc1	D. J. Shelby	1955	1,613	Pb, Pdc	450	Dd	T, b	I				C, L
3ddb2	J. M. Cunningham			Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	N	N				
4ccc1	Roy Sanford	1954		Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	T, b	I	800			
5dbb1	do.		1,632	Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	T, ng	I	1,200			
5bcc1	Ward Bros	1948	1,640	Pb, Pdc	149	Dd	T, g	I	1,200	30.23	2-10-54	
5bcd1	do.	1954		Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	T, b	I	1,200			
6bbb1	Fred K. Riley	1953		Pb, Pdc	150	Dd	T, b	I	400			
7acb1	R. W. Scott	1952		Pb, Pdc	156	Dd	J, b	I	800			
8bcb1	J. J. Gee	1952	1,644	Pb, Pdc	174	Dd	T, b	I	495	38.72	8-25-53	
8dbc1	Oscar H. Abernathy		1,644	Pb, Pdc	110	Dd	T, b	I	900	34.89	2-10-54	
9cbb1	W. R. Horton	1953		Pb, Pdc	124	Dd	T, b	I	900	48.49	8-25-53	
10bbd1	S. A. Patterson	1954		Pb, Pdc	110	Dd	T, b	I	900			
12cca1	Oscar H. Abernathy		1,630	Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	T, b	I	1,250	47.62	2-10-54	
12ada1	do.			Pb, Pdc	...	Dn	N	N		24.20	9- 1-53	
12ada2	do.	1953		Pb, Pdc	120	Dd	T, b	I	330	24.03	12-31-52	
15aab1	A. L. Prock	1953	1,672	Pb, Pdc	130	Dd	T, b	I	100	72.11	2-10-54	
16add1	W. R. Horton	1954		Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	T, b	I	450			
17da1	King		1,707	Pb, Pdc	285	Dd	N	I	200	98.11	8-25-53	
17da2	do.		1,707	Pb, Pdc	...	Dd		N		100.00	8-25-53	
17b	C. W. Masters	1954		Pb, Pdc	220	Dd	T, b	I	350			
21bcc1	Clarence Masters			Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	N	N				
25dbc1	D. T. Johnson	1954		Pb, Pdc	202	Dd	T, b	I	150			
25bab1	Oscar Abernathy	1954		Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	T, b	I	260			
27bcb1	Herschel Vaughn	1953	1,650	Pb, Pdc	150	Dd	T, b	I	350	46.20	2-10-54	
27dbb1	Hendrick			Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	N	N		34.40	8-25-53	
27d	do.			Pb, Pdc	129	Dd	N	N				
29cc1	Chock Earls	1952		Pb, Pdc	186	Dd		N				L
31cbb1	T. C. Gilbert			Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	T, g	I	900	31.51	11-16-50	
31ddd1	J. R. McClendon		1,599	Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	T, b	I	890			
36abb1	Shellie Moore	1952		Pb, Pdc	107	Dd	T, e	I	390	67.65	8-19-53	
2N-27W-1abc1	C. R. & Mary Bennett	1952	1,658	Pb, Pdc	177	Dd	T, b	N				
1bbb1	Mrs. Barbara Brown		1,659	Pb, Pdc	138	Dd	T, b	I	1,200	30.96	11-16-50	
1ccb1	Ted & Clay Whorton		1,659	Pb, Pdc	150	Dd	T, b	I	1,100	43.13	2-10-54	
1abd1	C. R. & Mary Bennett	1953		Pb, Pdc	254	Dd	T, b	I	800	50.78	4-23-54	
36dad1	A. A. Kite	1949	1,582	Pb, Pdc	220	Dd	T, b	I	1,000	33.24	2-10-54	L
36dab1	do.	1952		Pb, Pdc	150	Dd	T, b	I	600	45.54	8-25-53	
36bac1	Ollie Kite	1953		Pb, Pdc	180	Dd	N	N		62.40	8-25-53	L
36ab1	Winnie Klein	1953		Pb, Pdc	200	Dd	C, w	S		58.48	8-25-53	
36ab2	do.	1953		Pb, Pdc	155	Dd	N	N				
3N-24W-3dda1	Joe Fox	1945	1,573	Pb, Pdc	190	Dd	C, w	S		108.63	2- 9-54	
5dad1	Cloyd Roberts	1953	1,545	Pb, Pdc	155	Dd	T, b	I	785	79.92	8-21-53	C
9dcc1			1,526	Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	C, w	N		62.54	2- 9-54	
11ccd1				Pb, Pdc	...	Dg	C, w	N		4.07	1- 5-54	
13dab1	Howell Atwood	1951	1,543	Pb, Pdc	175	Dd	N	N		66.00	1-19-54	
13dab2	do.	1952	1,543	Pb, Pdc	315	Dd	N	N				L
14ccb1		1954		Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	N	N		79.30	9- 2-54	
17abb1				Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	C, w	N		86.21	1- 5-54	
20acd1	John R. Hill		1,539	Pb, Pdc	229	Dd	N	N		77.25	10- 9-53	
21ac1	J. C. Putnam	1952		Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	T, b	I	775	46.37	8-21-53	
21bc1	Wallace N. Bullington	1955		Pb, Pdc	145	Dd	T, b	I				
22bca1	Robert B. Bryant	1952		Pb, Pdc	104	Dd	T, b	I	1,100	31.60	8-21-53	

Well number	Owner or tenant	Date completed	Altitude of land surface (feet)	Geologic source	Depth of well (feet)	Type of well	Pump and power	Use	Yield (gpm)	Water level		Other data
										Depth below land surface (feet)	Date of measurement	
HARMON COUNTY												
3N-24W-23bcc1	Robert B. Bryant	1954		Pb, Pdc	160	Dd	T	I	450			
24d	Frankie Johnson	1952		Pb, Pdc	144	Dd	N	N				
25bcb1	N. C. Thompson	1954		Pb, Pdc	185	Dd	N	N		49.82	4-28-54	L
29aaa1	Ballard Hill	1953		Pb, Pdc	167	Dd	N	N		66.01	9-7-54	
29aaa2	do.	1953	1,527	Pb, Pdc	169	Dd	T, b	I		65.30	2-12-54	C, L
3N-25W-1cdd1			1,605	Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	C, w	S		133.60	2-8-54	C
2abbl			1,640	Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	C, w	S		148.52	2-8-54	
4aa1				Pb, Pdc	...	Dg	R	D		12.08	12-30-53	
15bba1			1,702	Pw	...	Dd	C, w	N		20.61	2-9-54	
17caa1	Jimmie Edmundson			Pb, Pdc	270	Dd	N	N				
18baa1				Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	N	N		24.42	1-5-54	
29dba1	Oma Haskins	1954		Pb, Pdc	200	Dd	T, b	I	550	62.20	11-1-54	...
29adc1	J. F. Cunningham	1954		Pb, Pdc	265	Dd	T, b	I	400	62.95	11-1-54	
30abbl	G. F. Moore	1953	1,620	Pb, Pdc	160	Dd	T, b	I	700	28.00	2-9-54	L
31bdc1	F. E. Motley	1954		Pb, Pdc	75	Dd	T, b	I	1,800	20.00	2-26-54	
31ccd1	Garland Motley	1954		Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	T, e	I				
31daa1	Afton Bailly	1954		Pb, Pdc	140	Dd	T, b	I				
32bbb1	Wilcy Moore		1,623	Pb, Pdc	160	Dd	T, b	I	1,060	33.77	2-9-54	
32dda1	Oscar Bryant			Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	N	N				
32ddb1	do.	1953		Pb, Pdc	158	Dd	T, e	N		52.88	8-20-53	
32ad1	Walter P. Bell	1953		Pb, Pdc	155	Dd	N	N				
32acd1	do.	1953		Pb, Pdc	156	Dd	T, b	I	1,200	47.89	8-31-53	C, L
32cad1	R. B. Tucker	1953		Pb, Pdc	155	Dd	T, b	I	1,000	40.18	11-4-53	L
32ddc1	Oscar Bryant	1954		Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	T, e	I	1,100			
33aab1	do.		1,656	Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	N	N		86.97	2-11-54	
33dbb1	Wilcy Moore			Pb, Pdc	200	Dd	N	N		69.00	1-20-54	
33dbc1	do.			Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	N	N				
33d	do.			Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	N	N				
35b	Stokesberry			Pb, Pdc	340	Dd	N	N		79.38	9-1-53	
3N-26W-2bbcl					...	Dg	C, w	S		13.17	12-30-53	
5ada1				Pw	...	Dg	C, w	N		18.10	12-29-53	
6aba1				Qt	42	Dd	C, w	N		19.40	12-29-53	
9cb1	R. W. Wynn	1953		Pb, Pdc	150	Dd	N	N		21.54	8-26-53	
9cb2	do.	1953		Pb, Pdc	165	Dd	N	N		21.18	8-26-53	
11dd1	Robert Massey	1952		Pb, Pdc	140	Dd	T, b	I	800			C, L
11add1	J. M. Curry	1954		Pb, Pdc	160	Dd	T, b	I				
12cdd1	Chester Caswell	1953	1,655	Pb, Pdc	140	Dd	T, b	I	1,000	56.24	2-12-54	
12cbc1	do.	1953			...	Dd	N	N		59.38	1-5-54	
13bbc1					...	Dd	C, w	N		35.14	1-5-54	
13c	R. B. Tucker	1954		Pb, Pdc	190	Dd	T, b	I				
13bba1	M. B. Briscoe	1954		Pb, Pdc	160	Dd	T, b	I				
14aa1	J. M. Coley	1952		Pb, Pdc	232	Dd	T, b	I	1,200			
14ddb1	M. B. Briscoe	1954		Pb, Pdc	140	Dd	T, b	I				
18bbb1				Pb, Pdc	16	Dg	C, w	N		10.16	1-5-54	
20cad1	C. H. Alders	1954		Pb, Pdc	135	Dd	T, b	I	200	95.36	4-16-54	
21baa1				Pb, Pdc	94	Dd	N	N		79.39	12-30-53	
22cdc1	Marcus McClanahan	1953	1,682	Pb, Pdc	165	Dd	N	N		68.80	2-9-54	L
22cca1	do.	1953		Pb, Pdc	215	Dd	N	N		75.80	11-27-55	L
22ccc1	do.	1953		Pb, Pdc	165	Dd	N	N		17.46	12-31-53	
22bbb1				Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	C, w	S		60.05	12-30-53	
22dd1	Raymond Keith	1954		Pb, Pdc	140	Dd	T, b	I		67.45	2-16-54	
23cca1	Melvin Boyett	1952		Pb, Pdc	137	Dd	T, b	I	1,000			
23aaa1				Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	N	N		34.86	1-26-54	
24dad1	W. I. C. Castle	1953		Pb, Pdc	136	Dd	T, b	I	900			
24ada1	A. B. Crump			Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	T, b	I	75	67.68	1-25-54	
25cd1	E. M. Crenshaw		1,628	Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	T	I				
26ddd1	Frank Burns	1953		Pb, Pdc	165	Dd	T, b	I	300			
26bdc1	Paul Metcalf	1953		Pb, Pdc	185	Dd	N	N		57.80	12-14-53	
26bd1	do.	1953		Pb, Pdc	143	Dd	N	N		53.30	12-14-53	
26bdd1	do.	1953		Pb, Pdc	252	Dd	N	N		56.97	12-24-53	L
26bdc2	do.	1953		Pb, Pdc	251	Dd	N	N		63.10	12-28-53	
26baa1	do.	1954		Pb, Pdc	170	Dd	N	N		49.05	1-7-54	
26bab1	do.	1954		Pb, Pdc	175	Dd	N	N				
26bdd2	do.	1954		Pb, Pdc	180	Dd	N	N		51.00	1-20-54	
27ccd1	J. B. Fowler			Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	T, e	I		54.13	2-16-55	
28cbc1	Martin Tice	1954		Pb, Pdc	125	Dd	T, b	I		55.25	2-16-55	
29cc1	A. C. Mayhugh	1952		Pb, Pdc	110	Dd	T, ng	I	485			C
29acb1	C. H. Alders	1952	1,660	Pb, Pdc	100	Dd	N	N		62.73	2-9-54	
29acc1	do.	1952		Pb, Pdc	100	Dd	T, b	I	130			
29bbb1	J. A. Carrick	1953		Pb, Pdc	142	Dd	T, ng	I	900			
31cab1	Garrel A. Hay	1951		Pb, Pdc	145	Dd	N	N				
31cbd1	do.	1951	1,652	Pb, Pdc	119	Dd	T, b	I	800	43.38	1-26-54	
31dbb1	Charles McKinley	1953		Pb, Pdc	252	Dd	N	N				L
31dba1	do.	1953		Pb, Pdc	170	Dd	N	N				
31dbc1	do.	1953		Pb, Pdc	155	Dd	N	N				
31dad1	do.	1953	1,654	Pb, Pdc	231	Dd	T, ng	I	695	45.15	2-9-54	
31aa1	do.	1954		Pb, Pdc	258	Dd	N	N		73.00	2-22-54	
32cbb1	J. M. Coley	1953		Pb, Pdc	160	Dd	T, ng	I	1,635			
32cbc1	A. C. Mayhugh	1955		Pb, Pdc	150	Dd	T, ng	I		58.64	2-15-55	
33bba1	W. R. Keith	1952	1,639	Pb, Pdc	115	Dd	T, b	I	750			
33dd1	H. M. Walker	1953		Pb, Pdc	130	Dd	T, e	I	750			
33dda1	W. D. Carrick	1952		Pb, Pdc	75	Dd	T, b	I	1,200			
33cba1	H. T. Branigan	1953		Pb, Pdc	90	Dd	T, b	I	800	28.39	1-26-54	
33aad1	William Sherd	1952		Pb, Pdc	95	Dd	T, b	I	1,000			
33cab1	G. C. Hollis	1954		Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	T, b	I	500			
34bbc1	Selma Clement	1954	1,651	Pb, Pdc	92	Dd	T, b	I	800			
34bab1	Arvie J. Orr		1,638	Pb, Pdc	163	Dd	T, b	N				
34bcd1	G. W. McDonald	1952	1,636	Pb, Pdc	65	Dd	T, g	I	800			

Well number	Owner or tenant	Date completed	Altitude of land surface (feet)	Geologic source	Depth of well (feet)	Type of well	Pump and power	Use	Yield (gpm)	Water level		Other data
										Depth below land surface (feet)	Date of measurement	
HARMON COUNTY												
3N-26W-34ba1	Arvie J. Orr			Pb, Pdc	170	Dd	N	N				
34ccc1	Willie J. Cummins	1953		Pb, Pdc	120	Dd	T, ng	I	500			
34bad1	Arvie J. Orr	1955		Pb, Pdc	85	Dd	T, ng	I		41.14	2-15-55	
35aad1	Lona H. Christian		1,643	Pb, Pdc	180	Dd	T, b	I	855			
35cba1	Claude Essary	1952		Pb, Pdc	55	Dd	T, g	I	125			
35bcd1	T. H. Cummins	1952		Pb, Pdc	120	Dd	T, b	I	475			
35cac1	Marvin Swaim	1953		Pb, Pdc	81	Dd	T, g	I	250	31.82	9- 1-53	
35bab1	Charles Merida	1953	1,639	Pb, Pdc	125	Dd	T, b	I	900	43.79	2- 9-54	
3N-27W-12cac1			1,769	Pb, Pdc	145	Dd	C, w	S		30.40	2- 9-54	
24aaa1	Mrs. Elsie Yancey			Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	C, w	N		45.99	1- 5-54	
25cbb1	Mrs. Pearl Whiteside	1952	1,682	Pb, Pdc	102	Dd	T, b	I	950	70.08	2-10-54	
36dd1	Maxine Brock	1953		Pb, Pdc	226	Dd	T, b	I	750			
4N-24W-17cdd1	Wm. J. B. Moon		1,751	Pw	...	Dd	C, w	N		25.50	12-30-53	
17dad1		1956	1,784	Pb, Pdc	288	Dd	N	N		162.5	6-28-56	L
18acb1	Town of Gould				...	Dd	T, e	P	35			C
18abc1	do.				...	Dd	T, e	P	35			C
18ac1	do.	1953		Qt	46	Dd	N	N				
18ada1	Public	1930's	1,744	Qt	17	Dg	N	P		2.36	2- 8-54	
19bba1					...	Dd	J, e	S		34.93	12-30-53	
20daa1	C. R. Kerbo	1900's	1,715	Pw	...	Dd	C, w	S		32.06	2- 8-54	
31dda1			1,576	Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	C, w	N		97.95	2- 8-54	
4N-25W-6aaa1	Mrs. Vera Willhelm		1,827	Pw	...	Dd	C, w, h	S		58.69	2- 9-54	
11da1			1,668	Qt	...	Dg	C, w	S		11.46	2-12-54	
15bdd1			1,717	Qt	17	Dg	N	N		13.50	2- 8-54	
20bca1	City of Hollis	1948		Qt	102	Dd	T, e	P	165			C
20bc1	do.	1951		Qt	110	Dd	T, e	P	265			C
20bcc1	do.	1951		Qt	105	Dd	T, e	P	225			
20bc1	do.	1955		Qt	200	Dd	T, e	P	400			
21ddd1			1,836	Qt	...	Dd	C, w	N		42.89	2-12-54	
23aaa1		1956	1,797	Pb, Pdc	370	Dd	N	N		226	6-21-56	L
24cbc1	Howard Robinson		1,806	Qt	...	Dd	C, w	S		46.52	2- 8-54	
24cbc2		1955		Pb, Pdc	367	Dd	N	N				L
24cbc3		1955		Qt	240	Dd		N				L
25aaa1				Qt	...	Dg	C, w	S		9.44	12-30-53	
29aaa1	A. L. Carter			Qt	19	Dd	C, w	S		15.65	12-30-53	
29aaa2	do.		1,798	Qt	...	Dg	R	S		15.50	12-30-53	
29aaa3		1955	1,798	Pb, Pdc	335	Dd	N	N		147.5	11-28-55	L
31bbb1				Qt	16	Dd	N	N		8.83	12-29-53	
31cbb1	J. E. Leathers	1955	1,754	Qt	474	Dd	N	N		72.50	4-12-55	L
32ccd1			1,788	Pw	...	Dd	C, w	S		23.74	2- 9-54	
33cdc1					...	Dd	C, w	S		14.76	12-30-53	
35ccc1				Qt	...	Dg	N	N		7.17	12-30-53	
35ccc2				Qt	...	Dd	C, w	S		9.76	12-30-53	
36dda1				Qt	12	Dg	C, w	S		6.48	12-30-53	
4N-26W-3bc1				Qal	...	Dg	N	N		20.26	12-28-53	
3aaa1				Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	C, w	S		20.80	1-27-54	
4bcb1				Pb, Pdc	...	Dg	C, w	N		43.78	12-28-53	
5ddc1			1,885	Qt	...	Dd	N	N		39.32	2- 9-54	
6cdb1			1,867	Pw	...	Dd	C, w	N		46.89	2- 9-54	
8bba1				Pw	...	Dd	C, w	S		50.20	12-28-53	
11c				Pw	28	Dd	C, w	S		13.70	12-29-53	
12ccb1				Pw	...	Dg	J, e	S		16.47	12-29-53	
14cdc1	Monroe Webster		1,879	Qt	...	Dg	C, w	D		45.82	2- 9-54	
14cdc2		1955		Pb, Pdc	318	Dd	N	N		125.7	11- 3-55	L
15aa1	Public			Qt	...	Dg	C, h	P		6.14	12-28-53	
17bbb1			1,925	Qt	...	Dd	C, w	N		54.40	2- 9-54	
17bcc1				Qt	...	Dd	C, w	N		52.46	12-29-53	
19bbd1				Qt	...	Dd	C, w	S				
19ddd1				Qt	...	Dd	C, w	N		13.31	12-29-53	
22dbd1				Qt	43	Dd	C, w	S				
22dbd2				Qt	...	Dd	N	N		25.93	12-29-53	
22dca1				Qt	...	Dd	N	N		33.34	12-29-53	
23ddd1			1,836	Qt	28	Dg	C, w	S		23.90	2- 9-54	
24ddd1			1,809	Qt	...	Dd	R	N		20.61	12-29-53	
29ccc1			1,882	Pw	37	Dd	N	N		29.71	2- 9-54	
29aaa1				Pw	...	..	C, w	S		30.84	12-29-53	
34cc1				Pw	10	Dg	C, w	N		8.61	12-29-53	
36cd1				Qt	...	Dd	C, w	S		4.50	12-29-53	
4N-27W-12dd1				Qt	...	Dd	C, w	S		33.66	12-28-53	
13cdd1	R. J. Holland		1,942	Qt	...	Dd	C, w	S		35.51	2- 9-54	
13cdd2		1955		Pb, Pdc	415	Dd	N	N				
25db1				Qt	...	Dd	C, w	S		38.82	12-29-53	
5N-24W-4cccd1	Mrs. Sam C. Hall		1,793	Pb	70	Dd	C, w	S		56.88	2- 9-54	
6aaa1				Pb	57	Dd	C, w	S		42.13	1-27-54	
7cdc1			1,807	Pb, Pdc	35	Dd	C, w	S		15.97	2- 9-54	
16ddd1	State of Oklahoma	1946	1,792	Pb, Pdc	78	Dd	C, w, h	S		48.32	2- 9-54	
19ddd1	D. E. Morris	1950	1,771	Pb, Pdc	54	Dd	C, h	S		10.03	2- 9-54	
20add1				Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	C, w	S				
5N-25W-1caa1	Winfred Allen	1951	1,798	Pb, Pdc	85	Dd	C, w	S		31.42	2- 9-54	
16cdc1			1,867	Pw	29	Dg	N	N		24.78	2- 9-54	
17cdc1	B. Merritt			Pw	...	Dd	C, w	S		28.61	1- 8-54	
17cdd1				Pw	34	Dg	J, e	S		28.28	1- 8-54	

Well number	Owner or tenant	Date completed	Altitude of land surface (feet)	Geologic source	Depth of well (feet)	Type of well	Pump and power	Use	Yield (gpm)	Water level		Other data
										Depth below land surface (feet)	Date of measurement	
HARMON COUNTY												
5N-25W-17aad1	Jake Davidson			Pw	43	Dg	C, w	S		30.76	1-26-54	
18cdc1	Carl Smith	1945		Pw	112	Dd	C, w	D		44.70	1- 8-54	
18dcc1				Pw	53	Dd	C, w	N		30.64	1- 8-54	
18ad1	B. B. Osborn			Pw	32	Dg	J, e	D		21.08	1-19-54	
19ddd1			1,874	Pw	37	Dg	C, w	N		22.32	2- 9-54	
21cbb1	H. A. Williamson			Pw	42	Dd	C, w	S		29.93	1-19-54	C
23ccc1			1,787	Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	C, w	S		18.12	2- 9-54	
27dcc1			1,817	Pb, Pdc	52	Dd	C, w	N		22.70	2- 9-54	
28ccc1				Pw	42	Dg	C, w	N		37.16	1-26-54	
5N-26W-7dca1	B. F. Hayes		1,927	Pw	70	Dd	C, w	S		33.01	2- 8-54	
16daa1			1,954	Pw	65	Dd	C, w	S		45.94	2- 8-54	
18aba1	D. L. Jones			Pw	125	Dd	C, w	S		43.16	1-15-54	
23bdb1	Mars Heart		1,899	Pw	105	Dd	C, w	S		55.44	2- 9-54	
27dbb1	R. C. Fillpot		1,893	Pw	97	Dd	C, w	S		62.45	2- 8-54	
29acb1	F. S. Hughes	1952	1,818	Pw	22	Dd	C, e	S		16.06	2- 8-54	
6N-26W-12cab1			1,744	Pb	...	Dd	C, w	S		14.27	2- 8-54	
13ddd1			1,865	Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	C, w	S		55.95	2- 8-54	
17dcc1	Lynn Spurlin	1946	1,898	Pb, Pdc	116	Dd	C, w	S		44.40	2- 8-54	C
33aab1				Pw	34	Dg	C, w	S		32.67	12-18-53	
34bcb1			1,910	Pw	...	Dg	C, w	S		28.65	2- 8-54	
JACKSON COUNTY												
1S-21W-17baa1				Qt	...	Dd	C, w	N		14.54	1- 8-54	
1S-22W-8ccc1			1,471	Pb	44	Dd	C, w	N		26.91	2- 9-54	
15abb1			1,345	Pf	...	Dd	C, w	S		4.66	2- 9-54	
19ccc1		1953	1,426	Pb	195	Dd	N	N				L
30dda1			1,380	Pb	...	Dg	C, w	S		12.03	2- 9-54	
36aab1				Pb	...	Dg	C, w	N		8.36	1- 8-54	
1S-23W-7dcc1	City of Eldorado		1,445	Qal	32	Dd	N	N		20.12	2- 9-54	
9cdc1	Vera Wood		1,504	Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	C, w	S		65.34	2- 9-54	
12cdd1	D. H. Shumaker		1,484	Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	C, w	S		48.07	2- 9-54	
18bdd1	City of Eldorado			Pb, Pdc	45	Dg	Cn, E	P				
18acb1	do.			Pb, Pdc	55	Dd	T, e	P	150	22.32	2-15-54	
18dbc1	do.			Pb, Pdc	50	Dd	J, e	P	100			
20ddc1			1,456	Pb, Pdc	40	Dd	C, w	S		34.25	2- 9-54	
22ddc1			1,479	Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	C, w	N		55.60	2- 9-54	
24ccb1				Pb, Pdc	31	Dg	C, w	S				
28adb1	City of Eldorado			Pb, Pdc	45	Dg	Cn, e	P				C
28adb2	do.			Pb, Pdc	45	Dg	T, e	P				C
30daa1			1,413	Qt	...	Dd	N	N		20.70	2- 9-54	
33aaa1			1,456	Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	C, w	N		38.65	2- 9-54	
1S-24W-1adb1	Billy Brewer	1954		Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	T, e	I		38.43	8-25-54	
2abb1			1,452	Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	C, w	S		31.50	2- 9-54	
5bcd1	Lester R. Thompson	1953	1,462	Pb, Pdc	100	Dd	T, e	I	1,200	22.05	2- 9-54	L
6abb1	F. E. Motley	1953		Pb, Pdc	103	Dd	T, b	I	2,000			
7dd1	T. L. Palmer	1952		Pb, Pdc	98	Dd	N	N				
7adc1	do.	1952	1,465	Pb, Pdc	72	Dd	T, b	I	1,800	23.27	2- 9-54	
8d	Troy Coke	1952		Pb, Pdc	134	Dd	N	N				L
8dab1	do.	1953		Pb, Pdc	76	Dd	T, e	I	1,800			
10ccd1			1,477	Pb, Pdc	63	Dd	C, w	N		54.87	2- 9-54	
20add1	W. F. Spencer		1,495	Pb, Pdc	165	Dd	T, b	I	350	59.47	2- 9-54	C
21bcd1	Joe J. Cope	1952	1,499	Pb, Pdc	120	Dd	T, b	I	685	63.63	2- 9-54	
21cdc1				Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	C, w	S		71.29	1- 8-54	
36cdc1	S. D. Holder	1952		Pb, Pdc	55	Dd	C, w	S				
1S-25W-1bcd1	F. E. Motley	1953		Pb, Pdc	97	Dd	T, b	I	2,000	20.58	8- 7-53	
1bbb1	do.	1954		Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	T, b	I	1,700			
2abb1	Glen Hankins	1951	1,481	Pb, Pdc	86	Dd	T, e	I	1,000			C
2baa1	W. H. Bernard	1952		Pb, Pdc	80	Dd	T, e	I	1,100	14.93	9- 3-52	L
13ccc1	Wynfred Black	1953	1,527	Pb, Pdc	130	Dd	T, b	I	1,000	75.60	2- 9-54	
13dc1	Ted Springs	1952		Pb, Pdc	80	Dd	N	N				L
13dcc1	do.	1954		Pb, Pdc	119	Dd	T, b	I	900	60.40	4-16-54	
2S-21W-6ada1				Pf	...	Dd	N	N		16.03	1- 8-54	
2S-23W-4aa1			1,420	Pb	...	Dd	C, w	S		10.64	2- 9-54	
8bca1			1,424	Pb	...	Dd	C, w	S		33.45	2- 9-54	
2S-24W-1ad1	City of Eldorado	1954	1,433	Pf	62	Dd	N	N				
1ac1	do.	1954		Pb, Pdc	100	Dd	N	N				L
1b1	W. C. Garren	1952		Pb, Pdc	105	Dd		N				
1b2	do.	1951		Pb, Pdc	145	Dd		N				
4acb1	Mrs. Ida V. Thorp	1952		Pb, Pdc	124	Dd		N				
12cc1	City of Eldorado	1954	1,479	Pb, Pdc	120	Dd	N	N				L
1N-21W-16ddd1	Town of Olustee	1954		Qal	42	Dd	T, e	P	125			
20ba1				Pf	...	Dd	C, w	S		28.14	1-13-54	
20aad1	Town of Olustee			Qt	...	..		P	9			C
21aaa1	L. A. Fessenden	1953		Qt	62	Dd	T, b	I	400			
31cdd1				Pf	...	Dd	C, w	N		12.40	1-13-54	
1N-22W-11cbc1			1,414	Qt	...	Dg	C, w	N		8.91	2- 8-54	
12daa1				Qt	22	Dg	C, w	N		17.09	1-13-54	
18aab1			1,467	Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	C, w	S		43.51	2- 8-54	
26aad1	Mrs. Pearl Montgomery	1953	1,356	Pf	97	Dd	N	N		12.71	2- 8-54	

Well number	Owner or tenant	Date completed	Altitude of land surface (feet)	Geologic source	Depth of well (feet)	Type of well	Pump and power	Use	Yield (gpm)	Water level		Other data
										Depth below land surface (feet)	Date of measurement	
JACKSON COUNTY												
1N-22W--Cont'd												
27cac1				Qt	...	Dg	C, w	N		16.78	1-13-54	
32bcb1			1,350	Qa1	...	Dg	C, w	S		14.73	2- 8-54	
1N-23W-10bbb1	John G. Alexander	1951	1,430	Pb, Pdc	92	Dd	T, e	I	400	28.16	2- 8-54	C
11ddc1		1946	1,441	Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	C, w	S		37.57	2- 8-54	
19ddd1			1,449	Pb, Pdc	25	Dd	C, w	S		23.34	2- 9-54	
22bcc1			1,438	Pb	...	Dd	N	N		50.94	2- 9-54	
24ccd1			1,376	Pb		Dd	N	N		22.32	2- 9-54	
29bb1	Earl Hulett	1954		Pb, Pdc	90	Dd	T, g	I				L
31ddd1			1,470	Pb, Pdc	53	Dd	C, w	N		43.67	2- 9-54	
32bbd1	Earl Hulett	1954		Pb, Pdc	94	Dd		I		49.4	2-17-55	
34dcd1			1,453	Pb		Dd	C, w	S		25.91	2- 9-54	
2N-21W-17aa1				Qt	16	Dg	N	N		9.97	12-18-53	
2N-22W-3bc1	Kizziar Brothers	1953		Pb	117	Dd	T, b	I	135	63.55	2-17-54	
4daa1	J. O. Nash	1953	1,425	Pb	110	Dd	T, b	I	700	56.86	2- 8-54	L
5dcb1	Frank Johnston	1948	1,428	Pb	135	Dd	T, g	I	775	38.50	6-10-48	C
5dab1	T. M. Walston	1953		Pb	135	Dd	N	N				
5dbb1	do.	1953		Pb	135	Dd		I	800			L
6ddd1	W. R. Renfro	1952		Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	T, g	I	1,100			
6cac1	Marshall Brothers	1954		Pb	90	Dd		I	850	35.00	2-16-54	L
7aaa1	J. P. Machin	1952		Pb	83	Dd	T, g	I	800	37.22	2- 1-52	
8dcb1	Marvin Williams	1952		Pb, Pdc	61	Dd	T, g	I	800			L
8dda1	Mrs. Pearl Forgey	1953	1,417	Pb	75	Dd	T	I	700	35.17	2- 8-54	
9bba1	D. M. Weyrick	1952		Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	T, b	I	900			
9bab1				Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	N	N				
22abb1	O. E. Kaufman	1943	1,380	Qa1	33	Dd	C, w	D		23.95	12-10-53	
36bcc1			1,386	Pf	...	Dd	C, w	S		38.05	2- 8-54	
36cbb1		1953		Pf	96	Dd	N	N		36.30	1-15-54	
2N-23W-1ca1	L. B. Heidenreich			Pb	70	Dd	N	N				
1cbb1	J. W. Heidenreich	1952	1,424	Pb	85	Dd	N	N		36.91	2- 9-54	
1cbb2	do.	1953		Pb	100	Dd	T, b	I	800	54.50	8- 5-53	
1ca2	L. B. Heidenreich	1953		Pb	...	Dd	T, b	I	500			
2bcb1	Loys Criswell	1951	1,425	Pb, Pdc	84	Dd	T, b	I	650	27.18	2- 9-54	
2baa1	do.	1951		Pb, Pdc	93	Dd		N				
2bab1	do.	1951		Pb, Pdc	96	Dd		N				L
2aaa1	K. Haddad	1953		Pb, Pdc	90	Dd	T, e	I	500	55.90	7-29-53	
3cca1	N. W. Warren	1952	1,439	Pb, Pdc	97	Dd	T, b	I	1,040	31.49	2- 9-54	L
4bdd1	C. Lee & J. R. Hill		1,445	Pb, Pdc	70	Dd	T, g	I	900			
4acd1	C. A. Bradford	1952		Pb, Pdc	107	Dd	T, g	I	1,100			I
4dcc1	Mervin Fast	1953		Pb, Pdc	100	Dd	N	N				L
4bcb1	C. Lee & J. R. Hill			Pb, Pdc	75	Dd	N	R				
8acc1	Carmack	1954		Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	T, g	I				
8db1	Truman Jones	1954		Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	T, e	I		44.30	2-22-55	
8db2	do.	1954		Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	T, e	I		35.48	2-22-55	
10dbd1	J. D. Ballard	1952	1,417	Pb	135	Dd	T, b	I	500	18.93	2- 9-54	L
10db1	do.	1951		Pb	184	Dd	N	N		24.16	3-20-52	
10dc1	do.	1952	1,417	Pb	82	Dd	T, e	I	500	22.60	11-23-53	
10abd1	Lee H. Warren	1952	1,430	Pb	90	Dd	T, b	I	900	31.30	5-16-52	L
10aad1	do.	1952		Pb	70	Dd	N	N				
11cd1	J. H. McMinn			Pb	74	Dd	C, w	S				
11dab1	do.	1954		Pb	80	Dd	T, b	I				
12bbd1	Ivan Owen	1942	1,421	Pb	200	Dd	T, b	I	580	29.12	6-10-48	C
12nab1	Herbert Onan	1948	1,417	Pb	90	Dd	T, e	I	810	33.38	2- 9-54	L
12bdd1	Town of Duke	1946		Pb	83	Dd	T, e	P				C
12bda1	do.	1952		Pb	133	Dd		P				C, L
15dc1	Parker Brawley		1,426	Pb	...	Dd	T, b	I	800			
15bca1	Chester Anderson	1953		Pb	140	Dd	N	N				
15bba1	do.	1954		Pb, Pdc	93	Dd	T, b	I	700			
16aba1	J. P. Dunnam	1951	1,429	Pb, Pdc	99	Dd	T, e	I	400	30.58	2- 9-54	L
16bac1	R. G. Moore	1951	1,435	Pb, Pdc	85	Dd	T, b	I	950	29.87	2- 5-52	
16abc1	J. P. Dunnam	1954		Pb, Pdc	104	Dd	T, e	I	500			
17a	Sercy			Pb, Pdc	...	Dd		I				
18cba1	Jesse Moore	1952		Pb, Pdc	126	Dd		N				
20bb1	Paul W. Davis	1951	1,439	Pb, Pdc	93	Dd	T, b	I	800	28.79	2- 5-52	
20bbc1	do.	1951		Pb, Pdc	76	Dd	N	N		32.29	2- 5-52	
21abb1	Johnnie Kenmore	1951	1,433	Pb, Pdc	84	Dd	T, g	I	190	25.98	2- 5-52	L
21adb1	do.	1951	1,447	Pb, Pdc	100	Dd	N	N		36.69	3-20-52	
21adb2	do.	1952		Pb, Pdc	77	Dd	N	N				
21aca1	do.	1952		Pb, Pdc	80	Dd	N	N				
21adb3	do.	1952		Pb, Pdc	136	Dd	N	N				
21aaa1	do.	1952	1,429	Pb, Pdc	89	Dd	T, b	I	180	27.72	2- 9-54	L
21cdc1	Leroy Kenmore	1952		Pb, Pdc	135	Dd	T, g	I	800	43.79	7-30-53	
21ba1	Boyd Davis	1954		Pb, Pdc	85	Dd	T, g	I		34.05	2-22-55	
21bd1	do.	1954		Pb, Pdc	90	Dd	T, e	I		33.32	2-22-55	
24bbb1	Moore		1,429	Pb, Pdc	52	Dd	N	N		38.20	2- 9-54	
26c	Glen Filbeck			Pb, Pdc	110	Dd	N	N				L
26aab1				Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	C, w	N		43.57	1-14-54	
29abb1	Arlis Motley		1,449	Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	T, b	I	600	44.54	2- 8-54	
32dad1			1,482	Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	C, w	S		76.18	2- 8-54	
35dcc1			1,473	Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	C, w	S		66.28	2- 9-54	
3N-22W-26ded1				Qt	...	Dg	C, g	N		8.91	1-19-54	
27ad1			1,425	Qt	...	Dg	C, w	N		7.80	2- 8-54	
30cda1	W. F. Proctor	1950		Pb	100	Dd	T, g	I	300			
31ddb1	Jim Bradford	1954		Pb	...	Dd	T, e	I	450			

Well number	Owner or tenant	Date completed	Altitude of land surface (feet)	Geologic source	Depth of well (feet)	Type of well	Pump and power	Use	Yield (gpm)	Water level		Other data
										Depth below land surface (feet)	Date of measurement	
JACKSON COUNTY												
3N-22W--Cont'd												
32cbb1	Wilbur Leonard	1949	1,436	Pb	123	Dd	I, g	I	455	42.98	2- 8-54	
32cbb2	do.	1954		Pb	182	Dd	T, e	I	35			
36cdc1				Qt	...	Dg	C, w	S		9.57	1-14-54	
3N-23W-19aa1	Hugh Forman	1952		Pb, Pdc	177	Dd	T, g	I	900			L
19bbb1	do.	1952	1,530	Pb, Pdc	170	Dd	N	N		81.84	2- 8-54	L
21abb1	Ivan Owen	1948	1,452	Pb, Pdc	43	Dd	N	N		21.11	4-15-49	
21add1	do.	1948	1,450	Pb, Pdc	100	Dd		I	1,000	23.92	4-15-49	
21aab1	do.	1953		Pb, Pdc	153	Dd	T, b	I	1,000	48.13	8-13-53	L
22bcb1	Joe Bond	1953		Pb, Pdc	115	Dd	T, b	I	900	43.46	8-20-53	
22add1	Cecil Lewis	1953		Pb, Pdc	200	Dd	N	N				
22acd1	do.	1953		Pb, Pdc	175	Dd	N	N				L
22acc1	do.	1953		Pb, Pdc	200	Dd	N	N				
23aa1	Otis Bassel	1952		Pb, Pdc	150	Dd	N	N		69.80	8-13-52	L
23aac1	do.	1952		Pb, Pdc	158	Dd	T, b	I	800	82.00	8-28-53	
23cab1	Loys Criswell	1951		Pb, Pdc	155	Dd	N	N	60			
23dbb1	Frankie Johnson	1951		Pb, Pdc	195	Dd	N	N	15			L
24bcb1	R. Ledbetter	1952		Pb, Pdc	190	Dd	T, g	I	450	81.12	8-31-53	
24bcd1	do.			Pb, Pdc	140	Dd	N	N				
25acc1	E. E. Brown	1951		Pb, Pdc	121	Dd	T, b	I				
25bcc1	Sam Maize	1952		Pb, Pdc	90	Dd	C, g	S		53.99	9-15-54	
26cab1	Fred W. Tigert	1948	1,461	Pb, Pdc	130	Dd	T, g	I	1,000	46.53	4-15-49	
26aad1	W. W. Cunningham	1952	1,467	Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	T, b	I	335	58.05	2- 8-54	
27dab1	S. L. Spraggins	1948	1,442	Pb, Pdc	118	Dd	T, b	I	600	24.35	4-15-49	C
27dad1	do.	1948	1,449	Pb, Pdc	125	Dd	N	N		57.10	8- 7-53	
27aad1	Robert B. Bryant, Jr.	1947	1,458	Pb, Pdc	127	Dd	T, e	I	720	46.08	2- 8-54	
27cdd1	Loys Criswell	1950	1,438	Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	T, b	I	550	30.80	2- 8-54	
27bdb1	do.	1951	1,440	Pb, Pdc	100	Dd	T, b	I	1,200	21.51	2- 2-51	
29ddd1	J. L. Ross	1951	1,450	Pb, Pdc	113	Dd	N	N				L
29cab1	Cecil Leonard	1951		Pb, Pdc	154	Dd	N	N				L
29cbd1	do.	1951		Pb, Pdc	100	Dd	N	N		38.82	1-19-54	
30aab1	Archie Leonard	1951		Pb, Pdc	102	Dd	N	N				L
30aaa1	do.	1951		Pb, Pdc	100	Dd	T, b	I	800	15.58	2- 5-52	
30daa1	Cecil Leonard	1951	1,453	Pb, Pdc	90	Dd	T, b	I	500	24.42	2- 8-54	L
31cbb1	W. F. Blevins	1953		Pb, Pdc	62	Dd	T, g	I	600			L
31bca1	Bill Garman	1953		Pb, Pdc	86	Dd	T, e	I	1,000	25.92	2- 8-54	
32aab1	E. F. Walker	1949	1,447	Pb, Pdc	105	Dd	T, b	I	945	34.14	2- 8-54	
33bdd1	Marshall Carroll	1947	1,446	Pb, Pdc	75	Dd	T, b	I	1,025	24.83	6-10-48	C
33abb1	S. L. Spraggins	1951	1,449	Pb, Pdc	120	Dd	N	N		39.20	2- 2-52	
33dba1	B. J. Spradlin	1951		Pb, Pdc	82	Dd	T, e	N				
33abb1	S. L. Spraggins			Pb, Pdc	170	Dd	T, b	I	1,200	42.16	9-24-53	L
33dbb1	B. J. Spradlin	1953		Pb, Pdc	73	Dd	T, e	I	1,250			
35bdb1	B. O. Yates	1948	1,441	Pb, Pdc	120	Dd	T, g	I	110	26.27	6-10-48	
35bca1	do.			Pb, Pdc	...	Dd	N	N		32.58	8- 7-53	
36bbd1	Robertson Brothers	1948	1,451	Pb, Pdc	120	Dd	T, g	I	100	42.30	4-15-49	
36bc1	do.	1951	1,450	Pb, Pdc	150	Dd	T, b	I	700	39.72	2- 2-52	L
36cc1	W. M. Adams	1952		Pb, Pdc	100	Dd	T, b	I	200	42.42	5-15-52	
36aac1	Ralph Mitchell	1951	1,443	Pb, Pdc	149	Dd	T, b	I	200	44.52	2- 8-54	

APPENDIX C.--LOGS OF WELLS AND TEST HOLES IN HARMON COUNTY AND ADJACENT PARTS OF GREER AND JACKSON COUNTIES, OKLA.

The logs on the following pages record the materials penetrated in the drilling of many wells and test holes. The logs described as sample logs were made by field analysis or by field and microscopic analysis of the drill cuttings by personnel of the U.S. Geological Survey or the Oklahoma Planning and Resources Board. The logs described as drillers' logs were made by field analysis of the drill cuttings by the well driller. The logs are arranged by county according to the well-numbering system used in this report (fig. 2, p. 7). The altitude refers to the ground level at the mouth of the well or test hole in feet above mean sea level.

Thickness in feet. Depth in feet below land surface.

Description	Thick- ness	Depth	Description	Thick- ness	Depth
GREER COUNTY					
<u>3N-23W-2ccdl. SE$\frac{1}{4}$SW$\frac{1}{4}$SW$\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Ivan Owen.</u>			<u>3N-23W-4cba1.--Continued</u>		
Shale, brown	22	22	Gypsum	13	126
Gypsum	17	39	Shale, brown	6	132
Shale, brown	7	46	Gypsum	12	144
Gypsum	8	54	Shale, brown	14	158
Limestone (dry)	2	56	Gypsum	2	160
Shale, blue	4	60	Limestone, water-bearing, 100 gpm	10	170
Shale, brown	32	92	Shale, red	5	175
Gypsum	17	109	Shale, brown	15	190
Shale, brown	14	123	Shale, brown and blue	5	195
Gypsum	6	129	(14-in. casing set at 145 ft.)		
Shale, blue	2	131	<u>3N-23W-8daa1. NE$\frac{1}{4}$NE$\frac{1}{4}$SE$\frac{1}{4}$. Drillers' log supplied by Ivan Owen.</u>		
Shale, brown	14	145	Shale, brown	39	39
<u>3N-23W-3ddb1. NW$\frac{1}{4}$SE$\frac{1}{4}$SE$\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Ivan Owen.</u>			Gypsum	12	51
Clay, black	10	10	Shale, blue	3	54
Clay	9	19	Gypsum	11	65
Gypsum	5	24	Shale, blue	4	69
Shale, blue	15	39	Gypsum	13	82
Shale, brown	9	48	Shale, blue	3	85
Shale, red	3	51	Shale, red	5	90
Dolomite and limestone	5	56	Gypsum	19	109
Shale, red	4	60	Shale, brown	10	119
(14-in. casing set at 6 ft.)			Gypsum	10	129
<u>3N-23W-3dad2. SE$\frac{1}{4}$NE$\frac{1}{4}$SE$\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Ivan Owen.</u>			Shale and limestone, water-bearing, 2 gpm	2	131
Soil	2	2	Shale, blue	4	135
Gypsum	2	4	Shale, red	15	150
Shale, brown	11	15	<u>3N-23W-9dba1. NE$\frac{1}{4}$NW$\frac{1}{4}$SE$\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Ivan Owen.</u>		
Gypsum	21	36	Soil	3	3
Shale, brown and blue	8	44	Shale, red	30	33
Gypsum	3	47	Gypsum, chalky; dolomite	13	46
Sand	3	50	Shale, blue	3	49
Shale, brown and blue	30	80	Dolomite	10	59
(test hole, no casing)			Shale, brown	6	65
<u>3N-23W-3aaa1. NE$\frac{1}{4}$NE$\frac{1}{4}$NE$\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Clarence Trussler.</u>			Gypsum	9	74
Soil	1	1	Shale, brown	9	83
Limestone	2	3	Gypsum	22	105
Shale, blue	2	5	Shale, brown	3	108
Shale, red	25	30	Gypsum, hard, water-bearing	7	115
Gypsum	1	31	Dolomite, water-bearing	3	118
Shale, blue	1	32	Limestone and clay	7	125
Gypsum	11	43	<u>3N-23W-10da1. NE$\frac{1}{4}$SE$\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Ivan Owen.</u>		
Cavity, water-bearing	1	44	Clay, red and blue	30	30
Gypsum	4	48	Clay, blue	10	40
Shale, red	2	50	Clay, brown	9	49
Gypsum	4	54	Shale, blue; gypsum	11	60
Limestone	2	56	Shale, blue	5	65
Shale, blue	2	58	Gypsum	11	76
Shale, red	10	68	Shale, blue	4	80
Gypsum	8	76	Gypsum	15	95
Shale, blue	2	78	Shale, blue	5	100
Shale, red	16	94	Shale, brown	10	110
<u>3N-23W-4cba1. NE$\frac{1}{4}$NW$\frac{1}{4}$SW$\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Ivan Owen. Altitude: land surface, 1,527.</u>			Gypsum	13	123
Sand	8	8	Sand	2	125
Shale, red	18	26	Shale, brown	5	130
Shale, blue	4	30	(reported yield, 10 gpm; 6-in. casing set at 72 ft.)		
Shale, red	26	56	<u>3N-23W-11acc1.SW$\frac{1}{4}$SW$\frac{1}{4}$NE$\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Clarence Trussler.</u>		
Shale, blue	23	79	Soil	1	1
Gypsum	10	89	Shale, red	4	5
Shale, brown	2	91	Shale, blue	3	8
Limestone, shaly	4	95	Shale, red	10	18
Shale, blue	3	98	Shale, blue	2	20
Gypsum	10	108	Gypsum	7	27
Shale, blue	5	113	Shale, blue	3	30
			Shale, red	20	50

APPENDIX C.--LOGS OF WELLS AND TEST HOLES IN HARMON COUNTY AND ADJACENT PARTS OF GREER AND JACKSON COUNTIES, OKLA.

Thickness in feet. Depth in feet below land surface.

Description	Thick- ness	Depth	Description	Thick- ness	Depth
GREER COUNTY.--Continued					
3N-23W-11acc1.--Continued					
Shale, blue	2	52	3N-23W-16dbal. NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Ivan Owen.		
Shale, red	9	61	Clay, red; sand	25	25
Shale, blue	3	64	Clay, brown; sand, 7-8 gpm	17	42
Gypsum	9	73	Gypsum	1	43
Shale, blue	3	76	Shale, brown	3	46
Shale, red	11	87	Gypsum	5	51
Gypsum	3	90	Shale, brown	9	60
Shale, blue	2	92	Gypsum	14	74
Shale, red	18	110	Shale, red	10	84
3N-23W-14bcl. SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Ivan Owen. Altitude: land surface, 1,489.					
Shale, red	20	20	Gypsum, water-bearing	8	92
Shale, red and blue	12	32	Sand and limestone	4	96
Shale, red	9	41	Shale, blue	3	99
Limestone	1	42	Shale, red	21	120
Gypsum	7	49	Shale, blue	11	131
Limestone	3	52	Gypsum	17	148
No sample	3	55	Shale, blue; limestone stringers	3	151
Gypsum	11	66	Shale, red and blue	12	163
Shale, blue	1	67	Gypsum	7	170
Gypsum	1	68	Shale, blue	3	173
Shale, blue	2	70	3N-23W-16dcb1. NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Ivan Owen.		
Gypsum	13	83	Clay, brown	20	20
Shale, blue	1	84	Shale, white	6	26
Shale, brown	4	88	Gypsum	5	31
Gypsum	2	90	Shale, brown	3	34
Shale, brown	1	91	Limestone, 15 gpm	3	37
Gypsum	17	108	Gypsum	10	47
Shale, blue	1	109	Shale, blue and brown	8	55
Shale, brown	7	116	Gypsum	20	75
Gypsum	4	120	Shale, blue and brown	8	83
Cavity	2	122	Gypsum	12	95
Limestone	8	130	Shale, blue	5	100
Shale, red	5	135	(Well abandoned, hole filled with earth.)		
(14-in. casing set at 10 ft.)					
3N-23W-16cab1. NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Ivan Owen. Altitude: land surface, 1,459.					
Soil	3	3	3N-23W-16dcal. NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Ronald Owen.		
Shale, red, sandy	27	30	Clay	43	43
Shale, blue	4	34	Limestone and gypsum	2	45
Gypsum	12	46	Shale, red	10	55
Shale, red	18	64	Gypsum	10	65
Gypsum	12	76	Shale and sand	2	67
Shale, brown	12	88	Gypsum	8	75
Gypsum	2	90	Shale, red	5	80
Sand	3	93	Gypsum	20	100
Limestone	12	105	Shale, red	10	110
Shale, brown	19	124	(7-in. casing set at 43 ft.)		
Shale, blue	2	126	3N-23W-17aal. NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Ivan Owen. Altitude: land surface, 1,470.		
Shale, red and blue	4	130	Soil	3	3
Gypsum	14	144	Shale, brown	55	58
Shale, blue; limestone stringers	4	148	Gypsum	9	67
Shale, blue	1	149	Shale, blue	3	70
Shale, brown	3	152	Shale, brown	4	74
(Reported yield, 1,380 gpm; 16-in. casing set at 36 ft.)					
3N-23W-16dab1. NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Ivan Owen.					
Soil	3	3	Gypsum	12	86
Clay, red, sandy	27	30	Cavity	3	89
Shale, brown	5	35	Gypsum	7	96
Gypsum, water-bearing, 10 gpm	14	49	Shale, brown	4	100
Shale, blue	3	52	Gypsum	13	113
Shale, brown	5	57	Limestone; shale, blue	2	115
Gypsum	17	74	Shale, blue	5	120
Shale, brown	9	83	Shale	5	125
Gypsum	12	95	Shale, brown and blue	20	145
Limestone, water-bearing	3	98	Gypsum	20	165
Shale, blue	3	101	Shale, blue	2	167
Shale, red	13	114	Shale, brown	11	178
Limestone, red	8	122	Gypsum	8	186
Shale, blue	8	130	Shale, blue (salty)	3	189
Gypsum	13	143	(Yield 940 gpm August 1952; 16-in. casing set at 67 ft.)		
Shale, blue	10	153	3N-23W-17ddal. NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Ivan Owen.		
(Well abandoned and hole filled, April 1950.)					
			Shale, red and blue	25	25
			Gypsum; shale, blue	14	39
			Shale, blue	3	42
			Gypsum	12	54
			Shale, brown and blue	4	58
			Gypsum	13	71
			Shale, brown	8	79
			Gypsum	12	91

APPENDIX C.--LOGS OF WELLS AND TEST HOLES IN HARMON COUNTY AND ADJACENT PARTS OF GREER AND JACKSON COUNTIES, OKLA.

Thickness in feet. Depth in feet below land surface.

Description	Thick- ness	Depth	Description	Thick- ness	Depth
GREER COUNTY.--Continued			4N-24W-14bbb2.--Continued		
3N-23W-17dda1.--Continued			Gypsum, alabaster	2	55
Shale, brown	9	100	Shale, reddish-brown and gray	9	64
Gypsum, 20 gpm	12	112	Gypsum, alabaster and selenite	2	66
Shale, blue	8	120	Shale, reddish-brown and gray; gypsum stringers	9	75
(7-in. casing set at 102 ft.)			Gypsum, alabaster	8	83
4N-23W-32dbb1. NW $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{2}$. Driller's log supplied by Ronald Owen.			Shale, bluish-gray; gypsum stringers	17	100
Clay	24	24	Shale, bluish-gray and brown	13	113
Gypsum	2	26	Gypsum	1	114
Shale, blue	15	41	Shale, bluish-gray	1	115
Shale	17	58	Gypsum, alabaster	10	125
Gypsum	7	65	Shale, bluish-gray	5	130
Shale, blue; limestone	2	67	Anhydrite	10	140
No sample	16	83	Shale, gray; gypsum stringers	2	142
Shale, red	11	94	Anhydrite	13	155
Shale, blue	4	98	Shale, gray	4	159
Shale, red	7	105	Anhydrite	20	179
Gypsum	12	117	Shale, bluish-gray	4	183
Shale, blue	3	120	Gypsum, alabaster; shale stringers, gray	15	198
Gypsum	5	125	Dolomite, gray, porous, water-bearing	2	200
Shale, blue	5	130	Shale, blue and brown	10	210
Gypsum	10	140	Shale, brown	11	221
Shale, blue	3	143	Anhydrite	2	223
Shale, red; limestone	2	145	Shale, brown	2	225
Gypsum	23	168	Anhydrite	9	234
Shale, red	5	173	(6-in. casing set at 59 ft.)		
Gypsum	1	174	4N-24W-15bcb1. NW $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{2}$. Driller's log supplied by Ivan Owen. Altitude: land surface, 1,780.		
Shale, red; limestone	3	177	Sand	45	45
Gypsum	11	188	Shale, red	10	55
Sand and limestone	7	195	Shale, brown	33	88
Shale, red	15	210	Gypsum	7	95
(Reported yield, 70 gpm.)			Shale, brown	25	120
4N-23W-33dab1. NW $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{2}$. Driller's log supplied by Ivan Owen. Altitude: land surface, 1,550.			Shale, blue	8	128
Soil	2	2	Shale, brown	3	131
Clay, red	38	40	Gypsum	10	141
Conglomerate	3	43	Shale, blue and brown	4	145
Clay, red	15	58	Gypsum	11	156
Gypsum	3	61	Shale, brown	2	158
Limestone, blue	7	68	Gypsum	11	169
Shale, blue	2	70	Shale, brown	5	174
Gypsum	13	83	Gypsum	21	195
Shale, blue	3	86	Shale, blue	2	197
Gypsum	12	98	Shale, brown	5	202
Shale, brown	10	108	Gypsum	11	213
Gypsum	11	119	Sand	1	214
Shale, blue	5	124	Shale, brown	21	235
Gypsum	1	125	Gypsum	22	257
Shale, brown	4	129	Shale, blue	6	263
Gypsum	6	135	(Reported yield 15 gpm; 8-in. casing set at 56 ft; 7-in. casing set at 198 ft.)		
Dolomite, water-bearing	4	139	5N-23W-21bdc1. SW $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{2}$. Driller's log supplied by Ivan Owen. Altitude: land surface, 1,728.		
Shale, red	16	155	Soil	10	10
(Reported yield, 800 gpm; 16-in. casing set at 54 ft.)			Sand	50	60
4N-23W-35dcb1. NW $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{2}$. Driller's log supplied by Ivan Owen.			Clay, red; sand	11	71
Clay	12	12	Sandstone, water-bearing	1	72
Gypsum	2	14	Clay, red	18	90
Shale, brown	25	39	Clay, brown and blue	31	121
Gypsum	3	42	Gypsum	12	133
Shale, brown	3	45	Clay, brown and blue	12	145
Gypsum	5	50	5N-23W-27bda1. NE $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{2}$. Driller's log supplied by Elmo Crenshaw. Altitude: land surface, 1,717.		
Sand, water-bearing	2	52	Soil	10	10
Shale, blue	8	60	Sand, white	70	80
Shale, brown	5	65	Shale	18	98
Shale, blue	5	70	Gypsum	4	102
(14-in. casing set at 70 ft.)			Cavity, water-bearing	2	104
4N-24W-14bbb2. NW $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{2}$. Sample log of test hole drilled by U.S. Geol. Survey. Altitude: land surface, 1,767.			Gypsum	6	110
Clay, brown, sandy	10	10	Shale	4	114
Clay, light-gray, sandy; sand stringers	8	18	Gypsum	13	127
Sand, brown, fine to medium	2	20	(16-in. casing set at 98 ft.)		
Clay, light-gray, sandy	5	25			
Sand, medium to coarse	3	28			
Gravel, coarse	2	30			
Sand, fine	8	38			
Clay, brown to white	2	40			
Sand, coarse; clay, brown	3	43			
Shale, reddish-brown and gray	10	53			

APPENDIX C.--LOGS OF WELLS AND TEST HOLES IN HARMON COUNTY AND ADJACENT PARTS OF GREER AND JACKSON COUNTIES, OKLA.

Thickness in feet. Depth in feet below land surface.

Description	Thick- ness	Depth	Description	Thick- ness	Depth
HARMON COUNTY					
<u>IN-24W-2cdbl. NW$\frac{1}{4}$SE$\frac{1}{4}$SW$\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Jack Jenkins. Altitude: land surface, 1,533.</u>			<u>IN-24W-7cca2.--Continued</u>		
No sample	4	4	Limestone, water-bearing	5	150
Shale, blue	18	22	Shale, red	5	155
Shale, red	8	30	Gypsum	1	156
Shale, blue	4	34	Shale, red and blue	18	174
Shale, red	11	45	Gypsum	1	175
Gypsum	6	51	Shale, red	5	180
Shale, red	26	77	Limestone, water-bearing	3	183
Gypsum	7	84	Shale, red	3	186
Shale, blue	4	88	Gypsum	4	190
Shale, red	20	108	Shale, blue	6	196
Gypsum	2	110	Gypsum	2	198
Shale, red	7	117	Shale, blue	1	199
Shale, blue	5	122	Gypsum	6	205
Shale, red	4	126	Limestone, water-bearing	2	207
Gypsum	12	138			
Shale, blue	1	139	<u>IN-24W-8dbal. NE$\frac{1}{4}$NW$\frac{1}{4}$SE$\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Jack Jenkins.</u>		
Gypsum	10	149	Clay	18	18
Salt	2	151	Gypsum	7	25
Shale, blue	5	156	Shale, red and blue	20	45
Gypsum	9	165	Gypsum	5	50
Shale, blue	1	166	Shale, red and blue	46	96
Gypsum	25	191	Gypsum	9	105
Shale, red	5	196	Shale, blue	5	110
Gypsum	14	210	Gypsum	9	119
Limestone, blue, water-bearing	10	220	Shale, blue	2	121
Shale, red	27	247	Gypsum	2	123
			Shale, blue	2	125
<u>IN-24W-6bcd1. SE$\frac{1}{4}$SW$\frac{1}{4}$NW$\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Jack Jenkins. Altitude: land surface, 1,544.</u>			Gypsum	12	137
Soil	3	3	Shale, red	6	143
Shale, red	18	21	Gypsum	26	169
Shale, blue	11	32	Shale, red	9	178
Shale, red	4	36	Gypsum	7	185
Shale, blue	2	38	Limestone	3	188
Gypsum	2	40	(16-in. casing set at 99 ft.)		
Shale, blue	2	42	<u>IN-24W-19ddb1. NW$\frac{1}{4}$SE$\frac{1}{4}$SE$\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Jack Jenkins.</u>		
Gypsum	2	44	Soil	4	4
Shale, red	6	50	Sand	18	22
Shale, blue	3	53	Sand, fine, water-bearing	7	29
Gypsum	10	63	Shale, blue	7	36
Shale, blue	2	65	Shale, red	9	45
Gypsum	6	71	Limestone, water-bearing	10	55
Shale, red	5	76	Shale, red	14	69
Gypsum	5	81	Shale, blue	6	75
Shale, red	2	83	Gypsum	25	100
Gypsum	29	112	Limestone	5	105
Shale, red	16	128	Shale, red	4	109
Limestone	12	140	Gypsum	1	110
Shale, blue, water-bearing	2	142	(16-in. casing set at 55 ft; 14-in. casing set at 80 ft.)		
Shale, red	10	152	<u>IN-24W-30bb1. NW$\frac{1}{4}$NW$\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Ivan Owen.</u>		
Shale, red; gypsum, blue	16	168	Shale, red and blue	28	28
Gypsum	6	174	Gypsum, water-bearing	12	40
Shale, blue and red	12	186	Shale, blue; sand	2	42
Shale, blue	5	191	No sample	5	47
Gypsum	12	203	Gypsum	10	57
Limestone; shale, blue	1	204	Shale, brown	3	60
(Not enough water for irrigation)			Gypsum	3	63
<u>IN-24W-7cca2. NE$\frac{1}{4}$SW$\frac{1}{4}$SW$\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Jack Jenkins.</u>			Shale, brown	2	65
No sample	4	4	No sample	17	82
Limestone	5	9	Gypsum	19	101
Shale, red	17	26	Shale, brown	10	111
Gypsum	2	28	Gypsum	11	122
Shale, red	23	51	Shale, blue; limestone	2	124
Shale, blue	6	57	Shale, red	26	150
Gypsum	1	58	Gypsum	5	155
Shale, blue, water-bearing 58-60 ft	9	67	Shale, blue	2	157
Shale, red	24	91			
Gypsum	1	92	<u>IN-25W-1dbcl. NW$\frac{1}{4}$NW$\frac{1}{4}$SE$\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Jack Jenkins.</u>		
Shale, red	10	102	No sample	5	5
Shale, blue	8	110	Shale, red	9	14
Shale, red	4	114	Shale, blue	1	15
Gypsum	2	116	Shale, red	9	24
Shale, blue	3	119	Gypsum	7	31
Shale, red	5	124	Shale, blue	3	34
Gypsum	1	125	Shale, red	21	55
Shale, red and blue	8	133			
Gypsum	5	138			
Shale, blue; limestone, water-bearing	2	140			
Gypsum	7	147			

APPENDIX C.--LOGS OF WELLS AND TEST HOLES IN HARMON COUNTY AND ADJACENT PARTS OF GREER AND JACKSON COUNTIES, OKLA.

Thickness in feet. Depth in feet below land surface.

Description	Thick- ness	Depth	Description	Thick- ness	Depth
HARMON COUNTY.--Continued			1N-25W-11baal.--Continued		
Shale, blue	1	56	Shale, reddish-brown and gray, calcareous; limestone stringers	5	115
Gypsum	3	59	Shale, reddish-brown and gray, calcareous; gypsum stringers, alabaster, white	5	120
Shale, red and blue	16	75	Gypsum, alabaster, white	5	125
Gypsum	2	77	Dolomite, gray, porous, argillaceous	5	130
Shale, blue	2	79	Shale, reddish-brown and gray, calcareous	5	135
Gypsum, water-bearing	8	87	Shale, reddish-brown and blue, calcareous; gypsum stringers, alabaster, white	6	141
Shale, blue	4	91	Shale, reddish-brown and gray, calcareous; gypsum stringers, satinspar	9	150
Gypsum	13	104	Shale, blue and reddish-brown; gypsum stringers, alabaster	10	160
Shale, red	3	107	Shale, red and gray; gypsum stringers, selenite and satinspar	5	165
Gypsum	11	118	Limestone, gray, argillaceous	2	167
Shale, red	4	122	Dolomite, gray, porous; water-filled cavity		
Gypsum	24	146	169.5-170 ft	3	170
Limestone; shale, blue and red; main water-bearing zone	5	151	No sample (most drill cuttings washed from bailer)	10	180
Gypsum	1	152	(16-in. casing set at 165 ft.)		
Shale, blue	3	155			
Gypsum	11	166			
Limestone; shale, red, water-bearing	7	173			
Shale, red	7	180			
1N-25W-4dba1. NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Ivan Owen.			1N-25W-11dccl. SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Jack Jenkins.		
Clay	30	30	Soil	4	4
Shale, brown and blue	5	35	Sand	11	15
Shale, blue	8	43	Sand, very fine	15	30
Shale, brown	22	65	Shale, blue	1	31
Gypsum	10	75	Shale, red	17	48
Shale, blue	10	85	Sand and gravel, water-bearing	1	49
Shale, brown	9	94	Shale, blue	11	60
Shale, blue; limestone, water-bearing	14	108	Shale, red	3	63
Shale, brown	3	111	Limestone, water-bearing	6	69
Gypsum	8	119	Shale, red	11	80
Shale, blue	1	120	Shale, blue	1	81
(Reported yield, 650 gpm; 14-in. casing set at 70 ft.)			Gypsum	2	83
1N-25W-6ada1. NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Paul Bible.			(Problems with quicksand and other difficulties. Well abandoned and hole plugged. Lots of water.)		
No sample	28	28	1N-25W-12bcc1. SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Jack Jenkins.		
Gypsum	15	43	Soil	2	2
Shale, blue	10	53	Shale, red	21	23
Gypsum	15	68	Shale, blue	7	30
Shale, blue	5	73	Shale, red	9	39
Shale, red	2	75	Limestone, water-bearing	6	45
Gypsum	15	90	Shale, blue	3	48
Shale, blue	3	93	Gypsum	12	60
Shale, red	3	96	Shale, blue	2	62
Gypsum	26	122	Gypsum	3	65
Limestone	16	138	Shale, blue	1	66
Gypsum	18	156	Gypsum	14	80
Limestone, water-bearing	4	160	Shale, red and blue	5	85
Shale, red	20	180	Gypsum	25	110
Limestone, water-bearing	2	182	Shale, red	4	114
Shale	2	184	Gypsum	8	122
Shale, red and blue	7	191	Limestone, water-bearing	1	123
Gypsum	7	198	Gypsum	6	129
Limestone, sandy, water-bearing	2	200	Shale, blue	3	132
Gypsum	3	203	Limestone, blue	3	135
Shale, calcareous	1	204	Shale, red	9	144
Shale, blue	3	207	Gypsum	2	146
Shale, red	1	208	Shale, red and blue	9	155
No sample	2	210	Gypsum	2	157
(16-in. casing set at 209 ft. Perforated interval 129-209 ft.)			Shale, blue	3	160
1N-25W-11baal. NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$. Sample log of irrigation well. Altitude: land surface, 1,530.			Gypsum	2	162
Sand, reddish-brown, medium to coarse	3	3	Shale, blue	3	165
Sand, medium to very coarse, argillaceous; gravel, fine	8	11	Gypsum	3	168
Sand, coarse, argillaceous	6	17	1N-25W-12cdbl. NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Jack Jenkins.		
Shale, reddish-brown and gray, argillaceous; limestone stringers	25	42	Shale, red, blue, and gray	46	46
Shale, light-gray; limestone stringers	3	45	Limestone, water-bearing	6	52
Shale, brown and gray, calcareous	5	50	Shale, blue	2	54
Shale, reddish-brown and gray, calcareous; limestone stringers	15	65	Gypsum	11	65
Shale, gray, calcareous	10	75	Shale, red	2	67
Shale, reddish-brown and gray, calcareous	9	84	Gypsum	16	83
Limestone, gray; shale, reddish-brown	6	90	Shale, red	5	88
Shale, gray, calcareous	6	96	Gypsum	7	95
Shale, gray	3	99	Shale, red; some water	2	97
Limestone, gray, porous, water-bearing	6	105	Gypsum	13	110
Gypsum, alabaster, white; shale stringers, reddish-brown	5	110	Shale, red	10	120
			Gypsum	4	124
			Cavity, water-bearing	3	127
			(16-in. casing set at 54 ft. Perforated interval 46-54 ft.)		

APPENDIX C.--LOGS OF WELLS AND TEST HOLES IN HARMON COUNTY AND ADJACENT PARTS OF GREER AND JACKSON COUNTIES, OKLA.

Thickness in feet. Depth in feet below land surface.

Description	Thick- ness	Depth	Description	Thick- ness	Depth
HARMON COUNTY.--Continued			2N-24W-35acc1. SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Ivan Owen.		
1N-25W-35caal. NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Ivan Owen.			Shale, blue and brown	25	25
Shale, brown	15	15	Gypsum	5	30
Cavity	11	26	Shale, brown and blue	30	60
Shale, brown	4	30	Shale, blue	5	65
Cavity	6	36	Shale, brown	13	78
Conglomerate	7	43	Gypsum	9	87
Shale, brown	3	46	Shale, blue and brown	5	92
Gypsum	24	70	Gypsum	8	100
Shale	9	79	Gypsum; shale, blue	5	105
Gypsum	10	89	Gypsum	10	115
Sand and limestone	5	94	Shale, blue	5	120
Shale, blue and red	11	105	Gypsum	21	141
(16-in. casing set at 26 ft.)			Shale, blue	2	143
			Shale, brown	7	150
			Gypsum	10	160
2N-24W-5cd1. SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Jack Jenkins.			Sand, water bearing. Water level 105 ft below land surface	2	162
Soil	4	4	(7-in. casing set at 133 ft. Water too salty for stock use.)		
Shale, red	16	20			
Cavity, dry	1	21	2N-25W-2aaal. NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Ivan Owen. Altitude: Land surface, 1,606.		
Gypsum	2	23	Shale, red	39	39
Shale, red	12	35	Gypsum	4	43
Gypsum	2	37	Shale, red	22	65
Shale, red	25	62	Shale, blue	3	68
Gypsum	7	69	Gypsum	10	78
Shale, red and blue	18	87	Shale, blue	3	81
Gypsum	2	89	Shale, red	31	112
Shale, black	3	92	Shale, red and blue	11	123
Gypsum	11	103	Gypsum	7	130
Shale, red and blue	9	112	Shale, red and blue	5	135
Gypsum	1	113	Gypsum	9	144
Shale, red and blue	8	121	Shale, blue	5	149
Gypsum	2	123	Gypsum	10	159
Shale, blue	2	125	Shale, blue	4	163
Gypsum	2	127	Shale, red	2	165
Shale, blue	2	129	Gypsum	26	191
Gypsum	2	131	Shale, red	7	198
Shale, red	7	138	Gypsum	10	208
Gypsum	3	141	Shale; limestone, sandy, water-bearing. Water level 63 ft below land surface	3	211
Shale, blue	2	143	Shale, red and blue	4	215
Gypsum	8	151	(12-in. casing set at 120 ft.)		
Shale, blue	3	154			
Gypsum	8	162	2N-25W-5aac1. SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Jack Jenkins.		
Shale, blue	2	164	Soil	5	5
Gypsum	15	179	Shale, red	25	30
Shale, blue	5	184	Shale, blue	5	35
Gypsum	25	209	Cavity	2	37
Shale, blue	2	211	Gypsum	3	40
Gypsum	1	212	Limestone, water-bearing	10	50
Shale, blue	3	215	Shale, red	5	55
Gypsum	7	222	Gypsum	13	68
Limestone, water-bearing	5	227	Shale, blue	2	70
Shale, blue	2	229	Gypsum	5	75
Shale, red	9	238	Gypsum and limestone, water-bearing	10	85
Gypsum	3	241	Gypsum	5	90
Shale, blue	2	243	Shale, red	10	100
Gypsum	3	246	Gypsum and limestone, water-bearing	5	105
Shale, red and blue	4	250	Gypsum	18	123
(Not enough water for domestic use.)			Shale, blue	6	129
2N-24W-26acc1. SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Ivan Owen.			Gypsum, water-bearing	14	143
No sample	15	15	Cavity, water-bearing	2	145
Clay	15	30	(16-in. casing set at 70 ft.)		
Gypsum	2	32			
Shale, brown and blue	20	52	2N-25W-5cal. NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by John Jenkins.		
Gypsum	9	61	Soil and clay	10	10
Shale, blue	2	63	Shale, red	40	50
Shale, brown	3	66	Gypsum	2	52
Gypsum	9	75	Limestone, porous	13	65
Shale, blue	5	80	Shale	5	70
Gypsum	8	88	Gypsum	2	72
Shale, blue	3	91	Shale, red	6	78
Shale, brown	4	95	Gypsum	10	88
Gypsum	21	116	Limestone, porous	2	90
Shale, blue	4	120	Gypsum	16	106
Shale, brown	4	124	Cavity	6	112
Gypsum	11	135	Gypsum	3	115
Sand, water-bearing	1	136	(16-in. casing set at 78 ft.)		
Shale, blue	4	140			
(Large quantity of water but too salty for stock use; hole plugged.)					

APPENDIX C.--LOGS OF WELLS AND TEST HOLES IN HARMON COUNTY AND ADJACENT PARTS OF GREER AND JACKSON COUNTIES, OKLA.

Thickness in feet. Depth in feet below land surface.

Description	Thick- ness	Depth	Description	Thick- ness	Depth
HARMON COUNTY.--Continued			2N-25W-14dba1. NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Ivan Owen. Altitude: land surface, 1,576.		
2N-25W-11ba1. SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Jack Jenkins. Altitude: land surface, 1,522.			Shale, red	36	36
Shale, red	17	17	Shale, blue	5	41
Shale, blue	5	22	Shale, red	5	46
Gypsum	8	30	Gypsum	9	55
Shale, blue	5	35	Limestone, water-bearing	1	56
Shale, red	21	56	Shale	4	60
Gypsum	6	62	Gypsum	7	67
Shale, blue	8	70	Shale, blue	4	71
Gypsum	10	80	Shale, red	4	75
Limestone, water-bearing	3	83	Gypsum	7	82
Shale, blue	3	86	Shale, blue	8	90
Gypsum	11	97	Gypsum	26	116
Shale, blue	2	99	Shale, brown	17	133
Gypsum	3	102	Limestone	4	137
Shale, blue	2	104	Shale, blue	3	140
Gypsum	12	116	Shale, red	5	145
Shale, blue	6	122	(Not enough water for irrigation.)		
Gypsum	27	149	2N-25W-15dab1. NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Ivan Owen.		
Shale, red	6	155	Shale, brown	21	21
Gypsum	10	165	Shale, red	7	28
Limestone	10	175	Gypsum	6	34
(14-in. casing set at 70 ft.)			Shale, brown	4	38
2N-25W-12cca1. NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Jack Jenkins. Altitude: land surface, 1,601.			Gypsum	3	41
Shale, red	19	19	Shale, brown	5	46
Cavity	1	20	Gypsum	9	55
Gypsum	6	26	Shale, brown (caves easily)	10	65
Shale, red	5	31	Gypsum	10	75
Gypsum	2	33	Shale, brown	4	79
Shale, red	8	41	Gypsum	13	92
Gypsum	5	46	Limestone (porous near top, hard at base)	5	97
Shale, blue	3	49	Shale, red	8	105
Gypsum	7	56	2N-25W-17bb1. NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by John Jenkins.		
Shale, blue	1	57	Soil	4	4
Gypsum	3	60	Clay	6	10
Shale, red	9	69	Clay, sandy	22	32
Gypsum	2	71	Rock, red, porous	2	34
Shale, red	6	77	Gravel and rock	18	52
Gypsum	2	79	Clay, red	11	63
Shale, red	9	88	Gypsum, porous	6	69
Gypsum	2	90	Shale	11	80
Shale, blue	4	94	Shale, red	18	98
Gypsum	1	95	Gypsum	8	106
Shale, blue	2	97	Gypsum, porous	14	120
Shale, red	2	99	Gypsum	23	143
Gypsum	4	103	Cavity	2	145
Shale, red	1	104	(16-in. casing set at 53 ft; 14-in. casing set at 98 ft.)		
Gypsum	4	108	2N-25W-18cdd1. SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Chester Anderson.		
Shale, red	6	114	Shale	24	24
Shale, blue	1	115	Gypsum	12	36
Gypsum	8	123	Shale	6	42
Shale, blue	2	125	Gypsum	11	53
Gypsum	14	139	Limestone, porous	2	55
Shale, blue	4	143	Shale, red	35	90
Gypsum	25	168	Limestone, porous	2	92
Shale, red and blue	2	170	Gypsum	17	109
Gypsum	14	184	Cavity	21	130
Limestone, water-bearing	4	188	Limestone, porous	7	137
Shale, blue	2	190	(16-in. casing set at 137 ft. Perforated interval 107-137 ft.)		
Gypsum	2	192	2N-25W-23abb1 NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Ronald Owen. Altitude: land surface, 1,556.		
Shale, blue	3	195	No sample	5	5
Shale, red	4	199	Clay	7	12
Shale, red; limestone	1	200	Shale and limestone	38	50
Shale, red; gypsum, thin layers	16	216	Shale	16	66
Gypsum	2	218	Limestone	5	71
Shale, blue	3	221	Gypsum	7	78
Gypsum	13	234	Shale, blue	3	81
Shale, blue	1	235	Gypsum	23	104
Gypsum	1	236	Shale, red	6	110
Shale, red and blue	9	245	Gypsum	16	126
Limestone, water-bearing	1	246	Shale, blue	4	130
Shale, blue	4	250	(12-in. casing set at 70 ft. Perforated interval 30-70 ft.)		
Gypsum	10	260			
Shale, blue	6	266			
(Not enough water for irrigation.)					

APPENDIX C.--LOGS OF WELLS AND TEST HOLES IN HARMON COUNTY AND ADJACENT PARTS OF GREER AND JACKSON COUNTIES, OKLA.

Thickness in feet. Depth in feet below land surface.

Description	Thick- ness	Depth	Description	Thick- ness	Depth
HARMON COUNTY.--Continued			2N-25W-32cac1. SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Jack Jenkins.		
2N-25W-26ac1. SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Ronald Owen. Altitude: land surface, 1,547.			Soil and clay	10	10
Clay	25	25	Gypsum	12	22
No sample, water at 36 ft	13	38	Shale, red	8	30
Gypsum	18	56	Gypsum	2	32
Limestone	1	57	Shale, red	10	42
Shale and limestone, 100 gpm at 58 ft	8	65	Gypsum	11	53
Gypsum	10	75	Shale, blue	9	62
Limestone	7	82	Shale, red	5	68
Shale, red	18	100	Gypsum	9	77
Limestone and shale	3	103	Shale, blue	4	81
Shale, blue	6	109	Gypsum	8	89
Gypsum	3	112	Limestone, blue	2	91
Shale	3	115	Limestone, red, water-bearing	5	96
Gypsum	3	118	Gypsum	10	106
Shale, blue	2	120	Shale, red	4	110
(16-in. casing set at 37 ft.)			Gypsum	6	116
2N-25W-31abb1. NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Lewis Bible.			Limestone, water-bearing	1	117
Clay, red	30	30	Gypsum	9	126
Clay, red; gravel, water-bearing	25	55	Shale, blue	5	131
Gypsum, water-bearing	1	56	Gypsum	2	133
Shale, red	2	58	Shale, red	5	138
Gypsum	11	69	Shale, gray	6	144
Limestone	5	74	Shale, red; limestone stringers	24	168
Shale, red	15	89	Limestone	2	170
Shale, red and blue	13	102	Shale, red	30	200
Limestone	24	126			
Shale, blue	14	140	2N-25W-36dac1. SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Jack Jenkins. Altitude: Land surface, 1,540.		
Gypsum	13	153	Soil	3	3
No sample	47	200	Shale, blue	4	7
(16-in. casing set at 63 ft.)			Shale, red	11	18
2N-25W-31bbb1. NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Jack Jenkins. Altitude: land surface, 1,586.			Limestone	4	22
Sand	10	10	Shale, blue	5	27
Shale, red	55	65	Shale, red	7	34
Limestone, water-bearing	1	66	Limestone; shale, blue	5	39
Shale, red and blue	31	97	Gypsum	5	44
Gypsum	15	112	Shale, blue	2	46
Shale, blue; limestone	2	114	Gypsum	27	73
Shale, red	11	125	Shale, red	6	79
Limestone, water-bearing	6	131	Gypsum	1	80
Shale, blue	4	135	Limestone, water-bearing	3	83
Limestone	3	138	Shale, red	8	91
Gypsum	15	153	Limestone, water-bearing	5	96
Shale, blue	2	155	Shale, red	12	108
(16-in. casing set at 97 ft.)			Limestone	2	110
2N-25W-31dad1. SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Lewis Bible.			Shale, blue	2	112
Shale, red and blue	65	65	Shale, red	3	115
Gypsum	10	75	Gypsum	1	116
Shale, red	21	96	Shale, blue	2	118
Gypsum	10	106	Gypsum	3	121
Shale, limestone stringers	4	110	Shale, blue	2	123
Gypsum	12	122	Limestone; shale, blue	5	128
Limestone	4	126	Gypsum, water-bearing	1	129
Gypsum	15	141	Shale, blue	2	131
Limestone, water-bearing	3	144	Gypsum	15	146
Cavity, water-filled	6	150	Shale, blue; limestone	4	150
Shale, red	21	171	No sample	80	230
Gypsum	4	175	(In May 1954 well deepened to a depth of 230 ft without tapping water-bearing strata.)		
Limestone	26	201	2N-26W-1abb1. NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Ivan Owen.		
(16-in. casing set at 164 ft.)			Clay, red	53	53
2N-25W-32bac1. NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Jack Jenkins.			Sand, water-bearing	4	57
No sample	26	26	Wash	8	65
Gypsum	12	38	Gypsum	5	70
Shale, red	2	40	Shale	8	78
Gypsum	25	65	Gypsum	10	88
Shale, blue	3	68	Shale, white	2	90
Shale, red	34	102	No sample	5	95
Gypsum	16	118	Gypsum and sand	10	105
Shale, red	19	137	Shale, blue	3	108
Gypsum	8	145	Gypsum, blue	1	109
Shale, red	5	150	Shale, blue	2	111
Gypsum	23	173	Gypsum	12	123
Shale, blue	2	175	Shale, blue	6	129
(16-in. casing set at 152 ft. Perforated interval 97-152 ft.)			Sand, red	1	130
			Shale and sand, red	4	134
			Gypsum	10	144
			(16-in. casing set at 85 ft. Perforated interval 58-85 ft.)		

APPENDIX C.--LOGS OF WELLS AND TEST HOLES IN HARMON COUNTY AND ADJACENT PARTS OF GREER AND JACKSON COUNTIES, OKLA.

Thickness in feet. Depth in feet below land surface.

Description	Thick- ness	Depth	Description	Thick- ness	Depth
HARMON COUNTY.--Continued			2N-26W-29cc1. SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$. Drillers's log supplied by Ivan Owen.		
2N-26W-2cba2. NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Chester Anderson. Altitude: land surface, 1,612.			Shale; gypsum stringers	40	40
No sample	8	8	Gypsum	10	50
Sand and gravel	15	23	Shale, blue	4	54
Clay	14	37	Shale, brown	2	56
Gravel	5	42	Gypsum	7	63
Sand	28	70	Cavity	4	67
Clay	16	86	Shale, brown	11	78
Gypsum	11	97	Gypsum	7	85
Limestone	2	99	Cavity	5	90
Shale, red	19	118	Shale, brown	3	93
Limestone	1	119	Gypsum	11	104
Sand, water-bearing	3	122	Shale, blue	2	106
Rock	2	124	Gypsum	13	119
Shale	5	129	Limestone	1	120
Rock	2	131	Shale, blue	10	130
Shale	2	133	Shale, red	24	154
Gypsum	23	156	Gypsum; limestone, blue; shale	11	165
Limestone	1	157	Shale	5	170
Shale	9	166	Shale, brown and blue	13	183
Rock	18	184	Gypsum	3	186
Shale	5	189			
(18-in. casing set at 49 ft; 16-in. casing set at 87 ft.)			2N-27W-36bac1. SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Ivan Owen.		
2N-26W-3dbc1. SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log to 300 feet, supplied by John Jenkins. Sample log below 300 feet. Altitude: land surface, 1,613.			Clay, brown	19	19
No sample	17	17	Gypsum	6	25
Sand	10	27	Shale, brown	25	50
Clay	13	40	Gypsum	5	55
Limestone, porous	8	48	Shale, red	4	59
Shale	17	65	Gypsum	4	63
Shale, red	21	86	Limestone, porous	7	70
Limestone	5	91	Shale, blue	3	73
Shale, red	16	107	Shale, red	24	97
Gypsum	1	108	Gypsum	8	105
Shale, red	2	110	Shale, brown	17	122
Gypsum	17	127	Shale, blue and red	20	142
Sand	3	130	Gypsum	2	144
Gypsum	10	140	Shale, blue	3	147
Limestone, porous	3	143	Gypsum	8	155
Shale, blue	12	155	Shale, blue	5	160
Gypsum	17	172	Shale, red and blue	13	173
Shale, blue	12	184	Gypsum	1	174
Gypsum	18	202	Shale, blue	2	176
Shale, blue	6	208	Shale, red and blue	4	180
Gypsum	4	212	(Reported yield 80 gpm. Not completed as an irrigation well.)		
Shale, red	2	214			
Shale, blue	4	218	2N-27W-36dad1. SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$. Sample log. Altitude: land surface, 1,582.		
Shale, blue; limestone stringers	5	223	Soil and clay, gypsiferous, calcareous	10	10
Gypsum	1	224	Clay, brown, sandy, calcareous	5	15
Limestone	2	226	Clay, brown, gypsiferous	15	30
Shale, blue	10	236	Shale, blue, calcareous	10	40
Limestone	4	240	Gypsum, alabaster, calcareous	10	50
Shale, red	5	245	Shale, gray, calcareous; gypsum stringers, alabaster	5	55
Gypsum	5	250	Gypsum, alabaster, calcareous	5	60
Shale, blue; gypsum stringers, alabaster	24	274	Shale, brown, calcareous	5	65
Shale, red	9	283	Shale, brown, gypsiferous	5	70
Shale, blue; limestone stringers	6	289	Gypsum, alabaster, calcareous	5	75
Shale, red and blue	7	296	Shale, blue, calcareous	10	85
Shale, red	4	300	Gypsum, alabaster	10	95
Shale, brown and gray, earthy; gypsum, selenite and alabaster	5	305	Gypsum, alabaster, calcareous, porous	7	102
Shale, bluish-gray, earthy; gypsum, selenite and alabaster	5	310	Shale, red, gypsiferous	3	105
Shale, bluish-gray; gypsum, selenite and alabaster	10	320	Shale, brown, calcareous; gypsum stringers, alabaster	5	110
Shale, bluish-gray and reddish-brown; gypsum stringers, alabaster	10	330	Shale, gray, calcareous; gypsum stringers, alabaster	5	115
Shale, gray, earthy; anhydrite, gray to white	10	340	Gypsum, alabaster	5	120
Shale, reddish-brown to gray; gypsum alabaster and selenite (pink)	15	355	Shale, gray, calcareous, gypsiferous	10	130
Shale, bluish-gray; gypsum, selenite	5	360	Gypsum, alabaster	5	135
Shale, brown and gray, earthy, gypsiferous	5	365	Shale, blue, calcareous, gypsiferous	10	145
Shale, brown and gray, earthy; siltstone, brown, calcareous	15	380	Gypsum, alabaster	10	155
Shale, gray and brown; gypsum stringers, alabaster and selenite	15	395	Shale, blue and red, calcareous, gypsiferous	5	160
Shale, brown and gray, earthy to plastic	10	405	Gypsum, alabaster	17	177
Shale, reddish-brown and gray; anhydrite	5	410	Shale, red and blue, calcareous	3	180
Shale, reddish-brown and gray; gypsum, alabaster; dolomite stringers, gray	5	415	Shale, red and blue; gypsum stringers, alabaster	5	185
Shale, brown and gray, conchoidal, gypsiferous	30	445	Shale, red and blue, calcareous; gypsum stringers, alabaster	5	190
(Salty water in cavity at 443 ft. Test hole plugged back to 300 ft and completed by owner as an irrigation well.)			Gypsum, alabaster	5	195
			Shale, gray, calcareous, gypsiferous	5	200
			Shale, reddish-brown; gypsum stringers, alabaster	10	210
			Shale, reddish-brown, calcareous; gypsum stringers, alabaster	10	220
			(Yield, 1,400 gpm; 45 ft drawdown after 14 hr test.)		

APPENDIX C.--LOGS OF WELLS AND TEST HOLES IN HARMON COUNTY AND ADJACENT PARTS OF GREER AND JACKSON COUNTIES, OKLA.

Thickness in feet. Depth in feet below land surface.

Description	Thick- ness	Depth	Description	Thick- ness	Depth
HARMON COUNTY.--Continued					
<u>3N-24W-13dab2. NW$\frac{1}{4}$NE$\frac{1}{4}$SE$\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Ivan Owen. Altitude: land surface, 1,543.</u>			<u>3N-25W-30abb1. NW$\frac{1}{4}$NW$\frac{1}{4}$NE$\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Ivan Owen. Altitude: land surface, 1,620.</u>		
Shale, brown	32	32	Shale	60	60
Gypsum	9	41	Shale and sand	20	80
Shale, brown	14	55	Limestone	13	93
Shale, brown and blue	34	89	Gypsum	9	102
Gypsum	9	98	Shale, blue and brown; gypsum	6	108
Shale, blue	5	103	Gypsum	14	122
Gypsum	9	112	Shale, blue and brown	5	127
Shale, blue	2	114	Gypsum	23	150
Shale, brown	3	117	Sand	1	151
Gypsum	14	131	Gypsum	6	157
Shale, blue and brown	4	135	Shale, blue; limestone	2	159
Gypsum	23	158	Gypsum	1	160
Shale, brown	7	165	(16-in. casing set at 80 ft; 14-in. casing set at 130 ft. Perforated interval 100-130 ft.)		
Gypsum	10	175	<u>3N-25W-32acd1. SE$\frac{1}{4}$SW$\frac{1}{4}$NE$\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Jack Jenkins.</u>		
Cavity, water-bearing	1	176	No sample	18	18
Shale, blue	8	184	Shale, gray	17	35
Shale, red and blue	27	211	Shale, red and blue	28	63
Gypsum	17	228	Gypsum	9	72
Shale, blue	7	235	Shale, red and blue	4	76
Shale, brown	4	239	Limestone	4	80
Gypsum	8	247	Gypsum	6	86
Shale, blue	2	249	Shale, gray	6	92
Shale, brown	16	265	Gypsum	13	105
Gypsum	4	269	Shale, blue	4	109
Shale, brown	3	272	Gypsum	6	115
Gypsum	7	279	Limestone, water-bearing	1	116
Shale, brown and blue (about 3 gpm at 290 ft) (Test hole plugged.)	36	315	Gypsum	25	141
<u>3N-24W-25bcb1. NW$\frac{1}{4}$SW$\frac{1}{4}$NW$\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Jack Jenkins.</u>			No sample, yields water	2	143
Shale, red and blue	46	46	Shale, red; limestone, water-bearing	13	156
Gypsum	10	56	(16-in. casing set at 83 ft.)		
Shale, blue	2	58	<u>3N-25W-32cad1. SE$\frac{1}{4}$NE$\frac{1}{4}$SW$\frac{1}{4}$. Sample log.</u>		
Gypsum	12	70	Sand	12	12
Shale, blue (some water)	2	72	Shale, red	18	30
Gypsum	12	84	Shale, blue	6	36
Shale, blue	6	90	Dolomite, light-gray, porous	5	41
Gypsum	22	112	Shale, blue	4	45
Shale, blue and red	8	120	Gypsum, alabaster, white, water-bearing (lots of water)	14	59
Gypsum, water-bearing	10	130	Shale, blue	1	60
Shale, red and blue	36	166	Gypsum, alabaster, white (most drill cuttings lost)	5	65
Gypsum	17	183	Dolomite, gray, argillaceous, conchoidal fracture	2	67
Shale, blue	2	185	Gypsum alabaster and selenite, tan	9	76
<u>3N-24W-30aaa2. NE$\frac{1}{4}$NE$\frac{1}{4}$NE$\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Jack Jenkins. Altitude: land surface, 1,527.</u>			Shale, gray, calcareous	5	81
Soil	3	3	Gypsum, alabaster, white	4	85
Shale, red	12	15	Gypsum, alabaster and selenite white and tan	5	90
Shale, blue	5	20	Shale, blue	2	92
Gypsum	2	22	Gypsum, alabaster	1	93
Shale, red	16	38	Cavity, filled with water	1	94
Gypsum	1	39	Gypsum, alabaster, white to gray	16	110
Shale, red	3	42	Shale, brown and blue, gypsiferous	6	116
Gypsum	1	43	Gypsum, alabaster and selenite, white	14	130
Shale, red	3	46	Shale, gray, calcareous	4	134
Shale, blue	9	55	Shale, reddish-brown and gray, earthy	2	145
Gypsum	2	57	Gypsum, alabaster	2	147
Shale, blue	4	61	Shale, reddish-brown and gray	8	155
Shale, red	3	64	Shale, blue	...	...
Gypsum	13	77	(16-in. casing set at 65 ft. Perforated interval 50-65 ft.)		
Shale, blue	5	82	<u>3N-26W-11dd1. SE$\frac{1}{4}$SE$\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Ray West and John Jenkins.</u>		
Gypsum	12	94	Soil and clay	15	15
Shale, red	16	110	Shale, red; sand	36	51
Shale, blue	5	115	Gypsum, white	5	56
Shale, red	4	119	Shale, red	29	85
Gypsum	4	123	Limestone	7	92
Shale, blue (some water)	1	124	Shale, red; rock	17	109
Gypsum	21	145	Gypsum, white	3	112
Shale, blue	2	147	Limestone, porous, water-bearing	3	115
Gypsum	1	148	Gypsum, blue	7	122
Shale, red	2	150	Shale, blue and gray	6	128
Gypsum	5	155	Gypsum	8	136
Shale, red; limestone, water-bearing	5	160	Sand, water-bearing	2	138
Gypsum, water-bearing	4	164	Gypsum	2	140
Shale, blue	1	165	(Reported yield, 1,000 gpm; 16-in. casing set at 85 ft.)		
Shale, red	4	169			

APPENDIX C.--LOGS OF WELLS AND TEST HOLES IN HARMON COUNTY AND ADJACENT PARTS OF GREER AND JACKSON COUNTIES, OKLA.

Thickness in feet. Depth in feet below land surface.

Description	Thick- ness	Depth	Description	Thick- ness	Depth
HARMON COUNTY.--Continued			3N-26W-26bdd1.--Continued		
3N-26W-22cdc1. SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$. Sample log. Altitude: land surface, 1,682.			Shale, brown	2	232
Shale, red	46	46	Gypsum	20	252
Gypsum, alabaster	6	52	(Not enough water for irrigation. Test hole plugged.)		
Shale, reddish-brown and gray, calcareous; gypsum stringers, selenite	3	55	3N-26W-31dbb1. NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Ivan Owen.		
Shale, reddish-brown and blue, calcareous; gypsum stringers, selenite	17	72	Shale, red	15	15
Anhydrite, gray to white	3	75	Limestone or gypsum	3	18
Shale, gray, calcareous; gypsum stringers, alabaster and selenite	6	81	Shale, white	6	24
Shale, bluish-gray, slightly calcareous	4	85	Shale, brown	15	39
Shale, reddish-brown and gray, slightly calcareous; gypsum stringers, selenite	5	90	Gypsum	11	50
Shale, reddish-brown and blue; gypsum stringers, satinspar	8	98	Shale, blue and brown	10	60
Dolomite, gray, dense	6	104	Shale, brown	5	65
Shale, gray	3	107	Gypsum	4	69
Limestone, gray, argillaceous	5	112	Shale, blue	9	78
Shale, bluish gray	4	116	Gypsum	9	87
Shale, brown and gray; gypsum stringers, selenite	4	120	Shale, blue	4	91
Gypsum, alabaster, white to gray	5	125	Gypsum	3	94
Dolomite, gray, dense, conchoidal fracture, argillaceous, calcareous	5	130	Shale, blue (water seep at 96 ft)	2	96
Shale, gray, calcareous	5	135	Gypsum	10	106
Anhydrite, gray to white; gypsum, alabaster, gray to white	5	140	Shale, blue and brown	7	113
Anhydrite, gray to white; gypsum, alabaster, gray to white; shale, gray	6	146	Gypsum	7	120
Anhydrite, gray to white; gypsum, alabaster, calcareous	14	160	Shale, brown	2	122
Shale, blue	5	165	Gypsum	17	139
(Not enough water for irrigation.)			Shale, blue and brown	8	147
3N-26W-22cca1. NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Jack Jenkins.			Gypsum	11	158
Shale, red	54	54	Limestone; shale, blue	6	164
Gypsum	4	58	Shale, blue	2	166
Shale, blue	5	63	Shale, brown	21	187
Shale, red and blue	10	73	Gypsum	2	189
Shale, blue	5	78	Shale, brown	3	192
Gypsum	12	90	Gypsum	18	210
Shale, blue	5	95	Limestone, yellow	1	211
Shale, red	11	106	Shale, blue and brown	11	222
Gypsum	15	121	Shale, brown	3	225
Shale, red and blue	6	127	Shale, blue; limestone	5	230
Gypsum	7	134	Shale, brown	6	236
Shale, dolomitic	4	138	Gypsum	6	242
Shale, blue	2	140	Shale, blue	1	243
Gypsum	9	149	Shale, brown	4	247
Shale, white and gray	5	154	Gypsum	5	252
Salt	1	155	(Not enough water for irrigation.)		
Gypsum	15	170	4N-24W-17dad1. SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$. Sample log of test hole drilled by the U.S. Geol. Survey in June 1956. Altitudes: land surface, 1,784; water level in terrace deposits and Whitehorse Group, 1,754; water level in Dog Creek Shale and Blaine Formation, 1,622.		
Shale, blue	1	171	Terrace deposits:		
Shale, brown and blue	4	175	Sand, brown, very fine to fine	8	8
Gypsum	21	196	Sand, red, very fine to fine; clayey; caliche	4	12
Shale, blue	1	197	Sand, brown, very fine to fine	13	25
Shale, brown	3	200	Sand, brown, very fine to medium	5	30
Gypsum	15	215	Sand, medium to very coarse; gravel; clay stringers; water-bearing	8	38
(Not enough water for irrigation.)			Whitehorse Group:		
3N-26W-26bdd1. SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Jack Jenkins.			Sand, red; stringers of blue sand	19	57
Sand; shale, red	33	33	Dog Creek Shale and Blaine Formation:		
Gypsum	3	36	Shale, red, blue mottling	8	65
Shale, red	22	58	Shale, red; gypsum stringers	3	68
Gypsum	15	73	Shale, red, blue mottling	7	75
Shale, blue	7	80	Shale, red and blue; gypsum stringers	5	80
Shale, red and blue, water-bearing	16	96	Shale, red	15	95
Gypsum	6	102	Shale, red; gypsum stringers	5	100
Shale, blue and red	9	111	Shale, red and blue	20	120
Gypsum	13	124	Shale, red and blue; gypsum stringers	39	159
Shale, blue	3	127	Gypsum	6	165
Gypsum	6	133	Shale, red and blue	35	200
Shale, blue	7	140	Gypsum; dolomite stringers	10	210
Gypsum	3	143	Shale, blue; gypsum stringers	4	214
Shale, blue	4	147	Gypsum	13	227
Gypsum	27	174	Shale, red and blue	2	229
Shale, red; limestone, water-bearing	4	178	Gypsum; dolomite stringers	8	237
Gypsum	16	194	Shale, red and blue	5	242
Shale, blue	8	202	Gypsum	6	248
Shale, red; limestone	26	228	Shale, blue	1	249
Gypsum	2	230	Gypsum	13	262
			Shale, red and blue	6	268
			Gypsum	6	274
			Gypsum, porous; dolomite stringers; water-bearing	6	280
			Dolomitic limestone; water-bearing	4	284
			Shale, red and blue	4	288

APPENDIX C.--LOGS OF WELLS AND TEST HOLES IN HARMON COUNTY AND ADJACENT PARTS OF GREER AND JACKSON COUNTIES, OKLA.

Thickness in feet. Depth in feet below land surface.

Description	Thick- ness	Depth	Description	Thick- ness	Depth
HARMON COUNTY.--Continued			4N-25W-24cbc2.--Continued		
4N-25W-23aaa1. NE½NE¼NE¼. Sample log of test hole drilled by U.S. Geol. Survey in June 1956. Altitudes: land surface, 1,797; water level in terrace deposits and Whitehorse Group, 1,767; water level in Dog Creek Shale and Blaine Formation, 1,571.			Dog Creek Shale and Blaine Formation.		
Terrace deposits:			Gypsum	10	249
Soil	3	3	Shale, blue	2	251
Sand, brown, very fine, clayey	4	7	Shale, red; gypsum stringers	9	260
Sand, brown, very fine to fine	3	10	Shale, red and blue; gypsum stringers	10	270
Sand, brown, fine	1	11	Shale, red and blue	8	278
Sand, brown, fine to medium	1	12	Gypsum; shale stringers, blue	2	280
Sand, very fine to fine, clay stringers	2	14	Shale, blue	2	282
Sand, very fine to fine; stringers of clay and caliche	2	16	Gypsum	6	288
Sand, very fine to fine; caliche stringers	2	18	Dolomite	4	292
Sand, fine to medium	2	20	Shale, blue	3	295
Sand, fine to medium; stringers of clay and caliche	5	25	Gypsum	11	306
Sand, very fine; stringers of clay and caliche	1	26	Shale, blue	1	307
Sand, fine	1	27	Gypsum	1	308
Sand, fine to medium; clay stringers	3	30	Shale, brown	1	309
Sand, coarse to very coarse; gravel; water-bearing	15	45	Gypsum	7	316
Whitehorse Group:			Gypsum, limestone stringers	2	318
Sandstone, red; shale stringers	8	53	Shale, blue	6	324
Shale, red, blue mottling, sandy	32	85	Gypsum	16	340
Sandstone red	9	94	Shale, blue	3	343
Dog Creek Shale and Blaine Formation:			Gypsum	14	357
Shale, red, blue mottling	21	115	Dolomite, porous; limestone stringers; shale stringers, blue	4	361
Shale, red; stringers of blue shale; gypsum stringers	32	147	Shale, red and blue	6	367
Shale, red and blue	6	153			
Shale, red and blue; gypsum stringers	4	157	4N-25W-24cbc3. SW¼NW¼SW¼. Sample log of test hole drilled by U.S. Geol. Survey in June, 1955.		
Shale, red and blue	15	172	Terrace deposits:		
Shale, red and blue; gypsum stringers	7	179	Sand, brown, fine to coarse; clay stringers	10	10
Shale, red and blue	15	194	Sand, brown, fine to medium	9	19
Shale, red; blue shale stringers; gypsum stringers	9	203	Clay, brown; caliche	1	20
Shale, red	5	208	Sand, brown, medium to coarse	5	25
Shale, red; blue shale stringers; gypsum stringers	11	219	Sand, brown, medium to coarse; clay stringers	5	30
Shale, red and blue	23	242	Clay, brown, sandy	5	35
Gypsum	12	254	Sand, coarse; clay, brown; caliche	8	43
Shale, red and blue	15	269	Gravel, fine; sand, very coarse	7	50
Shale, red and blue; gypsum stringers	7	276	Sand, medium to coarse, water-bearing	5	55
Shale, red and blue	9	285	Gravel, medium to coarse, clay streaks; water-bearing	6	61
Gypsum, dolomite stringers	9	294	Red beds (bedrock):		
Shale, blue	3	297	Shale, reddish-brown and gray	59	120
Gypsum	10	307	Shale, reddish-brown and gray; gypsum stringers, alabaster	10	130
Shale, blue	1	308	Shale, reddish-brown and gray, sandy	10	140
Gypsum	10	318	Shale, reddish-brown and gray	30	170
Shale, red and blue	7	325	Shale, reddish-brown and gray; gypsum stringers, alabaster	10	180
Gypsum; dolomite stringers	4	329	Shale, reddish-brown and gray	20	200
Shale, blue	1	330	Shale, reddish-brown and gray; gypsum stringers, alabaster	28	228
Gypsum; dolomite stringers	13	343	Gypsum, alabaster	4	232
Shale, red	4	347	Shale, reddish-brown and blue	8	240
Gypsum	1	348			
Shale, red	1	349	4N-25W-29aaa3. NE½NE¼NE¼. Sample log of test hole drilled by U.S. Geol. Survey in November 1955. Altitudes: land surface, 1,798; water level in terrace deposits and Whitehorse Group, 1,778; water level in Dog Creek Shale and Blaine Formation, 1,652		
Gypsum, small amount of water	13	362	Terrace deposits:		
Dolomitic limestone, porous; stringers of blue shale; water	5	367	Sand, fine to medium	3	3
Shale, red and blue	3	370	Sand, medium to coarse	1	4
			Sand, fine to very fine	16	20
			Sand, medium to coarse	3	23
4N-25W-24cbc2. SW¼NW¼SW¼. Sample log of test hole drilled by U.S. Geol. Survey in December 1955.			Whitehorse Group:		
Terrace deposits:			Sandstone, red; shale stringers; gravel stringers	21	44
Sand, red, fine	10	10	Sandstone, red; shale stringers	26	70
Sand, white, medium	10	20	Shale, red and blue, sandy	15	85
Sand, white, medium; caliche stringers	9	29	Shale, red and blue; gypsum stringers	5	90
Sand, coarse	3	32	Shale, red and blue; sandstone stringers, red and blue; gypsum stringers	22	112
Sand, coarse; gravel	8	40	Shale, red and blue	3	115
Whitehorse Group:			Shale, red	3	118
Sandstone, red; shale stringers, red and blue; shale, sandy	80	120	Shale, blue	2	120
Dog Creek Shale and Blaine Formation:			Shale, brown and blue; gypsum stringers	12	132
Shale, red and blue	10	130	Sandstone, red; gypsum stringers; shale stringers	46	178
Shale, red	18	148	Dog Creek Shale and Blaine Formation:		
Gypsum	3	151	Gypsum	1	179
Shale, red and blue	19	170	Shale, blue and brown; gypsum stringers	15	194
Shale, red and blue; gypsum stringers	31	201	Gypsum	5	199
Gypsum	3	204	Shale, gray; gypsum stringers	12	211
Shale, red	18	222	Shale, red	8	219
Gypsum	2	224	Shale, red and blue; gypsum stringers	6	225
Shale, red and blue; gypsum stringers	15	239	Gypsum	4	229

APPENDIX C.--LOGS OF WELLS AND TEST HOLES IN HARMON COUNTY AND ADJACENT PARTS OF GREER AND JACKSON COUNTIES, OKLA.

Thickness in feet. Depth in feet below land surface.

Description	Thick- ness	Depth	Description	Thick- ness	Depth
HARMON COUNTY.--Continued			4N-26W-14cdc2. SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$. Sample log of test hole drilled by U.S. Geol. Survey in November 1955. Altitudes: land surface, 1,878; water level in terrace deposits and Whitehorse Group, 1,831; water level in Dog Creek Shale and Blaine Formation, 1,753.		
4N-25W-29aaa1.--Continued			Terrace deposits:		
Dog Creek Shale and Blaine Formation.--Continued			Sand, red, fine to medium		
Shale, blue and brown; gypsum stringers; dolomite stringers	10	239	Sand, brown, fine to very fine	10	15
Dolomite; gypsum stringers	10	249	Sand, brown, fine to medium	25	40
Shale, blue; gypsum stringers	3	252	Sand, white, medium to coarse	4	44
Gypsum	10	262	Caliche	1	45
Shale, red and blue; dolomite stringers; gypsum stringers	2	264	Sand, coarse, very coarse and gravel	7	52
Gypsum	2	266	Whitehorse Group:		
Shale, red and blue; gypsum stringers	1	267	Sandstone; shale stringers, red and blue	59	111
Gypsum	5	272	Dog Creek Shale and Blaine Formation:		
Shale, gray; gypsum stringers	4	276	Shale, red and blue; gypsum stringers	65	176
Shale, blue and brown	3	279	Shale, red and blue; dolomite stringers	6	175
Gypsum	5	284	Shale, brown and blue; dolomite stringers; gypsum stringers	41	216
Limestone, soft, porous	1	285	Gypsum	5	221
Gypsum	13	298	Shale, brown and blue; gypsum stringers	20	241
Limestone, porous	1	299	Gypsum	5	246
Shale, red and blue; gypsum stringers	7	306	Shale, gray	10	256
Gypsum; limestone stringers	11	317	Shale, brown and blue	8	264
Dolomite, porous	3	320	Gypsum	2	266
Limestone	4	324	Shale, brown and blue; gypsum stringers	13	279
Shale, blue	2	326	Gypsum	3	282
Shale, red	9	335	Dolomite	6	288
4N-25W-31cdbl. NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log to 295 feet, supplied by Jack Jenkins. Sample log below 295 feet. Altitude: land surface, 1,754.			Shale, red and blue	4	292
Soil	3	3	Gypsum	14	306
Sand	14	17	Shale, red and blue	4	310
Shale, red	73	90	Gypsum	5	315
Shale, blue	4	94	Cavity	3	318
Gypsum	5	99	JACKSON COUNTY		
Shale, red	14	113	1S-22W-19cccc1. SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Ivan Owen. Altitude: land surface, 1,426.		
Shale, blue and red	7	120	Shale, red, water seep at 4 ft	22	22
Gypsum	13	133	Shale, brown	20	42
Shale, blue	5	138	Gypsum	13	55
Shale, red	14	152	Shale, blue	3	58
Gypsum	5	157	Shale, red	10	68
Shale, blue	5	162	Shale, blue; limestone	2	70
Gypsum	15	177	Gypsum	3	73
Shale, red and blue	4	181	Shale, brown	22	95
Gypsum	16	197	Gypsum	10	105
Shale, blue	1	198	Shale, blue	3	108
Gypsum	10	208	Shale, red	11	119
Limestone; shale, blue	4	212	Shale, brown	30	149
Shale, blue	4	216	Gypsum	4	153
Gypsum	31	247	Shale, brown	37	190
Shale, blue	2	249	Shale, red	5	195
Gypsum	16	265	(No water; test hole plugged.)		
Shale, blue	7	272	1S-24W-5bcd1. SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Ivan Owen. Altitude: land surface, 1,462.		
Shale, red	11	283	Clay	8	8
Shale, blue	7	290	Sand	19	27
Shale, red	4	294	Shale, blue (water seep at 30 ft)	3	30
Gypsum	4	298	Shale, red	10	40
Shale, blue	1	299	Limestone	4	44
Gypsum; shale stringer, blue	5	304	Shale, red and blue	11	55
Shale, blue	1	305	Limestone	5	60
Gypsum; shale stringer, blue	4	309	Shale, red	3	63
Shale, blue; gypsum stringers	2	311	Gypsum	1	64
Gypsum; shale stringers, red and blue	4	315	Shale, red; gypsum	16	80
Shale, brown and blue	8	323	Sand	5	85
Gypsum	15	338	Limestone	6	91
Limestone	2	340	Shale, red	9	100
Shale, blue and brown	16	356	(16-in. casing set at 94 ft. Perforated interval 44--94 ft.)		
Gypsum	3	359	1S-24W-8d. S $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Ivan Owen.		
Shale, blue	5	364	Clay	12	12
Gypsum	1	365	Sand, red	20	32
Shale, blue; gypsum stringer	3	368	Shale, blue	3	35
Dolomite	3	371	Shale, red	9	44
Shale, blue	1	372	Limestone, water-bearing (25-30 gpm)	1	45
Gypsum	6	378	Shale, red	13	58
Shale, blue and brown	36	414	Limestone	1	59
Gypsum	1	415	Shale, brown and blue	33	92
Shale, blue	2	417	Gypsum	1	93
Shale, blue; gypsum	4	421	Shale, brown	12	105
Shale, blue	5	426	Gypsum	14	119
Gypsum	1	427	Shale, red	15	134
Shale, brown and blue	39	466	(Not enough water for irrigation.)		
Shale, brown; salt stringers	1	467			
Salt	1	468			
Shale, brown and blue; salt stringers	1	469			
Shale, brown and blue	5	474			

APPENDIX C.--LOGS OF WELLS AND TEST HOLES IN HARMON COUNTY AND ADJACENT PARTS OF GREER AND JACKSON COUNTIES, OKLA.

Thickness in feet. Depth in feet below land surface.

Description	Thick- ness	Depth	Description	Thick- ness	Depth
JACKSON COUNTY.--Continued			2N-22W-5dbb1. NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Clarence Trussler.		
1S-25W-2baa1. NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Chester Anderson.			Shale, light-red	1	1
Soil	8	8	Shale, red	5	6
Gypsum (water seep at 10 ft)	2	10	Shale, gray	6	12
No sample	5	15	Shale, blue	3	15
Gypsum	12	27	Shale, red	24	39
Shale, blue	6	33	Gypsum	1	40
Shale, with thin limestone beds	7	40	Shale, red	2	42
Gypsum	27	67	Gypsum	14	56
Shale, with thin limestone beds	5	72	Limestone, blue	1	57
Gypsum	1	73	Shale, blue and red	8	65
Cavity	7	80	Gypsum	11	76
(Reported yield, 1,100 gpm; 16-in. casing set at 40 ft.)			Limestone, water-bearing	5	81
1S-25W-13dc1. SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Ivan Owen.			Shale, blue	5	86
Shale, red and blue	25	25	Shale, red	17	103
Shale, red and blue	35	60	Shale, blue	3	106
Limestone, water-bearing	6	66	Shale, red	13	119
Gypsum	6	72	Shale, red and blue	2	121
Cavity	5	77	Gypsum	11	132
Shale, red	3	80	Limestone, blue	1	133
(16-in. casing set at 70 ft.)			Shale, blue	2	135
2S-24W-1ac1. SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Clarence Trussler.			2N-22W-6cac1. SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Ivan Owen.		
Clay, red, sandy	10	10	Shale, brown	8	8
Shale, red	32	42	Gypsum	17	25
Shale, blue; water-bearing	3	45	Shale, blue and brown	9	34
Shale, red	25	70	Gypsum	19	53
Shale, red, very hard	16	86	Shale, brown	8	61
Limestone, salt water	4	90	Gypsum	8	69
Shale, blue	7	97	Limestone, water-bearing	5	74
Shale, red	3	100	Shale, red	16	90
(Reported yield, 500 gpm. Water too salty for intended use.)			(18-in. casing set at 8 ft.)		
2S-24W-12cc1. SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Clarence Trussler. Altitude: land surface, 1,479.			2N-22W-8dcb1. NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Ivan Owen.		
Clay, red-sandy	30	30	Shale, brown	21	21
Shale, blue	31	61	Gypsum	15	36
Shale, red	8	69	Shale, brown	7	43
Limestone, water-bearing	9	78	Gypsum	7	50
Shale, red	12	90	Limestone, water-bearing	5	55
Shale, blue	2	92	Shale, blue	5	60
Shale, red	7	99	Shale, red	1	61
Shale, blue	1	100	2N-23W-2bab1. NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Ivan Owen.		
Shale, red	18	118	Soil	10	10
Sand, salt water	2	120	Shale, brown	14	24
(10-in. casing set at 90 ft. Interval between 90 and 120 ft plugged with earth.)			Gypsum	5	29
1N-23W-29bb1. NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Clarence Trussler.			Shale, blue	4	33
Soil	1	1	Shale, brown	3	36
Clay and shale	5	6	Gypsum	20	56
Gypsum	10	16	Shale, brown	9	65
Shale, blue	1	17	Gypsum	9	74
Gypsum	7	24	Sand	2	76
Shale, blue	1	25	Shale, brown	9	85
Gypsum	14	39	Limestone; shale, red	11	96
Shale, red	9	48	(Reported yield, 10-15 gpm.)		
Cavity, water-bearing	2	50	2N-23W-3ccal. NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Ivan Owen. Altitude: land surface, 1,439.		
Gypsum, water-bearing	15	65	Shale, red	12	12
Limestone, water-bearing	4	69	Sand	21	33
Gypsum	2	71	Shale, red and gray	12	45
Cavity, water-bearing	2	73	Gypsum	6	51
Gypsum	2	75	Cavity	3	54
Limestone	6	81	Shale, brown	8	62
Shale, red	5	86	Gypsum	6	68
Limestone, water-bearing	2	88	Cavity	7	75
Shale, red	2	90	Limestone	4	79
(14-in. casing set at 90 ft.)			Shale, brown	5	84
2N-22W-4daa1. NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Ivan Owen. Altitude: land surface, 1,425.			Gypsum	6	90
Shale, brown	32	32	Sand	2	92
Shale, red and blue	20	52	Shale, brown	5	97
Shale, brown	35	87	(Reported yield, 1,800 gpm; 16-in. casing set at 97 ft.)		
Gypsum	12	99			
Limestone, water-bearing	5	104			
Shale, blue	2	106			
Shale, red	4	110			

APPENDIX C.--LOGS OF WELLS AND TEST HOLES IN HARMON COUNTY AND ADJACENT PARTS OF GREER AND JACKSON COUNTIES, OKLA.

Thickness in feet. Depth in feet below land surface.

Description	Thick- ness	Depth	Description	Thick- ness	Depth
JACKSON COUNTY.--Continued			2N-23W-12bdal. NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Ivan Owen.		
2N-23W-4acd1. SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Ivan Owen.			Soil	8	8
Shale, brown	40	40	Gypsum	11	19
Limestone, water-bearing (15-20 gpm)	7	47	Shale, blue and brown	21	40
Gypsum	7	54	Gypsum	12	52
Cavity	2	56	Limestone, water-bearing	2	54
Gypsum	2	58	Shale, blue and brown	6	60
Shale, blue and brown	13	71	Gypsum	9	69
Gypsum	9	80	Limestone	2	71
Shale, brown	8	88	Shale, blue and red	8	79
Gypsum	11	99	Shale, red	24	103
Limestone	2	101	Shale, blue and brown	15	118
Shale, red	6	107	Gypsum	13	131
(16-in. casing set at 77 ft.)			Shale, blue; sand	2	133
2N-23W-4dcd1. SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Ivan Owen.			2N-23W-16abal. NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Ivan Owen.		
Shale, red	28	28	Soil and shale	15	15
Shale, brown	5	33	Gypsum	2	17
Gypsum	1	34	Cavity	6	23
Shale, brown	3	37	Gypsum	5	28
Gypsum, water-bearing	1	38	Shale, blue	5	33
Limestone; shale, blue	1	39	Shale, brown	6	39
Gypsum	12	51	Gypsum	3	42
Shale, blue	2	53	Cavity	2	44
Shale, brown	5	58	Gypsum	14	58
Gypsum	22	80	Shale, blue	2	60
Shale, brown	4	84	Shale, brown	5	65
Gypsum, water-bearing	11	95	Gypsum	10	75
Limestone	4	99	Limestone; shale, brown	24	99
Shale, blue	1	100	Reported yield, 900 gpm; 18-in. casing set at 42 ft.)		
(Water too salty for irrigation; hole plugged with earth.)			2N-23W-21abbl. NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Ivan Owen. Altitude: land surface, 1,433.		
2N-23W-10dbdl. SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Ivan Owen.			Shale, red	27	27
Soil and clay	18	18	Shale, brown	7	34
Gypsum	5	23	Gypsum	5	39
Shale, blue	2	25	Shale, blue	5	44
Shale, brown	8	33	Gypsum	9	53
Gypsum	19	52	Shale, red	8	61
Shale, blue	2	54	Gypsum	10	71
Shale, brown	6	60	Limestone; shale, blue	3	74
Gypsum	9	69	Shale, red	10	84
Limestone	3	72	(Yield 535 gpm, 3-20-52; 14-in. casing set at 34 ft.)		
Shale, brown; limestone	13	85	2N-23W-21adb3. NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Ronald Owen		
Shale, red	19	104	Soil	6	6
Gypsum	1	105	Limestone and shale	30	36
Shale, brown	10	115	Gypsum	20	56
Gypsum	12	127	Shale, red	7	63
Limestone	2	129	Gypsum	10	73
Shale, blue	1	130	Limestone	3	76
Shale, red	2	132	Shale, red	24	100
No sample	3	135	Shale, blue and red	7	107
2N-23W-10abd1. SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Ivan Owen.			Gypsum	1	108
Shale, brown	16	16	Shale, blue	9	117
Gypsum	6	22	Gypsum	12	129
Shale, white	8	30	Limestone	2	131
Shale, brown and blue	7	37	Shale, red	5	136
Limestone	13	50	2N-23W-21aaa1. NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Ronald Owen. Altitude: land surface, 1,429.		
Gypsum	11	61	Soil	4	4
Shale, brown	7	68	Shale	36	40
Gypsum	10	78	Gypsum	3	43
Limestone	3	81	Limestone and shale, water-bearing (100 gpm)	3	46
Shale, blue	3	84	Shale, brown	9	55
Shale, red	6	90	Gypsum	10	65
(18-in. casing set at 73 ft.)			Limestone	2	67
2N-23W-12aab1. NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Ivan Owen.			Shale, red	13	80
Soil	2	2	Shale, red	9	89
Shale, red	18	20	2N-23W-26c. SW $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Chester Anderson.		
Gypsum	28	48	Shale and gypsum	35	35
Shale, blue	2	50	Gypsum	19	54
Shale, red	6	56	Shale, red	11	65
Gypsum	9	65	Gypsum (lost drilling water at 71 ft)	6	71
Limestone; shale, blue	9	74	Limestone	2	73
No sample	16	90	Shale, blue	2	75
(Reported yield, 810 gpm; 16-in. casing set at 31 ft.)			Shale, red	35	110
			(No water, test hole plugged.)		

APPENDIX C.--LOGS OF WELLS AND TEST HOLES IN HARMON COUNTY AND ADJACENT PARTS OF GREER AND JACKSON COUNTIES, OKLA.

Thickness in feet. Depth in feet below land surface.

Description	Thick- ness	Depth	Description	Thick- ness	Depth
JACKSON COUNTY.--Continued			3N-23W-23aaa1. NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Ivan Owen.		
3N-23W-19aaa1. NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Ronald Owen.			Shale, red	50	50
Soil and shale	23	23	Shale, red and blue (20 gpm at 80 ft)	41	91
Shale, blue	3	26	Gypsum	6	97
Gypsum	5	31	Limestone and clay	3	100
Shale, red and blue	24	55	Shale	17	117
Shale, blue	13	68	Gypsum	8	125
Shale, red	9	77	Shale, blue	3	128
Gypsum	6	83	Shale, blue and brown	17	145
Shale, blue	8	91	Limestone	1	146
Gypsum	10	101	Shale, blue	4	150
Shale, blue and red	6	107	(Not enough water for irrigation.)		
Gypsum	3	110	3N-23W-23dbb1. NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Ivan Owen.		
Shale, blue and red (8 gpm at 111 ft)	9	119	Shale, brown	28	28
Gypsum	21	140	Gypsum	1	29
Shale, red	8	148	Shale, brown and blue	21	50
Gypsum	12	160	Gypsum	11	61
Limestone	4	164	Shale, blue	4	65
Shale, red	13	177	Gypsum	10	75
(Not enough water for irrigation.)			Shale, blue	4	79
3N-23W-19bbb1. NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Ivan Owen. Altitude: land surface, 1,530.			Shale, brown	1	80
Shale, brown	20	20	Gypsum	12	92
Gypsum	5	25	Shale, blue and brown	8	100
Shale, brown and blue	35	60	Gypsum	15	115
Shale, brown	13	73	Shale, brown	9	124
Gypsum	11	84	Gypsum	11	135
Shale, brown and blue	4	88	Shale, mixed	40	175
Gypsum	9	97	Gypsum	15	190
Shale, brown and blue	3	100	Shale, blue and brown	5	195
Gypsum	15	115	(Not enough water for irrigation.)		
Shale, blue and brown	7	122	3N-23W-29ddd1. SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Ivan Owen. Altitude: land surface, 1,450.		
Gypsum	20	142	Soil	3	3
Shale, brown	7	149	Shale, brown	22	25
Gypsum, water-bearing (5: gpm)	12	161	No sample	5	30
Shale, blue	4	165	Gypsum, porous	7	37
Shale, red	5	170	Gypsum	3	40
3N-23W-21aab1. NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Ivan Owen.			Gypsum and shale	5	45
Clay, brown	10	10	Gypsum	11	56
Clay, red	25	35	Shale, blue	3	59
Gravel	3	38	Shale, brown	7	66
Gypsum	9	47	Gypsum	20	86
Shale, blue and brown	9	56	Shale, brown	7	93
Gypsum	16	72	Gypsum	7	100
Shale, blue and brown	6	78	Limestone	4	104
Gypsum	6	84	Shale, blue and red	9	113
Limestone	1	85	(16-in. casing set at 53 ft. Perforated interval 30-40 ft.)		
Gypsum	7	92	3N-23W-29cba1. NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Ivan Owen.		
Shale, blue; sand, water-bearing (10-15 gpm)	1	93	Shale, brown and gray	23	23
Shale, blue	4	97	Gypsum	13	36
Shale, red and blue	31	128	Shale, blue	4	40
Gypsum	4	132	Shale, brown	4	44
Cavity, water-bearing (unable to lower with bailer)	1	133	Gypsum	22	66
Gypsum; shale, blue; sand	12	145	Shale, blue and brown	9	75
Shale, blue and red	8	153	Gypsum	5	80
3N-23W-22acd1. SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Clarence Trussler.			Shale, blue; sand, water-bearing (10 gpm)	5	85
Clay, black	4	4	Shale, blue	5	90
Shale, red	31	35	Shale, red	12	102
Shale, blue	5	40	Shale, red and blue	23	125
Shale, red	18	58	Gypsum	13	138
Gypsum	4	62	Shale, red and blue	16	154
Shale, blue and red	6	68	(Not enough water for irrigation. Test hole plugged.)		
Gypsum	8	76	3N-23W-30aab1. NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Ivan Owen.		
Shale, blue	6	82	Shale, brown (75 gpm at 30 ft)	50	50
Shale, brown	2	84	Gypsum	4	54
Gypsum	14	98	Shale, brown	1	55
Shale, blue and red	3	101	Gypsum	15	70
Gypsum	18	109	Shale, blue and brown	7	77
Shale, blue and red	7	126	Gypsum	6	83
Gypsum	10	136	Shale, blue	9	92
Limestone, water-bearing	3	139	Shale, red	10	102
Shale, blue	4	143	(Not enough water for irrigation. Test hole plugged.)		
Shale, red	18	161			
Shale, blue and red	14	175			
(Not enough water for irrigation.)					

APPENDIX C.--LOGS OF WELLS AND TEST HOLES IN HARMON COUNTY AND ADJACENT PARTS OF GREER AND JACKSON COUNTIES, OKLA.

Thickness in feet. Depth in feet below land surface.

Description	Thick- ness	Depth	Description	Thick- ness	Depth
JACKSON COUNTY.--Continued					
<u>3N-23W-30daa1. NE$\frac{1}{4}$NE$\frac{1}{4}$SE$\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by</u> Ivan Owen. Altitude: land surface, 1,453.			<u>3N-23W-33abb1. NW$\frac{1}{4}$NW$\frac{1}{4}$NE$\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by</u> Ivan Owen.		
Soil; shale, brown	16	16	Shale, red and blue	10	10
No sample	4	20	Shale, red	9	19
Shale, brown	10	30	Gypsum	6	25
Cavity, water-filled	5	35	Shale, blue	8	33
Shale, brown	5	40	Gypsum	7	40
Gypsum	22	62	Shale, red	2	42
Shale, brown	8	70	Gypsum	2	44
Gypsum	5	75	Shale, brown	9	53
Limestone, water-bearing	8	83	Gypsum	8	61
Shale, blue	3	86	Shale, blue	4	65
Shale, red	4	90	Shale, brown	4	69
(Reported yield, 1,000 gpm; 16-in. casing set at 41 ft.)			Gypsum	1	70
<u>3N-23W-31cbb1. NW$\frac{1}{4}$NW$\frac{1}{4}$SW$\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by</u> Clarence Trussler.			Shale, brown and blue	3	73
Shale, red, sandy	3	3	Gypsum	16	89
Shale, red	5	8	Shale, brown	7	96
Shale, red, sandy	2	10	Gypsum	8	104
Shale, dark-red	14	24	Limestone	3	107
Shale, blue and red	2	26	Shale, blue	1	108
Sand, water-bearing	1	27	Shale, red	37	145
Shale	4	31	Shale, brown	3	148
Limestone, blue	1	32	Gypsum	17	165
Shale, blue	2	34	Shale, blue	5	170
Shale, red	10	44	<u>3N-23W-36bc1. SW$\frac{1}{4}$NW$\frac{1}{4}$. Driller's log supplied by Ivan Owen.</u> Altitude: land surface, 1,450.		
Gypsum	5	49	Shale, red	8	8
Shale, blue and red	3	52	Limestone	1	9
Gypsum	6	58	Gypsum	4	13
Cavity, water-bearing	4	62	Shale, blue and brown	8	21
(18-in. casing set at 38 ft; 16-in casing set at 62 ft. Perforated interval 31-62 ft.)			Gypsum	9	30
			Shale, blue	4	34
			Gypsum	11	45
			Shale, blue and brown	5	50
			Gypsum	19	69
			Shale, blue	1	70
			Limestone	1	71
			Shale, brown	5	76
			Gypsum, water-bearing	11	87
			Limestone	1	88
			Shale, blue	2	90
			Shale, brown	28	118
			Limestone; shale, blue	2	120
			Shale, blue and brown	5	125
			Shale, blue; limestone layers	5	130
			Gypsum	13	143
			Shale, blue (water level 60 ft below land surface)	5	148
			Shale, red	2	150